

2017 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing

SSPP-DAN: Deep Domain Adaptation Network for Face Recognition with Single Sample Per Person

Sungeun Hong, Woobin Im, Jongbin Ryu, Hyun S. Yang

AIM Lab, KAIST, South Korea



# Contents

## Motivation & Problem Definition

- Single sample per person (SSPP)
- Challenges in real-world face recognition

## Proposed method

- Domain adaptation
- Face synthesis

## Experiments

- New heterogeneous dataset
- LFW for SSPP



# **Motivation & Problem Definition**



# **SSPP face recognition**

## Face recognition using Single Sample Per Person (SSPP)

- Identify or verify identities using only one single gallery image
- Related to the recently attracted one-shot learning



Sample images of the AR database



# **SSPP face recognition**

## Limitations of existing SSPP datasets

- Lab controlled environment
- Consistent shooting environment





Lu, Jiwen, Yap-Peng Tan, and Gang Wang. "Discriminative multimanifold analysis for face recognition from a single training sample per person." *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 35.1 (2013): 39-51.

## **Real-world SSPP Face recognition**

Registration (Gallery)



Gallery A stable image like clear frontal mugshot e.g., ID card or e-passport Identification (**Probe**)



#### Probe

Unstable images including non-trivial variations e.g., surveillance camera, web images

> Variations: <u>camera sensor, blur,</u> <u>noise, pose, illumination</u>



# **Real-world SSPP Face recognition**





## Challenges

## 1. Heterogeneity of the shooting environments

- Gallery: stable environment
- Probe: highly unstable environment

## 2. Shortage of training samples

Only one training sample per person is available



# **Proposed method**



# **Real-world SSPP Face recognition**



## Challenges

## 1. Heterogeneity of the shooting environments

- Gallery: stable environment
- Probe: highly unstable environment



# **Real-world SSPP Face recognition**



### Challenges

## 1. Heterogeneity of the shooting environments

- Gallery: stable environment
- Probe: highly unstable environment



 Adjust a model to a different <u>target domain distribution</u> starting from the <u>source domain knowledge</u>



Source domain

With labels

#### Target domain



consumer images

Without labels







 Adjust a model to a different <u>target domain distribution</u> starting from the <u>source domain knowledge</u>



Model























Purpose	Train		Test
Domain	Source	Target	Target
Image condition	Stable	Unstable	Unstable
Label	0	Х	-





- Basic assumptions of DA
  - samples are <u>abundant</u> in each domain
  - sample distribution of each domain is related but different







## **Face synthesis**

#### Generate virtual samples for lack of samples.





#### Image synthesis





# Image synthesis > distribution of samples





## Image synthesis >> distribution of samples >> Success of DA





# **Real-world SSPP Face recognition**





#### Challenges

- 1. Heterogeneity of the shooting environments
  - Gallery: stable environment
  - Probe: highly unstable environment
- 2. Shortage of training samples
  - Only one training sample per person is available



## **SSPP-DAN:** Domain Adaptation Network for Single Sample Per Person



#### 1. Domain adaptation network

 From stable face domain (source) to unstable face domain (target)

#### 2. Face synthesis

· Generate virtual samples





- 1. Domain adaptation network with domain-adversarial training
  - Feature learning
  - Domain adaptation Jointly
  - Classifier learning -

$$\begin{split} L_C &= \sum_{i \in S} L_C^i & \text{when update } \theta_C \\ L_D &= \sum_{i \in S \cup T} L_D^i & \text{when update } \theta_D \\ L_F &= \sum_{i \in S} L_C^i - \lambda \sum_{i \in S \cup T} L_D^i & \text{when update } \theta_F \end{split}$$



Ganin, Yaroslav, and Victor Lempitsky. "Unsupervised domain adaptation by backpropagation." International Conference on Machine Learning. 2015. 27



#### 1) Landmark detection

- Supervised descent method
- 2)  $2D \rightarrow 3D$  mapping
- 3) Pose estimation
- 4) Image synthesis
  - (yaw: -80°~+80°, pitch: -10°~40°)

#### 2. Face synthesis

Generate virtual samples



## **Domain Adaptation**

### Data Feed

- Source (with label): frontal images + synthesized images
- Target (without label): surveillance camera images





