

PositNN: Training Deep Neural Networks with Mixed Low-Precision Posit IEEE ICASSP 2021

Introduction

Outline

ing Gonçalo Raposo Pedro Tomás Nuno Roma goncalo.cascalho.raposo@tecnico.ulisboa.pt pedro.tomas@inesc-id.pt nuno.roma@inesc-id.pt

INESC-ID, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

June, 2021

1/12





Introduction

Outline

- Introduction
- Posit Numbering System
- Deep Learning Posit Framework
- Training with Low-Precision Posits
- Experimental Evaluation
- Conclusion
- References

- Deep Learning (DL) requires lots of computing power and energy (e.g., training GPT-3 would cost \$4.6M [1])
 - Low-precision formats are an efficient way to reduce the memory footprint and power consumption
- The novel Posit format is designed as a direct drop-in replacement for the IEEE floating-point, providing higher accuracy in certain application domains for lower energy consumption [2]



Figure: Growth of the computing power demanded by DL against the hardware performance [3].



Introduction

Related Work

Outline			_	-
	Montero et al. (2019) [4]	Langroudi et al. (2019) [5]	Lu et al. (2020) [6]	Murillo et al. (2020) [7]
Introduction Posit Numbering System Deep	 Trained a Fully Connected Neural Network (FCNN) using posits; 	 Trained a FCNN using {32, 16}-bit posits; Evaluated the 	 Trained Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) using 	 Trained CNNs using {32, 16}-bit posits and quires; Evaluated
Learning Posit Framework Training with Low- Precision Posits	 Evaluated {32, 16, 14, 12, 10, 8}-bit posits; Irregular convergence for posit(10, 0) and 	MNIST and Fashion MNIST datasets.	 posits; 16-bit posits for the optimizer and last layer, and 8-bit posits everywhere else; 	CIFAR-10 dataset;Posit(8, 0) did not converge.
Experimental Evaluation Conclusion References	posit(8, 0).		 Used floats for the 1st epoch and intermediate calculations. 	

Table: Related work regarding neural network training using Posits.

X All works are unable to completely and properly train a DNN using posits smaller than 16 bits.



Posit Numbering System

Outline

Introduction

- Posit Numbering System
- Deep Learning Posit Framework
- Training with Low-Precision Posits
- Experimental Evaluation
- Conclusion
- References

- Proposed in 2017 by Dr. John L. Gustafson [8]
- Any precision/number of bits (nbits) and exponent size (es) – Posit(nbits, es)
- No overflow nor underflow and tapered precision – numbers near 1 are more accurate

$$p = (-1)^{\mathsf{sign}} imes 2^{2^{\mathsf{es}} imes k} imes 2^{\mathsf{exponent}} imes (1 + \mathsf{fraction})$$

sign	regime	exponent	fraction	
bit	bits	bits, if any	bits, if any	
<u>s</u> r	$r_{-}r_{-}r_{-}\cdots$	$\overline{r} e_1 e_2 e_3 \cdots e_e$	$f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 f_5 f_6 \cdots$	

Figure: Generic posit format [8].



Figure: Comparison of posit(8, 1) and float decimal accuracies [8].



Deep Learning Posit Framework

PositNN Framework

Outline

- Popular DL frameworks: PyTorch and TensorFlow
 - They do not natively support the novel posit format
 - Supporting posits would require to reimplement most of their functions and operators
- Learning Posit Framework

Deep

Training wit Low-Precision

- Experimental Evaluation
- Conclusion
- References

- ✓ A new DL framework was developed PositNN
- Supports posits and quires of any precision simulated via software with the Universal library [9]
- / Implemented in C++ with multithreading support



Figure: Block diagram of DNN training and inference.



