


Augmented Lagrangian without alternating directions: practical algorithms for inverse problems in imaging

Rahul Mourya, Loïc Denis, Eric Thiébaud, Jean-Marie Becker

Starting point

Many image processing tasks are formulated as a large scale optimization problem:




- ▶ often requires to tune the regularization parameter
- ▶ need fast and reliable optimization methods

⚠ don't want to also tune the optimization algorithm parameters
avoid interplay between regularization & optimization parameter tuning

Results

- ▶ image deconvolution [Matakos, Ramani & Fessler 2013]


$$\arg \min_{x \geq 0} \|Hx - y\|_W^2 + \lambda \text{TV}(x)$$



- ▶ image segmentation [Houhou, Thiran & Bresson 2009] [Goldstein, Bresson & Osher 2010]

$$\arg \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} h^t x + \lambda \text{TV}(x)$$

with h defined from textural descriptors



➔ reaches state-of-the-art performance with **no parameter tuning**

Typical optimization problems in imaging

$$\arg \min_x \underbrace{f(x)}_{\text{smooth}} + \underbrace{r(x)}_{\text{non-smooth}}$$

convex
e.g., L1 norm to promote sparsity or indicator function for constraints

- ⚠ large scale problems: typically 10^6 to 10^9 unknowns
- 🟢 limited-memory quasi-Newton methods are very efficient
- 🔴 cannot apply to non-smooth problems
- 🟢 many algorithms for non-smooth optimization
- 🔴 can be very slow

The augmented Lagrangian reformulation

- ▶ the optimization problem is too hard \Rightarrow separate variables

$$\arg \min_{x,z} f(x) + r(z) \quad \text{subject to} \quad x = z$$

- ▶ turn the constrained problem into an unconstrained form with the augmented Lagrangian:

$$\underbrace{f(x) + r(z) + u^t(x-z)}_{\text{Lagrangian}} + \underbrace{\frac{\rho}{2} \|x-z\|_2^2}_{\text{augmentation term}}$$

Lagrange multiplier optimization parameter

Hierarchical optimization


our proposition

$$\arg \min_x f(x) + u^t(x-z^*) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|x-z^*\|_2^2$$

where $z^* \equiv \arg \min_z r(z) + u^t(x-z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|x-z\|_2^2$

$$u \leftarrow u + \rho(x-z)$$

- ▶ z^* can generally be computed in closed-form
- ▶ the partially optimized x subproblem is smooth \Rightarrow can be solved approximatively using a quasi-Newton method with $\nabla \equiv \nabla f + u + \rho(x-z^*)$ and a line search



ADMM


Alternating directions method of multipliers

$$\arg \min_x f(x) + u^t(x-z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|x-z\|_2^2$$

$$\arg \min_z r(z) + u^t(x-z) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|x-z\|_2^2$$

$$u \leftarrow u + \rho(x-z)$$

- ▶ works best with multiple splittings so that each sub-problem can be solved exactly [Matakos, Ramani & Fessler 2013]
- ⚠ requires fine-tuning the parameter(s) ρ



[Matakos, Ramani & Fessler 2013] "Accelerated edge-preserving image restoration without boundary artifacts," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing

[Houhou, Thiran & Bresson 2009] "Fast texture segmentation based on semi-local region descriptor and active contour," Numerical Mathematics: Theory, Methods and Applications

[Goldstein, Bresson & Osher 2010] "Geometric applications of the split Bregman method: segmentation and surface reconstruction," Journal of Scientific Computing