# **Experiments**



## Heterogeneous dataset



(a) Shooting condition for the source (left) and target (center and right)





Target

(b) Face regions from the source (leftmost) and target (the others)

#### Table 1: Dataset specification

Domain	Source	Target
Set	webcam	surveillance
Subjects	30	30
Samples	30	15,900
Pose	frontal	various
Condition	stable	unstable
		(blur, noise, illumination)



# **Table 2**: Recognition rates (%) for different models and different training sets of the EK-LFH

	Model	Training set	Accuracy	only using
Lower bound	Source only	S S I S	39.22	Tace synthesis
	DAN	$\frac{S + S_v}{S + T}$	31.11	only using domain adaptation
	SSPP-DAN	$S + S_v + T$	58.53	
Upper bound	Train on target	T <sub>1</sub>	88.31	
	S: Labeled webcar S <sub>v</sub> : Virtual set from	n T: Unlabeled su n S T <sub>1</sub> : Labeled su	urveillance urveillance	
	*			



**Table 2**: Recognition rates (%) for different models and different training sets of the EK-LFH

Model	Training set	Accuracy	
Source only	S	39.22	
	$\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{S_v}$	37.15	
DAN	S + T	31.11	
SSPP-DAN	$S + S_v + T$	58.53	
Semi DAN	$S + T + T_1$	67.28	S
Semi SSPP-DAN	$S+S_v+T+T_l\\$	72.08	p
Train on target	T <sub>1</sub>	88.31	С
S: Labeled webcam T: Unlabeled surveillance			
$S_v$ : Virtual set from S $T_1$ : Labeled surveillance			

Semi: 3 samples per person from target domain are revealed

ΚΔΙSΤ

# Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW)

#### Source

#### Target



- Dataset summary
  - Rearranged LFW-A for SSPP face recognition
  - Gallery: 50 images for 50 people
  - Generic set: 108 subjects



# Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW)

#### Source

#### Target



- LFW for SSPP protocol
  - Gallery: 50 images for 50 people
  - Generic set: 108 subjects

Method	Accuracy	Method	Accuracy	
DMMA [1]	17.8	RPR [20]	33.1	
AGL 6	19.2	DeepID [21]	ר 70.7	
SRC <sup>4</sup>	20.4	JCR-ACF [19]	86.0	Deep
ESRC [7]	27.3	VGG-Face [8]	96.43	learning
LGR [22]	30.4	Ours	97.91	



## Summary



- 1. Heterogeneity of the shooting environments
- 2. Shortage of training samples



## Summary



- Heterogeneity of the shooting environments
   Domain adaptation network
- 2. Shortage of training samples

Face synthesis



# **Questions?**



# Appendix



#### Domain Adversarial Network

(Ganin, Yaroslav, and Victor Lempitsky. "Unsupervised domain adaptation by backpropagation." ICML 2015.)

Unified framework using adversarial training



#### **Domain classifier**



#### Domain Adversarial Network

(Ganin, Yaroslav, and Victor Lempitsky. "Unsupervised domain adaptation by backpropagation." ICML 2015.)

Unified framework using adversarial training



**Domain classifier** 



## **Domain Adaptation**

#### Adversarial Training

- F is trained to fool D so that D cannot determine domain of data.
- Gradient Reversal Layer (GRL)

Forward: identity operation  $R_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ 

>backward: multiply by 
$$-\lambda \quad \frac{dR_{\lambda}}{d\mathbf{x}} = -\lambda \mathbf{I}$$

#### Loss for training

 $L_{C} = \sum_{i \in S} L_{C}^{i} \qquad \text{when update } \theta_{C}$   $L_{D} = \sum_{i \in S \cup T} L_{D}^{i} \qquad \text{when update } \theta_{D}$   $L_{F} = \sum_{i \in S} L_{C}^{i} - \lambda \sum_{i \in S \cup T} L_{D}^{i} \qquad \text{when update } \theta_{F}$ 

 $L_{C}^{i}$  and  $L_{D}^{i}$ : loss of *C* and *D*, and  $\theta_{D}, \theta_{F}, \theta_{C}$ : parameters of *D*, *F*, *C* 

