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## Abstract

## We present a new algorithm for computing the pro-

 jection onto the $\ell_{\infty, 1}$ ball.Improvements: Steffensen type root search technique pruning strategy and initial guess of solution.
Simulations: Average speedups of $4 \sim 5$ w.r.t. state of the art. Up to 14 times faster for very sparse solutions.
fMRI LASSO task: Speedups of $\sim 120$.

## Introduction

- Mixed norms are important in modeling group correlations [1]. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$, where the rows represent the different groups. The $\ell_{\infty, 1}$-norm is defined as $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty, 1}=$ $\sum_{m=1}^{M}\left\|\mathbf{a}_{m}\right\|_{\infty}$
- The main contribution of this work is a computationally efficient algorithm for computing the projection onto the $\ell_{\infty, 1}$ ball:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{proj}_{\|\cdot\|_{\infty, 1}}(\mathbf{B}, \tau):=\underset{\mathbf{X}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{B}\|_{F}^{2} \text { s.t. }\|\mathbf{X}\|_{\infty, 1} \leq \gamma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Sra [2] proposed a general root search based algorithm for mixed-norm ball projection problems
- We propose two significant improvements: (i) a feasible initial solution, and (ii) pruning.
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## Proposed method

- By duality, $\mathbf{X}^{*}+\mathbf{A}^{*}=\mathbf{B},\left(X^{*}\right.$ is solution to (1)) where:

$$
\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{1}}^{*} ; \ldots ; \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*}\right]=\mathbf{A}^{*}=\min _{\mathbf{A}} \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}\|_{F}^{2}+\lambda \cdot\|\mathbf{A}\|_{1, \infty}
$$

If we had $\gamma^{*}=\left\|\mathbf{A}^{*}\right\|_{1, \infty}$ then the problem would be separable in each row, i.e., $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{m}}^{*}=\operatorname{proj}_{\|\cdot\|_{1}}\left(\mathbf{b}_{m}, \gamma^{*}\right)$.
We define the search function

$$
g(\gamma)=\sum \max \left(\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{m}}(\gamma)\right)-\tau
$$

$A^{*}$ is obtained with $g\left(\gamma^{*}\right)=0$.

$$
\mathbf{a}_{m}(\gamma)= \begin{cases}\mathbf{b}_{m} & \text { if }\left\|\mathbf{b}_{m}\right\|_{1}<\gamma \\ \operatorname{shrink}\left(\mathbf{b}_{m}, \lambda(\gamma)\right) & \text { if }\left\|\mathbf{b}_{m}\right\|_{1} \geq \gamma\end{cases}
$$

Pruning: Only the $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}$ with $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\|_{1} \geq \gamma$ contribute to the sum in $g(\gamma)$.
Problem reduces to finding $\gamma^{*}$ though a root-finding procedure over $g$. We use Steffensen's root search [3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n+1}:=\gamma_{n}+\gamma_{n} \frac{y_{n}-\gamma_{n}}{g\left(y_{n}\right)-g\left(\gamma_{n}\right)}, \quad y_{n}=\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}\left|g\left(\gamma_{n}\right)\right| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Initial Point: Compute $\sigma_{k}=\left\|\operatorname{shrink}\left(b_{k}, \tau\right)\right\|_{1}$ for each row of $B$ and take $\gamma_{0}=\max _{k}\left(\sigma_{k}\right)$. It can be shown that $0 \leq \gamma_{0} \leq \gamma^{*}$


## Results: simulations

Synthetic $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{U}([-0.5,0.5])$ (100 realizations). Constraint $\tau=\alpha\|\mathbf{B}\|_{\infty, 1}, \alpha \in\left\{10^{-4}, 5 \times 10^{-4}, 10^{-3}\right\}$



Figure 1: Impact of initial point


Figure 2: Speedup w.r.t. to Sra [2]
Results: fMRI task results

- Data from fMRI prediction of word response based on cooccurrence matrix [4]
Solve $\min _{\mathbf{W}} \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X W}\|_{2}^{2}$ s.t. $\|\mathbf{W}\|_{\infty, 1} \leq \tau$ by projected gradient descent (PGD). In each step we use our proposed algorithm or Sra [2].


Figure 3: Time per PGD iteration for solving the $\ell_{\infty, 1}$ projection problem Speedups of $\sim 120$ ( 10 hours $\rightarrow 3$ minutes)

Figure 4: Distribution of $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\|_{1}$ values (red) and optimal $\gamma$ value (blue). This data distribution explains the higher speedups obtained in the fMRI dataset.


## Conclusion

- New algorithm for projection onto the $\ell_{\infty, 1}$-norm ball with speedups of around 5-6 times or more. Higher speedups with favorable sparsity conditions or data distributions.

