### Deep Metric Learning using Similarities from Nonlinear Rank Approximations

Konstantin Schall, Kai Uwe Barthel, Nico Hezel, and Klaus Jung

Visual Computing Group - HTW Berlin visual-computing.com







Hochschule für Technik und Wirtschaft Berlin

University of Applied Sciences

• Convolutional Neural Networks map images xto high dimensional feature vectors f(x)





• Convolutional Neural Networks map images xto high dimensional feature vectors f(x)







### embedding

• Convolutional Neural Networks map images xto high dimensional feature vectors f(x)



Similar images have similar feature vectors (FVs)

### embedding





• Convolutional Neural Networks map images xto high dimensional feature vectors f(x)



 Similar images have similar feature vectors (FVs) Embeddings can be used for similarity search

### embedding







# Deep Metric Learning for Image Retrieval

Image similarity search: Retrieve feature vectors with small distances to a query vector q.



# Deep Metric Learning for Image Retrieval

Image similarity search: Retrieve feature vectors with small distances to a query vector q.



Deep metric learning based loss functions: Try to optimize the embedding by enforcing distances between vectors of the same class to be smaller than to different classes.

### Deep Metric Learning based Loss Functions $\boldsymbol{J}$

### Properties & problems of previously proposed Loss Functions

- Contrastive Loss
- Triplet Loss
- Lifted Structured Loss
- N-Pair Loss

• Nonlinear Rank Approximation Loss







### Deep Metric Learning based Loss Functions J

### **Properties & problems** of previously proposed Loss Functions

- Contrastive Loss
- Triplet Loss
- Lifted Structured Loss
- N-Pair Loss



(non gray FVs belong to a particular batch)

Nonlinear Rank Approximation Loss

### Deep Metric Learning based Loss Functions J

### **Properties & problems** of previously proposed Loss Functions

- Contrastive Loss
- Triplet Loss
- Lifted Structured Loss
- N-Pair Loss



(non gray FVs belong to a particular batch)

Nonlinear Rank Approximation Loss

### Contrastive Loss

Only uses two samples (feature vectors)

$$J_{i,j} = \tilde{y}_{i,j} \underline{D_{i,j}^2} + (1 - \tilde{y}_{i,j}) \max(0, \alpha - D)$$

same class:  $\tilde{y}_{i,j} = 1$ 



### Contrastive Loss

Only uses two samples (feature vectors)



## Triplet Loss

### Uses three samples: an anchor: *a* a positive sample of the same class: + a negative sample of a different class: -

$$J_{a,+,-} = \max\left(0, D_{a,+}^2 - D_{a,-}^2 + \alpha\right)$$



### Lifted Structured Loss

Uses more samples from one class, however focus on one class only



P: all pairs (i, j) of the same class, N: all samples of a different class



### Lifted Structured Loss

Uses more samples from one class, however focus on one class only







### N-Pair Loss

Uses multiple pairs of same class samples, however only one pair per class

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left( 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} \exp(\frac{f(x_i)^T f(x_j)}{f(x_i)} - \frac{f(x_i)^T f(x_i)}{f(x_i)} - \frac{f(x_i)}{f(x_i)} - \frac{f(x_i$$

Example of N=3 classes  $\rightarrow$  3 pairs *i* and *i*+:



 $(f)^T f(x_i^+)$ 

## N-Pair Loss

Uses multiple pairs of same class samples, however only one pair per class

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left( 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} \exp(\frac{f(x_i)^T f(x_j)}{f(x_i)} - \frac{f(x_i)^T f(x_i)}{f(x_i)} - \frac{f(x_i)}{f(x_i)} - \frac{f(x_i$$

Example of N=3 classes  $\rightarrow$  3 pairs *i* and *i*+:



 $(f)^T f(x_i^+)$ 

### Our proposal:

- Use multiple classes and
- Multiple samples per class
- As retrieval quality does not depend on the actual distances, but rather on the ranking order, use normalized approximated ranks instead of distances
- **Focus on** those batch elements that hurt image retrieval quality most
- Use a nonlinear rank transformation function to boost the impact of ranking errors

- Batches of size m = k n
  k classes
  n samples per class
- All FVs of the batch are treated as anchors