Deep Learning Posit Framework

Functionalities

Outline

Posit TensorLayersActivation FunctionsLoss FunctionsOptimizerDeep Learning Posit Framework> Multidimensional arrays with posits> Linear: Equivalent to matrices operations> ReLU> Mean Squared Error (MSE)> SGD: Momentum and Learning Rate (LR) schedulerTraining with Low- Precision Posits> Accumulate using quires> Convolutional: Performs a convolution for a 3D input (e.g. image)> ReLU> Mean Squared Error (MSE)> SGD: Momentum and Learning Rate (LR) schedulerExperimental Evaluation> Save and load to a binary file> Pooling operations> Pooling operationsConclusion> Convert from/to> Dropout> Dropout	Donit					
Deep Learning Posit Multidimensional arrays with posits Linear: Equivalent to matrices operations ReLU Mean Squared Error (MSE) SGD: Momentum and Learning Rate (LR) scheduler Training with Low- Precision Posits Basic arithmetic operations Convolutional: Performs a convolution for a 3D input (e.g. image) TanH Mean Squared Error (MSE) SGD: Momentum and Learning Rate (LR) scheduler Experimental Evaluation Save and load to a binary file Pooling operations Pooling operations Conclusion Convert from/to Dropout Dropout	Numbering System	Posit Tensor	Layers	Activation Functions	Loss Functions	Optimizer
References PyTorch tensor	Deep Learning Posit Framework Training with Low- Precision Posits Experimental Evaluation Conclusion References	 Multidimensional arrays with posits Basic arithmetic operations Accumulate using quires Save and load to a binary file Convert from/to PyTorch tensor 	 Linear: Equivalent to matrices operations Convolutional: Performs a convolution for a 3D input (e.g. image) Pooling operations Dropout 	ReLUSigmoidTanH	 Mean Squared Error (MSE) Cross Entropy 	SGD: Momentum and Learning Rate (LR) scheduler

Table: Supported functionalities of PositNN.



Training with Low-Precision Posits

Minimum Posit Precision

Outline

Introduction

Posit Numbering System

- Deep Learning Posit Framework
- Training with Low-Precision Posits
- Experimenta Evaluation
- Conclusion
- References

Training LeNet-5 on Fashion MNIST

- 16-bit posits achieved an accuracy equivalent to 32-bit floats
 - 8-bit posits are unable to converge
- es=0 penalizes the achieved model accuracy (small dynamic range)

Table: Evaluation of how different posit precisions compare to 32-bit float for DNN training.

Format		Accuracy			
	es=0 $es=1$		<i>es</i> = 2		
Float (FP32)		90.28%			
Posit16	88.23%	90.87%	90.55%		
Posit12	66.66%	90.15%	90.26%		
Posit10	19.86%	88.15%	88.52%		
Posit9	11.65%	84.65%	82.50%		
Posit8	10.00%	12.54%	12.55%		



Figure: Training progress of posit and 32-bit float.



Training with Low-Precision Posits

Mixed Precision Configurations

Outline

Introduction

Posit Numbering System

Deep Learning Posit Framework

Training with Low-Precision Posits

Experimental Evaluation

Conclusion

References

Training LeNet-5 on Fashion MNIST

- The goal is to reduce the precision to 8 bits;
- The gradients decrease as the model converges – vanishing gradient problem;
- Insufficient dynamic range and resolution with narrow posit precisions;
- Increasing the optimizer and loss precisions to {16, 12}-bit posits is enough;

Table:Training with posit(8,2) for everythingexcept optimizer and loss.Compared to 32-bit float.

Mix Optimizer (O)	ked Precision Accuracy	Configuration Loss (L)	Accuracy
Float(FP32)	90.28%	Float(FP32)	90.28%
016-L8 _q	88.14%	012-L16 _q	90.03%
$O12-L8_q$	88.06%	$O12-L12_q$	90.07%
$O10-L8_q$	86.07%	$O12-L10_q$	90.13%
O9-L8 _q	84.80%	$O12-L9_q$	89.35%
08-L8 _q	19.39%	$O12-L8_q$	88.0%



Figure: Mixed precision training configuration.



Experimental Evaluation

Experimental Setup

Outline

- Introduction
- Posit Numbering System
- Deep Learning Posit Framework
- Training with Low-Precision Posits
- Experimental Evaluation
- Conclusion
- References

- Evaluation with various datasets and models
- DNN training using a mixed low-precision posit configuration (8-bit posit for everything except optimizer and loss)

Table: Considered datasets, models, andnumber of epochs used for training.

Dataset	Model	Epochs
MNIST	LeNet-5	10
Fashion MNIST	LeNet-5	10
CIFAR-10	CifarNet	20
CIFAR-100	CifarNet	20

 Table:
 Configurations used for the training of the various CNNs. LR is for Learning Rate.

