LEARNING DISCRIMINANT GRASSMANN KERNELS FOR IMAGE-SET CLASSIFICATION

Lei Zhang^{1,2}, Wenhui Liu², Xuezhi Xiang², Xiantong Zhen³ 1. Guangdong University of Petrochemical Technology 2. Harbin Engineering University, China. 3. The University of Texas at Arlington.

INTRODUCTION

□ Image-set classification has been widely researched in computer vision due to its widespread applications

□ Image-set classification can preferably handle the conditions with multi-view cameras or larger within-class divergence tasks.

D Learning discriminant Grassmann kernels (DGK) for image-set classification is proposed

D Based on partial kernels of principal angels, the global kernels between image sets aggregate the partial kernels, which are learned by kernel alignment in a supervised learning framework

GRASSMANN MANIFOLDS

Linear subspaces on Grassmann manifolds

Defination 1 [10] The Grassmann manifold $\mathcal{G}(m, D)$ is the set of m-dimensional linear subspaces of the \mathbb{R}^D .

 \checkmark The matrix representation is constructed based on orthogonalization and normalization, which guarantees its uniqueness.

D Principal Angles

Defination 2 [10] Principal angles $0 \le \theta_1 \le \dots \le \theta_m \le \theta_m$ $\pi/2$ between two subspaces M_1 and M_2 in Grassmann manifold are defined recursively by

$$\cos \theta_k = \max_{\mathbf{u}_k} \max_{\mathbf{v}_k} \mathbf{u}_k^\top \mathbf{v}_k$$

 \checkmark In practice, for the matrix representations M1 and M2, the principal angles can be computed by SVD

 $M_1^{\top} M_2 = U(\cos \Theta) V^{\top}$

where $\cos \Theta = \operatorname{diag}(\cos \theta_1, ..., \cos \theta_m)$.

GRASSMANN KERNEL LEARNING

D Partial Grassmann kernels ✓ Two points: zi and zj \checkmark Defining the partial Grassmann kernel $K^p \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ with respect to the p-th principal angle as follows:

$$[K^p]_{ij} = k^p(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_j)$$

Where

$$k^p(z_i, z_j) = \cos^2 \theta_p$$

 \checkmark Since K^p only reflects partial relations between two points based on the p-th principal angles, we refer it as the partial Grassmann kernel

D Discriminant learning by kernel alignment

✓ Equal weight combination

$$[K]_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^{P} k^p$$

✓ *Discriminant learning by kernel alignment*

$$[K_w]_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^P w_p k^p$$

•*Objective function*

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \rho(\bar{K}_w, \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^{\top}) = \max_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{\langle \bar{K}_w, \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^{\top} \rangle_F}{\|\bar{K}_w\|}$$

$$[\bar{K}_w]_{ij} = [K_w]_{ij} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m [K_w]_{ij} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [K_w]_{ij}$$

$$+\frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} [K_w]_{ij}$$

EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

Corpus •*ETH dataset* •USCD traffic dataset

Set-based object recognition on ETH dataset

 \checkmark As can be seen, the performance has been largely improved from 94.0% (equal weight combination) to 95.5% by the proposed discriminant learning (DGK). ✓ The proposed DGK achieves state-of-the-art performance which is better than most of the compared methods in Table 1.

Discriminant Grassmann kernels (DGK)	95.5%
Grassmann kernels (Eq. (5))	94.0%
Kernel Fisher Discriminant (KFD) [21]	81.1%
Marginal Fisher Analysis (MFA) [22]	80.1%
Manifold-Manifold Distance (MMD) [23]	85.0%
Mutual Subspace Method (MSM) [24]	83.3%
Manifold Discriminant Analysis (MDA) [25]	89.0%
Discriminant Canonical Correlations (DCC) [6]	91.7%
Log-Euclidean metric learning (LEML) [8]	94.8%
Graph embedding discriminant analysis (GEDA) [11]	92.3%
Localized multi-kernel metric learning	
(LMKML) [26]	94.5%

Table 1. Performance comparison on the ETH dataset

U Video-based traffic congestion classification on USCD

 \checkmark The proposed DGK has largely improved the Grassmann kernels from 90.5% (equal weight combination) to 92.1% (DGK), which demonstrates the great effectiveness of the proposed supervised *learning framework via kernel alignment for image-set* classification.

✓ *The proposed DGK achieves state-of-the-art* performance which is better than most of the compared *methods in Table 2.*





Discriminant Grassmann kernel (DGK)	92.1%
Grassmann kernels (Eq. (5))	90.5%
Linear dynamical system (LDS) [27]	87.5%
Compressive sensing LDS (CS-LDS) [27]	89.1%
Grassmann discriminant analysis (GDA) [10]	92.5%
Covariance discriminative learning (CDL)[7]	91.7%
NN classifier on Hellinger distance [5]	91.3%
NN classifier on J-divergence [5]	91.0%
Discriminant analytic stationary subspace analysis	
(DASSA) [28]	91.7%
Discriminant non-linear stationary subspace analysis	
(DNLSSA+RBF kernel) [28]	94.5%

Table 2. Performance comparison on the UCSD dataset.

Conclusion

D Presented discriminant Grassmann kernel (DGK) learning for image-set classification, which learned the weight of partial Grassmann kernel by kernel alignment with target kernel constructed by labels

• Evaluated the DGK on the ETH dataset and traffic congestion classification on the UCSD dataset

References

[5] "Beyond gauss: Image-set matching on the riemannian manifold of pdfs," in ICCV, 2015

[6] "Discriminative learning and recognition of image set classes using canonical correlations," T-PAMI, 2007

[7] "Covariance discriminative learning: a natural and efficient approach to image set classification," in CVPR, 2012

[8] "Log-euclidean matric learning on symmetric positive define manifold with application to image set classification," in ICML, 2015

[10] "Grassmann discriminant analysis: a unifying view on subspace-based learning," in ICML, 2008

[11] "Graph embedding discriminant analysis on grassmannian manifolds for improved image set matching," in CVPR, 2010

[21] "A mathematical programming approach to the kernel fisher algorithm," in NIPS, 2000.

[22] "Graph embedding and extensions: a general framework for dimensionality reduction," T-PAMI, 2007.

[23] "Manifold-manifold distance with application based on image set," in CVPR, 2008

[24] "Face recognition using temporal image sequence," in FG, 1998.

[25] "Manifold discriminant analysis," in CVPR, 2009

[26] "Image set classification using holistic multiple order statistics features and localized multi-kernel metric learning," in ICCV, 2013

[27] "Compressive acquisition of dynamic scenes," in Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013

[28] "Discriminative non-linear stationary subspace analysis for video classification," T-PAMI, 2014.