Finite-Alphabet NOMA for Two-User Uplink Channel

## 1. Background, Motivation and Our Contributions

- NOMA has been a key enabling technology to meet the requirements of 5 G on high spectral efficiency, massive connectivity, and low transmission latency;
- Most existing NOMA designs assumed Gaussian inputs. The drawbacks are: $\triangleright$ the implementation in reality will result in huge storage capacity, unaffordable computational complexity and extremely long encoding/decoding delay;
the actual transmitted signals in real communication systems are drawn from finite-alphabet constellations, such as PAM, QAM, and PSK;
Applying the results derived from the Gaussian inputs to the signals with finite-alphabet inputs can lead to significant performance loss.
- We consider the NOMA design for a classical two-user MAC with QAM constellations at both transmitters, whose sizes are not necessarily the same. $>$ We aim to maximize the minimum Euclidean distance of the received sum-constellation for a ML receiver where the formulated problem is a mixed continuous-discrete optimization problem and is non-trivial to resolve; $\rightarrow$ We discover that Farey sequence can be employed to tackle the formulated problem. However, the existing Farey sequence is not applicable when the constellation sizes of the two users are different;
To address this challenge, we define a new type of Farey sequence, termed punched Farey sequence. Based on the punched Farey sequence and its properties, we manage to resolve the mixed continuous-discrete optimization problem by providing a neat closed-form optimal solution.

2. System Model and Problem Formulation


Figure: Two-User Real Gaussian Multiple Access Channel

- The received signal at the access point D can be written as

$$
y=\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right| \tilde{w}_{1} s_{1}+\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right| \tilde{w}_{2} s_{2}+n
$$

where $s_{k} \in\{ \pm(2 \ell-1)\}_{\ell=1}^{M_{k} / 2}, k=1,2$ are drawn from a standard PAM constellation with equal probability, $0<\tilde{w}_{1} \leq 1$ and $0<\tilde{w}_{2} \leq 1$ are the weighting coefficients;
A coherent maximum-likelihood (ML) detector is used by the access point $D$ to estimate the transmitted signals in a symbol-by-symbol fashion. Mathematically the estimated signals can be expressed as
$\left(\hat{s}_{1}, \hat{s}_{2}\right)=\arg \min _{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)}\left|y-\left(\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right| \tilde{w}_{1} s_{1}+\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right| \tilde{w}_{2} s_{2}\right)\right| ;$

- The minimum Euclidean distance between two constellations are given by
$d(m, n)=\frac{1}{2}\left|y\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)-y\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2}\right)\right|=\left|\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right| \tilde{w}_{1} n-\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right| \tilde{w}_{2} m\right|$,
$(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\left(M_{1}-1, M_{2}-1\right)}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$.


## 3. The Design Problem for the Finite-Alphabet NOMA

The Weighting Coefficients Design Problem:
Problem 1: Find the optimal ( $\tilde{w}_{1}^{*}, \tilde{w}_{2}^{*}$ ) subject to the individual average power constellation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\tilde{w}_{1}^{*}, \tilde{w}_{2}^{*}\right)=\arg \max _{\left(\tilde{w}_{1}, \tilde{w}_{2}\right)} \min _{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\left(M_{1}-1, M_{2}-1\right)}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}} d(m, n) \\
\text { s.t. } 0<\tilde{w}_{1} \leq 1 \text { and } 0<\tilde{w}_{2} \leq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

To that end, we should solve the following optimization problem first: Problem 2: Find the optimal $\left(\tilde{w}_{1}^{*}(k), \tilde{w}_{2}^{*}(k)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}}, \frac{b_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}\right)=\max _{\left(\tilde{w}_{1}, \tilde{w}_{2}\right)} \min _{(m, n) \in \mathbb{F}_{\left(M_{1}-1, M_{2}-1\right)}} d(m, n) \\
& \text { s.t. } \frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}}<\frac{\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right| \tilde{w}_{2}}{\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right| \tilde{w}_{1}} \leq \frac{b_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}, 0<\tilde{w}_{1} \leq 1 \text { and } 0<\tilde{w}_{2} \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the punched Farey sequence given by $\mathfrak{P}_{M_{2}-1}^{M_{1}-1}=\left(\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}, \frac{b_{2}}{a_{2}}, \cdots, \frac{b_{C}}{a_{C}}\right)$ whose definition will be elaborated in the following part.

## 4. Punched Farey Sequence

We now propose a new definition in number theory called Punched Farey sequence which characterizes the relationship between two positive integers: Definition: The punched Farey sequence $\mathfrak{P}_{K}^{L}$ is the ascending sequence of irreducible fractions whose denominators are no greater than $\boldsymbol{K}$ and numerators are no greater than $\boldsymbol{L}$
Example: $\mathfrak{P}_{5}^{2}$ is the ordered sequence $\left(\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{1}{0}\right)$.