Loss	Optimizer	Initial LR	LR Scheduler	Momentum	Batch Size
Cross Entropy	SGD	1/16	Divide by 2 after every 4 epochs	0.5	64



Experimental Evaluation

DNN Training Evaluation

Outline

Introduction

Posit Numbering System

Deep Learning Posit Framework

Training with Low-Precision Posits

Experimental Evaluation

Conclusion

References

Table: Accuracy evaluation of using posits for training with mixed precision and various datasets and models. The obtained results were compared against the same models trained with 32-bit floats with PyTorch.

Format	MNIST Fashion MNIST (LeNet-5) (LeNet-5)		CIFAR-10 (CifarNet)		CIFAR-100 (CifarNet)	
	Accuracy	Accuracy	Top-1	Top-3	Top-1	Top-5
Float (FP32)	99.21 %	90.28%	70.79%	92.64%	36.35%	66.92%
Posit8 and O16-L16 _q	99.19%	90.46%	71.30%	92.65%	35.41%	67.00%
Posit8 and O16-L12 $_q$	99.17%	90.14%	71.09%	92.83%	35.27%	66.57%
Posit8 and O12-L12 $_q$	99.20%	90.07%	68.28%	91.22%	25.85%	57.77%
Posit8 and O12-L10 $_q$	99.17%	90.13%	68.41%	91.41%	25.37%	56.21%

- Mixed precision posit configuration allows to replace 32-bit floats for DNN training
- $\blacktriangleright~85-95\%$ of the computations are performed with only 8-bit posits, $\sim 4\times$ less memory
- \blacktriangleright Langroudi et al. (2019) [5] observed an accuracy loss of $\sim 7\%$ for 16-bit floats

PositNN - IEEE ICASSP 2021



Outline

Conclusion

Conclusion

Main Contributions

- Proposed a new DNN framework PositNN¹ for training and inference using posits and quires
 - Evaluated multiple CNNs and datasets using posits of various precisions
 - 8-bit posits can replace 32-bit floats in a mixed precision configuration for DNN training (accuracy degradation < 1%)

Future Work

- Evaluate these results in a hardware implementation of a posit unit, its critical path (time) and energy consumption (ongoing)
- Compare posits to other numerical formats, such as block floating-point
- Explore adapting the posit precision during run-time

¹Available at: https://github.com/hpc-ulisboa/posit-neuralnet

PositNN - IEEE ICASSP 2021



References

- Outline
- Introduction
- Posit Numbering System
- Deep Learning Posit Framework
- Training with Low-Precision Posits
- Experimental Evaluation
- Conclusion
- References

- C. Li, "OpenAI's GPT-3 Language Model: A Technical Overview," June 2020. Accessed on 2020-10-13.
- [2] R. Chaurasiya, J. Gustafson, R. Shrestha, J. Neudorfer, S. Nambiar, K. Niyogi, F. Merchant, and R. Leupers, "Parameterized Posit Arithmetic Hardware Generator," in 2018 IEEE 36th International Conference on Computer Design (ICCD), pp. 334–341, IEEE, Oct. 2018.
- [3] N. C. Thompson, K. Greenewald, K. Lee, and G. F. Manso, "The Computational Limits of Deep Learning," arXiv: 2007.05558, July 2020.
- [4] R. M. Montero, A. A. D. Barrio, and G. Botella, "Template-Based Posit Multiplication for Training and Inferring in Neural Networks," arXiv: 1907.04091, July 2019.
- [5] H. F. Langroudi, Z. Carmichael, D. Pastuch, and D. Kudithipudi, "Cheetah: Mixed Low-Precision Hardware & Software Co-Design Framework for DNNs on the Edge," arXiv: 1908.02386, pp. 1–13, Aug. 2019.
- [6] J. Lu, C. Fang, M. Xu, J. Lin, and Z. Wang, "Evaluations on Deep Neural Networks Training Using Posit Number System," IEEE Transactions on Computers, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 1–1, 2020.
- [7] R. Murillo, A. A. D. Barrio, and G. Botella, "Deep PeNSieve: A deep learning framework based on the posit number system," Digital Signal Processing, vol. 102, p. 102762, jul 2020.
- [8] J. L. Gustafson and I. Yonemoto, "Beating Floating Point at its Own Game: Posit Arithmetic," Supercomputing Frontiers and Innovations, vol. 4, pp. 71–86, June 2017.
- [9] Stillwater Supercomputing, Inc., "stillwater-sc/universal: Universal Number Arithmetic GitHub," 2020. Accessed on 2020-11-02.