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## Background

Context: Retinex theory deals with compensation for illumination effects in images, which is usually an ill-posed problem. To set up the Retinex problem mathematically, we focus on decomposing a given image $I$ into the reflection component $R$ and the illumination component $L$, which satisfies

$$
I(x, y)=R(x, y) \cdot L(x, y)
$$

Problem: In real applications, intensity inhomogeneities and noises may simultaneously exist in given images. Although various algorithms have gained great success in dealing with Retinex problems. These methods paid little attention to the noises contained in the given images.

Our approach: To present a general variational Retinex model to effectively and robustly restore images corrupted by both noises and intensity inhomogeneities.

## The Proposed Model

Image model: By applying the logarithmic transformation:

$$
i(x, y)=r(x, y)+l(x, y)
$$

- $r$ is a function $\rightarrow$ reflection
- $l$ is a spatially smooth function $\rightarrow$ illumination
$>$ Energy formulation: $\min _{u, r, l} \frac{1}{2}\|I-u\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha\|\nabla u\|_{1}+\beta\|\nabla r\|_{1}+\gamma\left\|\nabla^{2} l\right\|_{1}$,

$$
\text { s.t., } u=e^{v}, v=r+l \text {. }
$$

$>$ The augmented Lagrangian functional:
$\mathcal{L}\left(u, v, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} ; \Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3}\right)=G(u)+H(\boldsymbol{y})+\left\langle\Lambda_{1}, u-e^{v}\right\rangle+\frac{\nu_{1}}{2}\left\|u-e^{v}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\langle\Lambda_{2}, v-A \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle$
$+\frac{\nu_{2}}{2}\|v-A \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}+\left\langle\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3}, \boldsymbol{y}-L \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle+\frac{\nu_{3}}{2}\|\boldsymbol{y}-L \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}$

## Algorithm

```
Algorithm 1 The Proposed Model
Input: image \(I\), parameters \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \nu_{i}(i=1,2,3)\)
Initialization: \(v^{0} \leftarrow \log I, \boldsymbol{x}^{0} \leftarrow 0, \Lambda_{1}^{0} \leftarrow 0, \Lambda_{2}^{0} \leftarrow 0, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3}^{0} \leftarrow 0\),
\(k \leftarrow 0\)
repeat
```

    - With \(v^{k}\) and \(\Lambda_{1}^{k}\), solve for \(u^{k+1}\) from
    $$
\min _{u} G(u)+\left\langle\Lambda_{1}, u\right\rangle+\frac{\nu_{1}}{2}\left\|u-e^{v}\right\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

- With $\boldsymbol{x}^{k}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3}^{k}$, solve for $\boldsymbol{y}^{k+1}$ from

$$
\min _{y} H(\boldsymbol{y})+\left\langle\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3}, \boldsymbol{y}\right\rangle+\frac{\nu_{3}}{2}\|\boldsymbol{y}-L \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2},
$$

- With $v^{k}, \boldsymbol{y}^{k+1}, \Lambda_{2}^{k}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3}^{k}$, solve for $\boldsymbol{x}^{k+1}$ from

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{x}}\left\langle\Lambda_{2},-A \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle+\frac{\nu_{2}}{2}\|v-A \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}+\left\langle\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3},-L \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle+\frac{\nu_{3}}{2}\|\boldsymbol{y}-L \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}
$$

- With $u^{k+1}, \boldsymbol{x}^{k+1}, \Lambda_{1}^{k}$ and $\Lambda_{2}^{k}$, solve for $v^{k+1}$ from $\min _{v}\left\langle\Lambda_{1},-e^{v}\right\rangle+\frac{\nu_{1}}{2}\left\|u-e^{v}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\langle\Lambda_{2}, v\right\rangle+\frac{\nu_{2}}{2}\|v-A \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}$,
- Update Lagrangian multipliers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{1}^{k+1}=\Lambda_{1}^{k}+\nu_{1}\left(u^{k}-e^{v^{k}}\right) \\
& \Lambda_{2}^{k+1}=\Lambda_{2}^{k}+\nu_{2}\left(v^{k}-A \boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3}^{k+1}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{3}^{k}+\nu_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{k}-L \boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-k \leftarrow k+1
$$

until $\mathrm{k}=5 \mathrm{e} 3$
Output: $r$ and $l$

+ For different noises, the images denoising effect are better than other variational models
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| Table 1. PSNR and MSE of T1 brain images. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Our model | HoTVL1 | L0MS |
| Test | PSNR | 30.0261 | 27.5423 | 27.4783 |
| image 1 | MSE | 0.0010 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 |
| Test | PSNR | 30.7099 | 28.8196 | 28.5584 |
| image 2 | MSE | 0.0008 | 0.0013 | 0.0014 |

+ For checkerboard illusion images, the effect of images decomposition and denoising are better than HoTVL1 model

+ For different intensity inhomogeneity, the correction results are better than other models
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+ For impulsive noise, the results of image decomposition and denoising is good



## Conclusion

Our model is efficient, accurate and robust for Retinex problem, which is developed for images corrupted by both intensity inhomogeneities and noises. As one important application of our method is for medical image processing.
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