## Properties

- If $\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}$ and $\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}$ are two adjacent terms in $\mathfrak{P}_{\boldsymbol{K}}^{L}(\min \{\boldsymbol{K}, L\} \geq 2)$ such that $\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}<\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}$, then, 1) $\left.\frac{n_{1}+n_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \in\left(\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}, \frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}\right), \frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}} \in\left(\frac{m_{2}}{n_{2}}, \frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}}\right) ; 2\right)$ ${ }_{m_{1}} \boldsymbol{n}_{2}-m_{2} \boldsymbol{n}_{1}=1 ; 3$ ) If $\boldsymbol{n}_{1}+\boldsymbol{n}_{2} \leq \boldsymbol{m}$, then $m_{1}+m_{2}>\boldsymbol{m _ { 1 }} \boldsymbol{m _ { 1 }}$ and if $m_{1}+m_{2} \leq K$, then $\left.n_{1}+n_{2}>L ; 4\right) n_{1}+n_{2} \geq 1$ where the equality is attained if and only if $\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}=\frac{0}{1}$ and $\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}=\frac{1}{K}$. Likewise, $m_{1}+m_{2} \geq 1$ where the equality is attained if and only if $\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}=\frac{L}{1}$ and $\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}=\frac{1}{0}$.
- If $\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}, \frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}$ and $\frac{n_{3}}{m_{3}}$ are three consecutive terms in $\mathfrak{P}_{K}^{L}$ with $\min \{K, L\} \geq 2$ such that $\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}<\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}<\frac{n_{3}}{m_{3}}$, then $\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}=\frac{n_{1}+n_{3}}{m_{1}+m_{3}}$
- Let $\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}, \frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}, \frac{n_{3}}{m_{3}}, \frac{n_{4}}{m_{4}} \in \mathfrak{P}_{K}^{L}$ with $\min \{\boldsymbol{K}, \boldsymbol{L}\} \geq 3$. If $\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}<\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}<\frac{n_{3}}{m_{3}}<\frac{n_{4}}{m_{4}}$, where $\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}, \frac{n_{3}}{m_{3}}$ are successive in $\mathfrak{P}_{K}^{L}$, then $\frac{n_{1}+n_{3}}{m_{1}+m_{3}} \leq \frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}$ and $\frac{n_{3}}{m_{3}} \leq \frac{n_{2}+n_{4}}{m_{2}+m_{4}}$.
5.1 The Solution to Problem 2

We now can solve Problem 2, i.e., restricting $\frac{\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right| \tilde{w}_{2}}{\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right| \tilde{w}_{1}}$ into a certain punched Farey interval determined by the corresponding Farey pair where a closed-form solution is attainable,
Lemma 1: The optimal solution to Problem 2 is given as follows:

- If $\frac{\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right|}{\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right|} \leq \frac{b_{k}+b_{k+1}}{a_{k}+a_{k+1}}$, then $\boldsymbol{g}\left(\frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}}, \frac{b_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}\right)=\frac{\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right|}{b_{k}+b_{k+1}}$ and
$\left(\tilde{w}_{1}^{*}(k), \tilde{w}_{2}^{*}(k)\right)=\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_{2} \mid\left(a_{k}+a_{k+1}\right)}{\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right|\left(b_{k}+b_{k+1}\right)}, 1\right)$
- If $\frac{\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right|}{\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right|}>\frac{b_{k}+b_{k+1}}{a_{k}+a_{k+1}}$, then $\boldsymbol{g}\left(\frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}}, \frac{b_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}\right)=\frac{\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right|}{a_{k}+a_{k+1}}$ and $\left(\tilde{w}_{1}^{*}(k), \tilde{w}_{2}^{*}(k)\right)=\left(1, \frac{\left|\tilde{h}_{1}\right|\left(b_{k}+b_{k+1}\right)}{\left|\tilde{h}_{2}\right|\left(a_{k}+a_{k+1}\right)}\right)$.


