

- Weighted Sum Rate (WSR) optimal Beamformer design under partial Channel State Information at Transmitter (CSIT).
- Quantify the impact (Gap) of using an

The $N_k \times 1$ received signal at user k in cell b_k with M_k antennas is,

$\mathbf{y}_k = \underbrace{\mathbf{H}_{k,b_k} \mathbf{G}_k \mathbf{x}_k}_{k}$	$+ \sum \mathbf{H}_{k,b_k} \mathbf{G}_i \mathbf{x}_i$	$+\sum \mathbf{E} \mathbf{H}_{k,j} \mathbf{G}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{v}_i$
signal	$\underbrace{b_{i}=b_{k}}^{i\neq k}$ intracell interf.	$\underbrace{j \neq b_k \ i: b_i = j}_{\text{intercell interf.}}$

- \mathbf{H}_{k,b_k} is the $N_k \times M_{b_k}$ channel from BS b_k to user k.
- \mathbf{G}_k is the $M_{b_k} \times d_k$ Tx beamformer(BF) for d_k streams. $\mathbf{Q}_k = \mathbf{G}_k \mathbf{G}_k^H$.



Figure 1: Illustration of a MIMO IBC scenario.

The Gaussian CSIT model for the partial CSIT is, $\mathbf{H}_{k,b_k} = \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{k,b_k} + \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{k,b_k} \mathbf{C}_{t,k,b_k}^{1/2}$

where $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{k,b_k} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{H}_{k,b_k}$, \mathbf{C}_t is the Tx side covariance matrix and $\mathbf{H}_{k,b_k} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I})$.

Expected WSR (EWSR)

$$EWSR(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_k \left(\ln |\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{H}_k^H| - \ln |\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{k}} \mathbf{H}_k^H| \right)$$
$$\mathbf{H}_k = \left[\mathbf{H}_k \dots \mathbf{H}_k \dots \mathbf{H}_k \dots \mathbf{H}_k \dots \mathbf{H}_k \right]$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{k} &= \left[\mathbf{H}_{k,b_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{H}_{k,b_{k-1}} \ \mathbf{H}_{k,b_{k}} \ \mathbf{H}_{k,b_{k+1}} \cdots \mathbf{H}_{k,b_{K}} \right] \\ &= \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{k} + \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{k} \mathbf{C}_{t,k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

Q is a block diagonal matrix with i^{th} diagonal block being $\sum_{l:b_l=b_i} \mathbf{Q}_l$. $\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{k}}$ is similar to \mathbf{Q} but with the k^{th} block diagonal set to $\sum_{l:b_l=b_k}^{l\neq k} \mathbf{Q}_l$.

• For an SNR ρ , define $\Gamma_k(\rho) = \ln |\mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{E} \mathbf{H}'_k \mathbf{H}'_k^H| - \mathbf{E} \ln |\mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{H}'_k \mathbf{H}'_k^H|,$ where $\mathbf{H}'_k \sim \mathcal{CN}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \overline{\mathbf{H}}_k \mathbf{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{C}_{t,k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{C}_{t,k}^{\frac{1}{2}}).$

 $\Gamma_k(\rho)$ monotonically increases with ρ ; $\Gamma_k(0) = 0$

• As a result, we can write,

$$\text{ESEI-WSR}(\mathbf{G}) - \sum_{\substack{k=1\\K}}^{K} u_k \Gamma_k(\infty) \leq \text{EWSR}(\mathbf{G}) \leq \text{ESEI-WSR}(\mathbf{G}) + \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k=1}}^{K} u_k \Gamma_{\bar{k}}(\infty)$$

MISO zero mean corr. channel

$$0 \leq \ln(1+\rho\sum_{i=1}^{p}\lambda_{i}) - \mathbf{E}\ln(1+\rho||\mathbf{h}||^{2})$$
$$\leq \gamma - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\frac{\ln\lambda_{i}}{\pi_{l\neq i}(1-\lambda_{l}/\lambda_{i})} - \ln(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\lambda_{i})\right),$$

where λ_i s correspond to the *p* eigen values of $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{h}^{H}\mathbf{h}$, ρ is the SNR, γ is Euler constant.

In the case of p identical Eigenvalues,

$$0 \le \Gamma(\infty) \le \gamma - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{1}{k} - \ln(p)\right) + \frac{1}{p}$$

 $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{H} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{L}^{H} = (\mathbf{L}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}})(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}})^{H}$

where lower triangular matrix \mathbf{L} has unit diagonal and \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries (\mathbf{D}_i) greater than zero.

 $\mathbf{D}_{i} \sim \frac{1}{2} \chi^{2}_{2(M-i+1)}, i \in 1 \cdots N_{k}; \mathbf{L}_{i,j} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0,1), i > j$ Hence, $\ln |\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^H| = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \ln |\mathbf{D}_i|$ and this can be

treated as a sum of MISO i.i.d scenarios.

Second-Order Taylor Series approximation for Gap

• Taylor expansion of $\mathbf{E} \ln |\mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^H|$ around $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{E} \, \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^{H}.$

• Retaining only the second order terms, \mathbf{T}

$$\mathbf{E} \ln |\mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^{H}| - \ln |\mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{E} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^{H}| \approx \Gamma_{2}(\rho)$$
$$= \frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \{ \mathbf{X}^{-1} \}^{2} \mathbf{C}^{2} \right\}$$

+ 2tr{
$$\mathbf{X}^{-1}$$
} $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{H}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{C} - (\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{H}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{H}})^{2}$ }.

Actual EWSR Gap

• Can we quantify the gap $|\mathbf{EWSR}(\mathbf{G}^*) - \mathbf{EWSR}(\mathbf{G}^{**})|$, where \mathbf{G}^* are the optimal BFs that maximize the EWSR and \mathbf{G}^{**} are the BFs that maximize ESEI-WSR.



Figure 3: Gap obtained from the second order Taylor series approximation vs. the true value of the gap for a MIMO zero mean correlated scenario. Number of Rx antennas $N_k = 4$.

For a zero mean correlated MISO IBC channel allowing covariance CSIT based ZF, at infinite SNR, $|\text{EWSR}(\mathbf{G}^*) - \text{EWSR}(\mathbf{G}^{**})| = 0.$

- - \widehat{x}
- scenario.

- Analyzed the Gap for certain MISO and MIMO scenarios.
- Initiated a discussion on actual EWSR gap.
- General case of MIMO correlated scenario to be addressed.
- Need for further analysis on actual EWSR gap.



Actual EWSR Gap (Cont'd)

• Assume interference limited to a subspace and sufficient number of Tx antennas at each BS. • Then, at high SNR, optimal beamformers perform Zero Forcing (ZF).

• In the MIMO case, consider a per stream approach. At the output of a linear $\operatorname{Rx} \mathbf{f}_k$, the signal estimate for stream k would be,

$$\mathbf{f}_{k} = \mathbf{f}_{k}^{H} \mathbf{H}_{k,b_{k}} \mathbf{g}_{k} x_{k} + \sum_{i \neq k} \mathbf{f}_{k}^{H} \mathbf{H}_{k,b_{i}} \mathbf{g}_{i} x_{i} + \mathbf{f}_{k}^{H} \mathbf{v}_{k}.$$

• The scenario is now identical to that of the MISO

Conclusions and Future work