

2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing



# DIFFEVENT: EVENT RESIDUAL DIFFUSION FOR IMAGE DEBLURRING

Pei Wang, Jiumei He, Qingsen Yan\*, Yu Zhu, Jinqiu Sun, Yanning Zhang

17 April, 2024

# Image blurring process

• Conventional degradation model:



**x**: sharp image



**k**: blur kernel



**y**: blurry image

 $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{n}$ 

\*: convolution operation **n**: additive noise

Task description: From a blurred image to find a corresponding sharp image.

# Challenges

- Severely ill-posed problem
- No unique answer





Blurred image y

?  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{n}$ 

Х

# General solution pipeline

#### Traditional optimization methods



With the development of deep learning, more and more researches try to use the neural network to replace the traditional optimization process and achieve great progress.

# Conventional deep learning methods

• Based on conventional degradation process, researchers developed various networks for alleviating the blurriness in synthetic scenarios



[1] Dynamic Scene Deblurring With Parameter Selective Sharing and Nested Skip Connections, CVPR 2019

- [2] Deep multi-scale convolutional neural network for dynamic scene deblurring, CVPR 2017
- [3] Scale-recurrent network for deep image deblurring, CVPR 2018
- [4] Rethinking Coarse-to-Fine Approach in Single Image Deblurring, ICCV 2021

### Problems in conventional deblurring

- Conventional degradation model is constructed based on several assumptions: convolution process, Gaussian noise, motion kernels...
- Real-world scenarios include complicated degradation processes, even unknown degradation form

$$\frac{?}{y = k * x + n}$$



Conventional degradation model: convolution form, unknown parameters Real-world degradation: complicated and unknown form

Conventional degradation model didn't work in the real-world degradation scenarios!

#### Our works: Introduce the event camera data into conventional image deblurring task to assist the scene restoration

#### Event camera

- Event cameras record an asynchronous stream of per-pixel brightness changes, called "events".
- Event data consists of a stream that encode the time, location, and polarity (-1,+1) of the brightness changes.





L is the intensity image, *f* is the reference timestamp. The event is triggered when a change in the **log intensity** exceeds a given **threshold** *c*.



# Advantages of event camera for deblurring

- High temporal resolution, low latency (<  $1\mu s$  vs. 1ms)
- High dynamic range (140dB vs. 60dB)
- No motion blur
- Low power consumption (20mW vs. 1.5W)



Event cameras do not suffer from blur and saturation issues

### Correlation between blur image - event data

• Let e(s) refer to current event, then event data from  $\tau$  to t is  $E(\tau, t)$ , image at t is marked as:

$$x(t) = x(\tau) \exp(cE(\tau, t)) = x(\tau) \exp(c\int_{\tau}^{t} e(s)ds)$$
(1)

• For a blurry image y obtained by a conventional camera during exposure period  $[\tau - \frac{T}{2}, \tau + \frac{T}{2}]$  with event data:

EDI model<sup>[5]</sup>: 
$$y = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau-T/2}^{\tau+T/2} x(t) dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau-T/2}^{\tau+T/2} x(\tau) \exp(c \int_{\tau}^{t} e(s) ds) dt$$
 (2)

• However, EDI is an ideal model due to the fixed threshold c and noise free assumptions.

#### Issues of EDI model in real-world

- Spatial uncertainty thresholds *c*
- Neglected motion information by thresholds *c*
- Sensor noise in raw event data

$$p_i = \begin{cases} +1, if \log(\frac{\tau_t(x_i, y_i)}{\tau_{t-\Delta t}(x_i, y_i)}) > c \\ -1, if \log(\frac{\tau_t(x_i, y_i)}{\tau_{t-\Delta t}(x_i, y_i)}) < -c \end{cases}$$

 $y = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau - T/2}^{\tau + T/2} x(\tau) \exp(c \int_{\tau}^{t} e(s) ds) dt$ 

Event data in [-c, c] has been neglected



# Our Method: DiffEvent

Contributions:

- First method to introduce the diffusion into event deblurring task.
- Event Blurry Residual Degradation (EBRD) model for real-world blur degradation construction.
- Event Residual Diffusion for Image Deblurring (DiffEvent)
  - Alternative sampling strategy of clear images and residual images
  - Pseudo-inverse guidance to generate high-quality images

# **Event Blurry Residual Degradation model**

• We propose EBRD model:

$$E = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau - \frac{T}{2}}^{\tau + \frac{T}{2}} \exp(c \int_{\tau}^{t} e(s) ds) dt$$
(3)

$$y = (E + \Delta E) \cdot x = f(E) \cdot x + r = \mathcal{D}(x, E, r) \quad (4)$$

- *E*: raw event data
- $\Delta E$ : residuals of the raw event data
- Function f(E): alleviate the noise in the raw event data
- Residual image r: supplement the motion clues ignored by threshold

