ICASSP 2022 - Singapore

Graph Convolutional Neural Networks With Autoencoder-Based Compression and Multi Layer Graph Learning

Lorenzo Giusti, Claudio Battiloro, Paolo Di Lorenzo, Sergio Barbarossa







Outline

- Introduction and Research purposes
- Mathematical Background
- Autoencoder-Aided Graph Convolutional Network
- Joint Training and Multi-Layer Representation Learning
- Experimental Results

- Conclusion and Future Developments





Graph Deep Learning: Dealing with lots of "edges"

- Non-trivial applications have a graph structure which accounts millions or even billions of nodes
- Dealing with huge graphs can be computationally unfeasible if the common geometric deep learning techniques
- The more you go in depth within the network the more the initial graph structure cannot encode well the pairwise relationships







Mathematical Background

- Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a weighted undirected graph
 - $\mathscr{V} = \{1, \dots, N\}$ is the set of vertices
 - $\mathscr{E} = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j\in\mathscr{V}}$ is the set of weighted edges
- Let $\mathbf{A} = \{a_{i,j}\}, i, j = 1, ..., N$ be the adjacency matrix of \mathcal{G}
- Let $\mathbf{L} = \mathsf{diag}(\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{A}$ be the Laplacian matrix of \mathscr{G}
 - diag(x) is a matrix having x as main diagonal, and zeros elsewhere
- A graph signal x is a mapping $x : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$
- Graph data are collections of graph signals $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}^f\}_{f=1}^F \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$







Mathematical Background

• Linear Shift Invariant Graph Filter:

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}^{[1]}$$

[1] D. Shuman et al., "The emerging field of signal processing on graphs: Extending high-dimensional data analysis to networks and other irregular domains," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 30, 10 2012.



Mathematical Background

• Linear Shift Invariant Graph Filter:

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}^{[1]}$$

• The $\ell - th$ layer of a state of the art GCN can be summarised as:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_{l}^{g} = \sigma_{l} \left(\sum_{f=1}^{F_{l-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{K_{l}-1} \mathbf{h}_{lk}^{fg} \mathbf{S}^{k} \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_{l-1}^{f} \right), g = 1, \dots, F_{l}.$$

[1] D. Shuman et al., "The emerging field of signal processing on graphs: Extending high-dimensional data analysis to networks and other irregular domains," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 30, 10 2012.



State of the Art Architecture



• This architecture uses the same graph for all layers!

[2] Fernando Gama et al., Graphs, Convolutions, and Neural Networks, 2021, arXiv:2003.03777.





Autoencoder-Aided Graph Convolutional Network



- Each layer is composed of three main stages:
 - Filtering
 - Compression
 - Non-Linearity
- The idea is to have a tunable compression operation induced by the encoding function of the autoencoders

[3] Lorenzo Giusti et al. Graph Convolutional Networks With Autoencoder-Based Compression and Multi-Layer Graph Learning



Autoencoder-Aided Graph Convolutional Network



• The forward rule for the l - th layer is defined as:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_{l}^{g} = \sigma_{l}(f_{l}^{e}(\mathbf{u}_{l}^{g})), \quad g = 1,...,F_{l}$$

• Where:

$$\mathbf{u}_{l}^{g} = \sum_{f=1}^{F_{l-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{K_{l}-1} h_{lk}^{fg} \mathbf{S}_{l}^{k} \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_{l-1}^{f}, \quad g = 1, \dots, F_{l}$$

• Up to now, there is the need to learn a new graph to be used in the next layer



- The architecture requires a joint training of graph filter weights, autoencoder parameters, and per-layer graph representation.
- Assuming that the shift operator \mathbf{S}_l is a function of the adjacency \mathbf{A}_l and letting $W = \{w_l\}_{l=1}^L$ be the set of all autoencoder's parameters. Then, the joint training problem reads as:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}} & \mathscr{L}(\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}; \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i \in \mathscr{T}}) \\ &+ \eta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{g=1}^{F_l} ||f_l^d \circ f_l^e(\mathbf{w}_l; \mathbf{u}_l^g) - \mathbf{u}_l^g||_2^2 \\ &+ \beta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}\{\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l^T \mathbf{L}_l \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l\} - \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{1}) + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{L} ||\mathbf{A}_l||_F^2 \\ &\text{subject to} \\ & [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,i} = 0, \qquad [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,j} = [\mathbf{A}_l]_{j,i} \ge 0, \qquad \forall i, j, l \\ &\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{L}_l\} = d_l, \qquad L_l = diag(\mathbf{1}^T A_l) - A_l, \ \forall l \end{split}$$



