

Coupled Analysis-Synthesis Dictionary Learning For Person Re-Identification

Lingchuan Sun, Yun Zhou, Zhuqing Jiang, Aidong Men

Email: sunlingchuan@bupt.edu.cn







School of Information and Communications Beijing University of Posts and Telecol Beijing, P.R. China













Person re-identification(re-id) Overview

• Person re-id is the task of matching specific person across non-overlapping camera views.





- Person re-id remains a challenging problem due to a variety of factors:
- • 1.Viewpoint Variation
- • 2.Illumination Change
- • 3.Occlusion
- **4**.Pose Variation
- • 5.Similar Dressing Style
- • 6.Low Resolution



- Person re-id remains a challenging problem due to a variety of factors:
- • 1.Viewpoint Variation
- • 2.Illumination Change
- • 3.Occlusion
- **4**.Pose Variation
- • 5.Similar Dressing Style
- • 6.Low Resolution





- Person re-id remains a challenging problem due to a variety of factors:
- • 1.Viewpoint Variation
- • 2.Illumination Change
- • 3.Occlusion
- **4**.Pose Variation
- • 5.Similar Dressing Style
- • 6.Low Resolution





- Person re-id remains a challenging problem due to a variety of factors:
- • 1.Viewpoint Variation
- • 2.Illumination Change
- • 3.Occlusion
- **• 4**.Pose Variation
- • 5.Similar Dressing Style
- • 6.Low Resolution





- Person re-id remains a challenging problem due to a variety of factors:
- • 1.Viewpoint Variation
- • 2.Illumination Change
- • 3.Occlusion
- **• 4**.Pose Variation
- • 5.Similar Dressing Style
- • 6.Low Resolution





- Person re-id remains a challenging problem due to a variety of factors:
- • 1.Viewpoint Variation
- • 2.Illumination Change
- **♦** 3.Occlusion
- **• 4**.Pose Variation
- • 5.Similar Dressing Style
- • 6.Low Resolution





- Person re-id remains a challenging problem due to a variety of factors:
- • 1.Viewpoint Variation
- • 2.Illumination Change
- • 3.Occlusion
- **• 4**.Pose Variation
- • 5.Similar Dressing Style
- • 6.Low Resolution







- Most of researchers for addressing this challenge mainly focus on following aspects:
- Feature representation
- Distance metric learning
- Deep learning
- Dictionary learning



This paper focus on learning discriminative and robust coupled dictionary, aiming to address the cross-view problem of person re-id.

Contributions:

















• System Overview



- LFDA : local Fisher discriminant analysis
- P_A, P_B : analysis dictionary
- D_A, D_B : synthesis dictionary
- X_A, X_B : training data



Proposed Method

2

Coupled Analysis-Synthesis Dictionary Learning

The coupled analysis-synthesis dictionary learning on the common feature space can be formulated under the following framework:

$$\min_{D_{A}, D_{B}, P_{A}, P_{B}} \|X_{A} - D_{A}P_{A}X_{A}\|_{F}^{2} + \|X_{B} - D_{B}P_{B}X_{B}\|_{F}^{2} + \Psi(P_{A}, P_{B})$$

s.t. $\|d_{A,i}\|_{2} \le 1, \|d_{B,i}\| \le 1, \forall i$ Eq.

Where $d_{A,i}$ and $d_{B,i}$: *i-th* atoms of D_A and D_B . $\Psi(P_A, P_B)$: associate function.

The coding coefficient matrix can be analytically obtained as:

$$Z_{A} = P_{A}X_{A}$$
$$Z_{B} = P_{B}X_{B}$$
$$X_{A} = D_{A}Z_{A}$$
$$X_{B} = D_{B}Z_{B}$$





Original coupled dictionary learning assumption:

There exists a latent coupled feature space where the coding coefficients of the same object should be strictly equal.

However, this assumption is too strong to handle various changes of image structures from different views.