### **Event Residual Diffusion for Image Deblurring**





# $\mu_t(x_t, \hat{x}_0) = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\beta_t}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\hat{x}_0 + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}_t(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}x_t$

#### Noise diffusion model



Use variational lower bound

- Forward diffusion:  $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{\alpha_t}x_{t-1}, (1-\alpha_t)I)$  (5)
- Inverse sampling:  $p(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \sigma_t^2(x_t, t)I)$  (6)
- Sample by predicted  $x_0$ :  $x_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon$  (7)

$$p(x_{t-1}|x_t, \hat{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_t(x_t, \hat{x}_0), \sigma_t^2 I)$$
(8)

#### Alternative sampling strategy

Step x: sampling  $x_{t-1}$  from  $x_t$  and  $r_t$  $p(x_{t-1}|x_t, y, r_t) = p(x_{t-1}|x_t) \frac{p(y|x_{t-1}, r_t)}{p(y|x_t, r_t)} \frac{p(r_t|x_{t-1})}{p(r_t|x_t)}$  $= p(x_{t-1}|x_t)e^{\log p(y|x_{t-1},r_t) - \log p(y|x_t,r_t)}e^{\log p(r_t|x_{t-1}) - \log p(r_t|x_t)}$  $\approx p(x_{t-1}|x_t) exp[(x_{t-1} - x_t) \cdot \nabla_{x_t} \log(p(y|x_t, r_t) + p(r_t|x_t))]$  $p(x_{t-1}|x_t, y, r_t) \propto \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_t + \sigma_t^2 \nabla_{x_t} \log p(y|x_t, r_t) + \sigma_t^2 \nabla_{x_t} \log p(r_t|x_t)), \sigma_t^2 I)$ (9) Step r: sampling  $r_{t-1}$  from  $x_{t-1}$  and  $r_t$  $p(r_{t-1}|x_{t-1}, y, r_t) \propto \mathcal{N}(r_{t-1}; \mu'_t + \sigma'^2_t \nabla_{r_t} \log p(y|x_{t-1}, r_t) + \sigma'^2_t \nabla_{r_t} \log p(x_{t-1}|r_t)), \sigma'^2_t I)$ (10)

#### Pseudo-inverse guidance

• Based on EBRD, we introduce blurry image y into sampling process:

Sample x  

$$p(y|x_{t}, r_{t}) = exp(-s\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(x_{t}, E, r_{t}), y)) = exp(-s ||y - (f(E)x_{t} + r_{t})||_{2}^{2}) \quad (11)$$

$$p(r_{t}|x_{t}) = \frac{p(x_{t}|r_{t})p(r_{t})}{p(x_{t})} = exp(-\mathcal{L}(y - r_{t}, f(E)x_{t}) - ||r_{t}||_{1} - \lambda ||x_{t}||_{TV}) \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{t} - \sigma_{t}^{2}\nabla_{x_{t}}[s ||y - f(E)x_{t} - r_{t}||_{2}^{2} + \lambda ||x_{t}||_{TV}], \sigma_{t}^{2}I) \quad (13)$$

$$p(y|x_{t-1}, r_{t}) = exp(-s'\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(x_{t-1}, E, r_{t}), y)) = exp(-s' ||y - (f(E)x_{t-1} + r_{t})||_{2}^{2})) \quad (14)$$

$$p(x_{t-1}|r_{t}) = \frac{p(r_{t}|x_{t-1})p(x_{t-1})}{p(r_{t})} = exp(-\mathcal{L}(y - f(E)x_{t-1}, r_{t}) - ||r_{t}||_{1} - \lambda' ||x_{t-1}||_{TV}) \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(r_{t-1}; \mu'_{t} - \sigma'_{t}^{2}\nabla_{r_{t}}[s' ||y - f(E)x_{t-1} - r_{t}||_{2}^{2} + \lambda' ||r_{t}||_{1}], \sigma'_{t}^{2}I) \quad (16)$$

### Sampling algorithm

- Similar to original diffusion process<sup>[7]</sup>, we sample images from  $\hat{x}_0$ ,  $\hat{r}_0$
- $\hat{x}_0$  and  $\hat{x}_0$  are predicted from  $x_t$  and  $r_t$
- $\hat{x}_0^*$  refers to prediction from  $x_{t-1}$

 $x_{t-1} = \mu_t - \sigma_t^2 \nabla_{\hat{x}_0} [s\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(\hat{x}_0, E, \hat{r}_0), y) + \lambda \| \hat{x}_0 \|_{TV}] + \sigma_t \epsilon$  $r_{t-1} = \mu_t' - \sigma_t'^2 \nabla_{\hat{r}_0} [s'\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(\hat{x}_0^*, E, \hat{r}_0), y) + \lambda' \| \hat{r}_0 \|_1] + \sigma_t' \epsilon$ 

Sampling models

[7] Jonathan Ho et.al., Denoising diffusion probabilistic models, NeurIPS 2020

Algorithm 1 Alternative sampling of images x, r.