- The architecture requires a joint training of graph filter weights, autoencoder parameters, and per-layer graph representation.
- Assuming that the shift operator \mathbf{S}_l is a function of the adjacency \mathbf{A}_l and letting $W = \{w_l\}_{l=1}^L$ be the set of all autoencoder's parameters. Then, the joint training problem reads as:

$$\min_{\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}} \mathscr{L}(\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}; \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{T}})$$

$$+ \eta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{g=1}^{F_l} ||f_l^d \circ f_l^e(\mathbf{w}_l; \mathbf{u}_l^g) - \mathbf{u}_l^g||_2^2$$

$$+ \beta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}\{\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l^T \mathbf{L}_l \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l\} - \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{1}) + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{L} ||\mathbf{A}_l||_F^2$$
subject to
$$[\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,i} = 0, \qquad [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,j} = [\mathbf{A}_l]_{j,i} \ge 0, \qquad \forall i, j, l$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}\{\mathbf{L}_l\} = d_l, \qquad L_l = diag(\mathbf{1}^T A_l) - A_l, \forall l$$



- The architecture requires a joint training of graph filter weights, autoencoder parameters, and per-layer graph representation.
- Assuming that the shift operator \mathbf{S}_l is a function of the adjacency \mathbf{A}_l and letting $W = \{w_l\}_{l=1}^L$ be the set of all autoencoder's parameters. Then, the joint training problem reads as:

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}} \mathscr{L}(\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}; \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i \in \mathscr{T}}) \\ & + \eta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{g=1}^{F_l} ||f_l^d \circ f_l^e(\mathbf{w}_l; \mathbf{u}_l^g) - \mathbf{u}_l^g||_2^2 \\ & + \beta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}\{\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l^T \mathbf{L}_l \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l\} - \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{1}) + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{L} ||\mathbf{A}_l||_F^2 \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,i} = 0, \quad [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,j} = [\mathbf{A}_l]_{j,i} \ge 0, \quad \forall i, j, l \\ & \operatorname{Tr}\{\mathbf{L}_l\} = d_l, \quad L_l = diag(\mathbf{1}^T A_l) - A_l, \; \forall l \end{split}$$



- The architecture requires a joint training of graph filter weights, autoencoder parameters, and per-layer graph representation.
- Assuming that the shift operator \mathbf{S}_l is a function of the adjacency \mathbf{A}_l and letting $W = \{w_l\}_{l=1}^L$ be the set of all autoencoder's parameters. Then, the joint training problem reads as:

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}} \quad \mathscr{L}(\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}; \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i \in \mathscr{T}}) \\ & + \eta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{g=1}^{F_l} ||f_l^d \circ f_l^e(\mathbf{w}_l; \mathbf{u}_l^g) - \mathbf{u}_l^g||_2^2 \\ & + \beta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}\{\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l^T \mathbf{L}_l \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l\} + \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{1}) + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{L} ||\mathbf{A}_l||_F^2 \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,i} = 0, \qquad [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,j} = [\mathbf{A}_l]_{j,i} \ge 0, \qquad \forall i, j, l \\ & \operatorname{Tr}\{\mathbf{L}_l\} = d_l, \qquad L_l = diag(\mathbf{1}^T A_l) - A_l, \ \forall l \end{split}$$



- The architecture requires a joint training of graph filter weights, autoencoder parameters, and per-layer graph representation.
- Assuming that the shift operator \mathbf{S}_l is a function of the adjacency \mathbf{A}_l and letting $W = \{w_l\}_{l=1}^L$ be the set of all autoencoder's parameters. Then, the joint training problem reads as:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}} & \mathscr{L}(\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}; \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i \in \mathscr{T}}) \\ &+ \eta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{g=1}^{F_l} ||f_l^d \circ f_l^e(\mathbf{w}_l; \mathbf{u}_l^g) - \mathbf{u}_l^g||_2^2 \\ &+ \beta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}\{\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l^T \mathbf{L}_l \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l\} + \underbrace{\gamma \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{1})}_{l=1} + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{L} ||\mathbf{A}_l||_F^2 \\ &\text{subject to} \\ & [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,i} = 0, \quad [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,j} = [\mathbf{A}_l]_{j,i} \ge 0, \quad \forall i, j, l \\ &\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{L}_l\} = d_l, \quad L_l = diag(\mathbf{1}^T A_l) - A_l, \; \forall l \end{split}$$



- The architecture requires a joint training of graph filter weights, autoencoder parameters, and per-layer graph representation.
- Assuming that the shift operator \mathbf{S}_l is a function of the adjacency \mathbf{A}_l and letting $W = \{w_l\}_{l=1}^L$ be the set of all autoencoder's parameters. Then, the joint training problem reads as:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}} & \mathscr{L}(\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}; \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i \in \mathscr{T}}) \\ &+ \eta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{g=1}^{F_l} ||f_l^d \circ f_l^e(\mathbf{w}_l; \mathbf{u}_l^g) - \mathbf{u}_l^g||_2^2 \\ &+ \beta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}\{\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l^T \mathbf{L}_l \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l\} - \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{1}) + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{L} ||\mathbf{A}_l||_F^2 \\ &\text{subject to} \\ & [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,i} = 0, \quad [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,j} = [\mathbf{A}_l]_{j,i} \ge 0, \quad \forall i, j, l \\ & \operatorname{Tr}\{\mathbf{L}_l\} = d_l, \quad L_l = diag(\mathbf{1}^T A_l) - A_l, \; \forall l \end{split}$$