In this paper, we relax this assumption. We introduced a mapping transform W_A and W_B , and consider the following minimization problem:

$$\min \|M_{A} - M_{B}\|_{F}^{2} = \min \|W_{A}Z_{A} - W_{B}Z_{B}\|_{F}^{2}$$

=
$$\min \|W_{A}P_{A}X_{A} - W_{B}P_{B}X_{B}\|_{F}^{2}$$
 Eq.2

To avoid the trivial solution and be more precise, we can derive as: for a same person m:

Camera A

Proposed Method

$$m_{A} = W_{A}P_{A}x_{A}^{i} \rightarrow P_{A}x_{A}^{i} = W_{A}^{-1}m_{A} \xrightarrow{} P_{A}x_{A}^{i} = W_{A}^{-1}m_{B}$$
Eq.3
Camera B

$$m_{B} = W_{B}P_{B}x_{B}^{i} \rightarrow P_{B}x_{B}^{i} = W_{B}^{-1}m_{B} \xrightarrow{} P_{B}x_{B}^{i} = W_{B}^{-1}m_{A}$$

Finally, the **associate function**:

2

$$\Psi(P_{A}, P_{B}) = \left\| P_{A}X_{A} - W_{A}^{-1}M_{B} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \left\| P_{B}X_{B} - W_{B}^{-1}M_{A} \right\|_{F}^{2}$$
 Eq.4





The objective function of coupled analysis-synthesis dictionary learning is formulated below:

.

$$\min_{\substack{D_{A}, D_{B}, P_{A}, P_{B}, \\ W_{A}, W_{B}}} \left\| X_{A} - D_{A} P_{A} X_{A} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \left\| X_{B} - D_{B} P_{B} X_{B} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \left(\left\| P_{A} X_{A} - W_{A}^{-1} M_{B} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \left\| P_{B} X_{B} - W_{B}^{-1} M_{A} \right\|_{F}^{2} \right) + \lambda_{2} \left(\left\| W_{A}^{-1} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \left\| W_{B}^{-1} \right\|_{F}^{2} \right) \qquad s.t. \quad \left\| d_{A,i} \right\|_{2} \le 1, \left\| d_{B,i} \right\| \le 1, \forall i$$
Eq.5



Proposed Method

• Matching

2

Given the gallery set from camera A and the probe set from cameraB, the representation coefficients of the j-th gallery image $P_{A,j}$ and the k-th probe image $P_{B,k}$ are computed with the learned coupled synthesis dictionary as follows:

$$\alpha_{A,j} = \arg\min_{\alpha_{A,j}} \|p_{A,j} - D_A \alpha_{A,j}\|_F^2 + \mu \|\alpha_{A,j}\|_1^2$$

$$Eq.6$$

$$\alpha_{B,k} = \arg\min_{\alpha_{B,k}} \|p_{B,k} - D_B \alpha_{B,k}\|_F^2 + \mu \|\alpha_{B,k}\|_1^2$$

The cosine similarity is employed to compute similarity score between the representation coefficients.













- Evaluating the proposed method on **VIPeR** and **CUHK01**datasets.
- Cumulative Matching Characteristic(CMC) curves are used to evaluate the performance of the proposed method.
- Local Maximal Occurrence (LOMO) feature is used to our paper.





Experiments & Results







Experiments & Results

Table 1: Top rank	ed match	ning rate	in (%) oi	n VIPeR	
Method	VIPeR(p=316)				
	r=1	r=5	r=10	r=20	
KISSME	19.60	48.00	62.20	77.00	
SDALF	19.87	38.89	49.37	65.73	
SalMatch	30.16	52.31	65.54	79.15	
QAF	30.89	51.95	62.96	75.05	
ImprovedDeep	34.81	63.61	75.63	84.49	
CPDL	39.56	65.51	76.90	88.61	
XQDA+LOMO	40.00	68.13	80.51	91.08	
Ours	40.73	69.37	82.56	92.25	

Table 2: Top ranked matching rate in (%) on CUHK01

			SM 0.225		
Method	CUHK01(p=486)				
	r=1	r=5	r=10	r=20	
SDALF	9.90	22.57	30.33	41.03	
eSDC	19.67	32.72	40.29	50.58	
SalMatch	28.45	45.85	55.68	67.95	
Midlevel	34.30	55.06	64.96	74.94	
XQDA+LOMO	39.71	64.36	73.42	81.83	
Ours	40.47	65.72	75.06	83.95	





Experiments & Results

• Conclusion

- We propose a coupled analysis-synthesis dictionary learning method.
- An efficient iterative algorithm is developed for solving the optimization.
- Experimental results on two public person re-identification datasets demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.