**Require:** Sampling steps T, blurry image y, event data E, scaling factors  $s, s', \lambda, \lambda'$ , pre-trained diffusion models  $(\mu(x_t), \sigma(x_t)), (\mu'(r_t), \sigma'(r_t)),$  distance metrics  $\mathcal{L}$  $1: x_T, r_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ 2: for t from T to 1 do 3:  $\hat{x}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}} (x_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon_\theta(x_t, t))$ 4:  $\hat{r}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha'}_t}} (r_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha'}_t} \epsilon_\theta(r_t, t))$ 5:  $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{x}_0}^{total} = s\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(\hat{x}_0, E, \hat{r}_0), y) + \lambda \|\hat{x}_0\|_{TV}$ 6: Sample  $x_{t-1}$  by  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_t - \sigma_t^2 \nabla_{\hat{x}_0} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{x}_0}^{total}, \sigma_t^2 I)$ 7:  $\hat{x}_0^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}} (x_{t-1} - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} \epsilon_{\theta}(x_{t-1}, t-1))$ 8:  $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{r}_0}^{total} = s' \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(\hat{x}_0^*, E, \hat{r}_0), y) + \lambda' \|\hat{r}_0\|_1$ 9: Sample  $r_{t-1}$  by  $\mathcal{N}(\mu'_t - \sigma'^2_t \nabla_{\hat{r}_0} \mathcal{L}^{total}_{\hat{r}_0}, \sigma'^2_t I)$ 10 : **end** 18  $11 : \mathbf{return} x_0, r_0$ 

### Experiments

#### • Dataset:

✓ Synthetic event data: GoPro dataset<sup>[8]</sup> with ESIM simulating
 ✓ Real-world event data: REBlur dataset<sup>[9]</sup> with real event camera

#### Compared methods:

✓ Generation-based methods, CNN/RNN-based methods

✓ Conventional camera methods, Event camera degradation methods

#### • Implementation details:

✓ Pytorch & NVIDIA Tesla A100

✓ Finetune the pre-trained diffusion models on deblurring datasets

### Experiments

#### **Quantitative comparison results:**

Our method performs better compared to various methods, including generation and CNN/RNN-based methods, as well as some methods with conventional degradation model and event degradation model.

Methods	DeblurGAN[14]	GDP[15]	BlindDPS[16]	SRN*[17]	HINet*[18]	EFNet 6	DiffEvent
Structure	GAN	Diffusion	Diffusion	CNN+event	CNN+Event	CNN+Event	Diff+Event
PSNR↑	29.55/30.21	19.93/21.38	11.37/10.43	31.02/36.87	33.69/37.68	35.46/38.12	35.55/38.23
SSIM↑	0.934/0.945	0.583/0.603	0.197/0.274	0.936/0.970	0.961/0.973	0.972/0.973	0.972/0.974
LPIPS↓	0.117/0.115	0.312/0.366	0.705/0.770	0.124/0.119	0.088/0.076	0.064/0.023	0.060/0.021

**Table 1**. Evaluation results on GoPro/REBlur[6]. \* means the event-enhanced version and **bold** indicates the best results.

Generation-based methods Conventional camera degradation model CNN/RNN-based methods Event camera degradation model

### Experiments

#### **Qualitative comparison results**:

Our method can effectively handle real-world deblurring problems, generate vivid and detailed structures because of the proposed pseudoinverse guidance from the EBRD mode and alternative sampling strategy during diffusion.



### Ablation study

# The effect of different parts on EBRD model

Degradation model	Raw event E	Enhanced E $f(E)$	Residual r	PSNR	SSIM
(a) $y = Ex$	1	×	×	25.95	0.901
(b) $y = f(E)x$	$\checkmark$	1	×	33.51	0.967
(c) $y = x + r$	×	×	1	10.96	0.269
(d) $y = Ex + r$	1	×	1	16.53	0.729
(e) $y = f(E)x + r$	✓	1	1	35.55	0.973





22

# Discussion

- The necessity of event enhancement module
  - Raw event still contains some non-continuous pixels, and the sensor noise can be found everywhere
  - To prevent negative impact, the enhanced event module f(E) effectively alleviates the noise in raw events, resulting in smoother signals.



### Discussion

• Effectiveness of alternating sampling strategy



# Conclusion

- This paper tackles the challenge of real-world blur in conventional cameras by harnessing the potential of event cameras with high temporal resolution.
- Our approach modifies the original EDI model to EBRD with additional residual and enhanced event components for event deblurring .
- We present an alternative diffusion sampling strategy that jointly estimates clear and residual images to ensure high-quality results. A pseudo-inverse guidance module has enhanced fine details.
- Experiments on benchmark event datasets underscore the effectiveness of our approach.

# Thank you for your attention! Q&A