- The architecture requires a joint training of graph filter weights, autoencoder parameters, and per-layer graph representation.
- Assuming that the shift operator \mathbf{S}_l is a function of the adjacency \mathbf{A}_l and letting $W = \{w_l\}_{l=1}^L$ be the set of all autoencoder's parameters. Then, the joint training problem reads as:

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}} \quad \mathscr{L}(\{\mathbf{A}_l\}_{l=1}^{L}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{W}; \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i \in \mathscr{T}}) \\ & + \eta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{g=1}^{F_l} ||f_l^d \circ f_l^e(\mathbf{w}_l; \mathbf{u}_l^g) - \mathbf{u}_l^g||_2^2 \\ & + \beta \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}\{\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l^T \mathbf{L}_l \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_l\} - \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{1}) + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{L} ||\mathbf{A}_l||_F^2 \\ & \text{subject-to} \\ & [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,i} = 0, \quad [\mathbf{A}_l]_{i,j} = [\mathbf{A}_l]_{j,i} \ge 0, \quad \forall i, j, l \\ & \operatorname{Tr}\{\mathbf{L}_l\} = d_l, \quad L_l = diag(\mathbf{1}^T A_l) - A_l, \; \forall l \end{split}$$



Joint Training of AA-GNN With Multi-Layer Graph Learning: Half Vectorization

- Since the adjacency matrices are symmetric, the number of variables of the optimization problem can be greatly reduced
- Let $\alpha_l = \operatorname{vech}(\mathbf{A}_l) \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}}$ be the half-vectorization of \mathbf{A}_l , obtained by vectorizing only the lower triangular part of \mathbf{A}_l .
- The following relations hold:

$$\mathsf{vec}(\mathbf{A}_l) = \mathbf{M}_d \pmb{\alpha}_l \quad \iff \quad \mathbf{A}_l = \mathsf{vec}^{-1}(\mathbf{M}_d \pmb{\alpha}_l)$$



Joint Training of AA-GNN With Multi-Layer Graph Learning: Training Algorithm

- A stochastic gradient based optimizer is chosen
- An optimizer-dependent back-propagation step is performed at each iteration on the current estimates to update them towards a descent direction
- Finally, the graphs estimates are obtained by projecting the updated variables on the feasible set

function AA-GCN TRAINING(Inputs)
for
$$t \in [1, E]$$
 do
 $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = \Delta_{\mu} \Big(\nabla_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{L} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{t}; \mathcal{B}_{t}, \{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{l,t} \}_{l}, \widehat{\mathbf{W}}_{t} \right) \Big)$
 $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}_{t+1} = \Delta_{\mu} \Big(\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{W}}_{t}; \mathcal{B}_{t}, \{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{l,t} \}_{l}, \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{t} \right) \Big)$
 $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{l,t+1} = \Pi \Big(\Delta_{\mu} \Big(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{l}} \mathcal{L} \left(\{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{l,t} \}_{l}, \mathcal{B}_{t}, \widehat{\mathbf{W}}_{t}, \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{t} \right) \Big) \Big), \forall l$
return $\{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{l} \}_{l} = \{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{l,E} \}_{l}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{W}} = \widehat{\mathbf{W}}_{E}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{H}} = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{E}$



Experimental Results: Robustness to Compression

- We assessed the performance of the proposed architecture and training procedure we evaluated on the authorship attribution task
- The results show the accuracy score compared to the compression ratio:

$$\rho = \frac{N_1}{N}$$

- For a fair comparison, the second hidden layer does not provide a coarser version of the first one
- AA-GNN outperforms all the other SOTA's architectures even in a huge compression setting





Experimental Results: Robustness to AWGN

- The performance of the proposed architecture and training procedure are evaluated on the source localisation task
- The results show the accuracy score compared to the SNR of the training data:

$$\mathsf{SNR} = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}^2}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2} \right)$$

- $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}^2$ is the variance of the data used for training our model
- σ_{ε}^2 is the variance of the AWGN





Conclusions and Future Developments

- We have enabled tunable compression of the convolutional features, while learning different graph representations jointly with the GNN parameters
- The architecture scales well with the number of nodes of the input graph, extracting higher level representations of the convolutional features.
- Experiments illustrate the competitive performance of our architecture with respect to state of the art methods
- Future developments of this research trend include:
 - Topological Neural Networks
 - Explainability
 - Add regularisations to the autoencoders' loss