- Batches of size  $m = k \cdot n$ k classes *n* samples per class
- All FVs of the batch are treated as anchors
- Instead of evaluating all other samples of the batch, for each anchor focus on the most distant sample of the same class  $D^+_{max}$

the closest sample of a different class  $D_{min}^-$ 



 $D_{max}$ 

- Batches of size  $m = k \cdot n$ k classes *n* samples per class
- All FVs of the batch are treated as anchors
- Instead of evaluating all other samples of the batch, for each anchor focus on

the most distant sample of the same class  $D_{ma}^+$ the closest sample of a different class  $D_{min}^-$ 



 $D_{max}$ 



- Batches of size  $m = k \cdot n$ k classes *n* samples per class
- All FVs of the batch are treated as anchors
- Instead of evaluating all other samples of the batch, for each anchor focus on

the most distant sample of the same class  $D_{ma}^+$ the closest sample of a different class  $D_{min}^-$ 



 $D_{max}$ 



## Nonlinear Rank Approximation

• For each anchor *i* the distances are converted to approximated normalized ranks:

$$r_{i,j} = \frac{D_{i,j} - D_{i,\min}}{D_{i,\max} - D_{i,\min}} \in [0, 1]$$





# Nonlinear Rank Approximation

• For each anchor *i* the distances are converted to approximated normalized ranks:

$$r_{i,j} = \frac{D_{i,j} - D_{i,\min}}{D_{i,\max} - D_{i,\min}} \in [0,1]$$

 These ranks are nonlinearly transformed to **similarities**:

$$s_{i,j} = 1 - w(r_{i,j})$$





# Nonlinear Rank Approximation

 For each anchor i the distances are converted to approximated normalized ranks:

$$r_{i,j} = \frac{D_{i,j} - D_{i,\min}}{D_{i,\max} - D_{i,\min}} \in [0,1]$$

 These ranks are nonlinearly transformed to **similarities**:

$$s_{i,j} = 1 - w(r_{i,j})$$

Most distant sample of the same class:

Closest sample of a different class:

$$D^+_{i,max} \to r^+_{i,max} \to s^+_{i,max}$$

$$D^-_{i,min} \rightarrow r^-_{i,min}$$
 -







### NRA Loss Function

$$J = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \log(\underline{s_{i,\max}^+}) + \log(1 - \underline{s_{i,\max}^-}) \right)$$

Three of the 12 specific configurations of the previous example:





### Evaluation of Nonlinear Transfer Function w

• The approximated ranks  $r_{i,j}$  are nonlinearly transformed to similarities by  $s_{i,j} = 1 - w(r_{i,j})$ 

$$w(r;\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(2r)^{\alpha} & r \in [0, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2}(2(1-r))^{\alpha} & r \in [\frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$



Transfer function (left), the corresponding loss component (center), and Recall@K results on the Cars196 data set (right)

 $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ 

### Visualization of the 2D Embedding Space

### ... for different loss functions using the MNIST data set





/ J N N N I ) N / J I N / I / I 2222222222222222 

## Fine Tuning for Unseen Object Retrieval

- Protocol introduced by Song et al. 2016 is strictly followed for comparability
- 3 Datasets CUB200-2011, Cars196, Stanford Online Products (SOP) are split such that the images from the first half of categories are used for training and images from the second half are used for testing
- GoogleNet is used as the CNN
- Feature vectors in 64 and 512 dimensions



### Fine Tuning for Unseen Object Retrieval

### Recall@1 values for 64/512 dimensional FVs (Reproduced results)

Method	CUB	Cars196	
Triplet	46.3 / 51.6	56.5 / 58.4	57
Lifted	45.7 / 55.7	48.8 / 50.7	61
N-Pair	51.8 / 56.4	63.3 / 68.3	63
NRA (ours)	57.6 / 64.3	73.0 / 81.9	71



### Comparison to the State of the Art

### Recall@1 values from different methods

Method	Network	Dim.	CUB	Cars196
Margin	ResNet50 v2	128	63.6	79.6
NRA (ours)	ResNet50 v2	128	64.5	79.9
Angular	GoogLeNet	512	54.7	71.4
A-BIER	GoogLeNet	512	57.5	82.0
ABE-8	GoogLeNet (x8)	512	60.6	85.2
NRA (ours)	GoogLeNet	512	64.3	82.1





### Thank you very much!

### More Information at

### www.visual-computing.com