### 5.2 The Solution to Problem 1

Theorem: Closed-form optimal weighting coefficients: The optimal solution to Problem 1 in terms of $\left(w_{1}^{*}, w_{2}^{*}\right)$ is given by:
$>$ If $\frac{\left|h_{2}\right|}{\left|h_{1}\right|} \leq \sqrt{\frac{P_{1}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{P_{2} M_{2}^{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}$, then $\left(w_{1}^{*}, w_{2}^{*}\right)=\left(\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2} M_{2}^{2}}{2\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}\left|\frac{\left|h_{2}\right|}{\left|h_{1}\right|}\right|}, \sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2}}{2\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}}\right)$,
$d_{\text {noma }}=\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2}}{2\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}}\left|h_{2}\right| ;$

- If $\sqrt{\frac{P_{1}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{P_{2} M_{2}^{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}<\frac{\left|h_{2}\right|}{\left|h_{1}\right|} \leq \sqrt{\frac{P_{1} M_{1}^{2}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{P_{2} M_{2}^{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}$, then
$\left(w_{1}^{*}, w_{2}^{*}\right)=\left(\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{1}}{2\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}, \sqrt{\left.\frac{3 P_{1}}{2 M_{2}^{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}\left|h_{1}\right| h_{2} \right\rvert\,}\right), d_{\text {noma }}=\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{1}}{2 M_{2}^{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}\left|h_{1}\right| ;$
- If $\sqrt{\frac{P_{1} M_{1}^{2}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{P_{2} M_{2}^{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}<\frac{\left|h_{2}\right|}{\left|h_{1}\right|} \leq \sqrt{\frac{P_{1} M_{1}^{2}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{P_{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}$, then
$\left(w_{1}^{*}, w_{2}^{*}\right)=\left(\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2}}{2 M_{1}^{2}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}\left|h_{2}\right|}, \sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2}}{2\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}}\right), d_{\text {noma }}=\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2}}{2 M_{1}^{2}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}}\left|h_{2}\right| ;$
$>$ If $\sqrt{\frac{P_{1} M_{1}^{2}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{P_{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}<\frac{\left|h_{2}\right|}{\left|h_{1}\right|}$, then $\left(w_{1}^{*}, w_{2}^{*}\right)=\left(\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{1}}{2\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}, \sqrt{\frac{3 P_{1} M_{1}^{2}}{2\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}\left|h_{1}\right|}\left|h_{2}\right|\right.$,
$d_{\text {noma }}=\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{1}}{2\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}\left|h_{1}\right|$.
Corollary: The sum-constellation at the receiver is a standard $M_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{2}$-QAM constellation with the minimum Euclidean distance $\boldsymbol{d}_{\text {noma }}$, where a quantization receiver can be employed to implement ML detection [R1].
Example: If $\frac{\left|h_{2}\right|}{\left|h_{1}\right|} \leq \sqrt{\frac{P_{1}\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{P_{2} M_{2}^{2}\left(M_{1}^{2}-1\right)}}$, then $\left|h_{1}\right| w_{1}^{*} s_{1}+\left|h_{2}\right| w_{2}^{*} s_{2}=$
$\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2} M_{2}^{2}}{2\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}\left|h_{2}\right|}\left|h_{1}\right| h_{1}+\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2}}{2\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}}\left|h_{2}\right| s_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{3 P_{2}}{2\left(M_{2}^{2}-1\right)}}\left|h_{2}\right|\left(M_{2} s_{1}+s_{2}\right)$. Recall that $s_{1} \in\{ \pm(2 k-1)\}_{k=1}^{M_{1} / 2}, s_{2} \in\{ \pm(2 k-1)\}_{k=1}^{M_{2} / 2}$, and therefore $M_{2} s_{1}+s_{2} \in\{ \pm(2 k-1)\}_{k=1}^{M_{1} \bar{M}_{2} / 2}$


## 6. Numerical Results



Figure: Comparison between the Proposed-NOMA, CR-NOMA, TDMA and FDMA methods in Rayleigh fading with variances of the channel coefficients of $\delta_{1}^{2}$ and $\delta_{2}^{2}$ of User- 1 and User-2, Rayleigh fading with variances of the channel coeficients of $\delta_{1}^{2}$.
respectively: (a) $\left(\delta_{1}^{2}, \delta_{2}^{2}\right)=(1,1)$, (b) $\left(\delta_{1}^{2}, \delta_{2}^{2}\right)=(1,1 / 64)$.

- Each user adopts 64-QAM for the proposed NOMA design and 64-PSK is used by each user in CR-NOMA. Meanwhile, for TDMA and FDMA methods, each user uses 4096-QAM
- From Fig.(1a) and Fig. (1b), the proposed NOMA design outperforms all the benchmark designs in moderate and high SNR regimes. The FDMA method has a better error performance than the TDMA scheme as expected. The CR-NOMA has the highest BER since the PSK constellation has a smaller Euclidean distance under the same power constraint compared with QAM constellation.
- The BER performance gap between the proposed NOMA and OMA methods are enlarged with "near-far" channel realizations.
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