

FACIAL FEATURE-INTEGRATED INTER-CAMERA HUMAN TRACKING Young-Gun Lee, Jenq-Neng Hwang University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

Abstract

This paper presents a new scheme to perform inter-camera human tracking in a surveillance camera network with high resolution cameras by taking advantage of all possible collected visual information. The proposed approach utilizes the tracked trajectory information of pedestrians within a camera to get accurate face positions and poses. To solve varied face pose problem under different cameras, we frontalize random posed face with a generic 2D-to-3D mapping matrix between facial feature points. Texture-based face descriptor is then exploited to extract useful features from facial components and combined with pose-invariant appearance feature, which models dominant color components in two partitioned body regions as GMM. The proposed algorithm shows promising performance by evaluating on the public benchmark Dana36 dataset.



Face Detection and Feature Points Localization

- Face area is relatively small and blurry because of insufficient and unbalanced illumination Motion trajectory information of tracked person and the result of SCT are utilized Funnel-Structured cascade detection (FuSt) [1] searches face only in upper region of bounding box when people walk toward the camera and can detect faces larger than 20×20
- Supervised Descent Method [2] localizes the 49 facial feature points







Face Frontalization

- Face poses are not consistent due to different camera viewpoints, installation heights and varied pathways
- From the 2D coordinates of the extracted facial feature points and their corresponding 3D coordinates on the generic model, it estimates a projection matrix
- Frontalized face is synthesized by projecting extracted facial feature points back [3] $\mathbf{p}' \sim \mathbf{C}_M \mathbf{P}$

where p' denotes the 2D coordinate of pixels, C_M denotes a reference projection matrix, and P denotes the 3D point coordinates on the surface of the 3D model







Face Image Descriptor

- points on nose, 18 points on mouth
- with Dual-Cross Patterns code [4]

$$DCP_i = S(I_{A_i} - I_O) \times 2 + S(I_{B_i} - I_{A_i}),$$

Appearance Feature

- Although face area is available in surveillance video, human body carries more discriminative and richer information
- After isolating consistent by body partition on the ellipse shaped masked image, dominant color components are modeled as a Gaussian Mixture Model on a 32-bin joint color histogram [5]
- Feature distance:

tance:

$$d_{2WGMMF}(Q,T) = d_{NL} \left(\mathbf{h}_{torso}^{Q}, G(\mathbf{h}_{torso}^{T}) \right) + d_{NL} \left(\mathbf{h}_{legs}^{Q}, G(\mathbf{h}_{legs}^{T}) \right) + d_{NL} \left(\mathbf{h}_{legs}^{T}, G(\mathbf{h}_{legs}^{Q}) \right) + d_{NL} \left(\mathbf{h}_{legs}^{T}, G(\mathbf{h}_{legs}^{Q}) \right).$$

Features Aggregation

$$sim_{facial}(Q,T) = \sum_{j=1}^{6} \frac{1}{j}$$

- Appearance feature similarity score: $sim_{appearance}(Q,T) = 1/d_{2WGMMF}(Q,T)$
- Final aggregated similarity score:

$$sim_{\text{Final}}(Q,T) = w_{\text{facial}}$$

where
$$sim_i^{Norm}(Q,T) = \frac{sim_i(Q,T) - \min SIM_i}{\max SIM_i - \min SIM_i}$$
 and $w_i = \sigma_i^{sim_i} / \sum_i \sigma_i^{sim_i}$

Discriminative ability of reature is reflected to the weights, W_i



6 major facial components: 10 facial feature points on both eyebrows, 12 points on both eyes, 11 points on the left eye and eyebrow, 11 points on the right eye and eyebrow, 9

Around each facial feature point, a 2×2 non-overlapping region is located and described



• Facial feature similarity score between query feature vector y^Q and target feature vector y^T : $\|\mathbf{y}_{i}^{Q}\|_{2}\|\mathbf{y}_{i}^{T}\|_{2}$

 $\cdot sim_{\text{facial}}^{Norm}(Q,T) + w_{\text{appearance}} \cdot sim_{\text{appearance}}^{Norm}(Q,T)$



- detect face in a full-frame



(a) CAM27

 $MCTA = Detection \times Tracking^{SCT} \times Tracking^{ICT}$

$$= \left(\frac{2 \times Precision \times Rec}{Precision + Recal}\right)$$

Facial feature wit Facial feature wit **Appearance featu** Proposed

CAM#	FuSt [1]			Proposed		
(frames)	ТР	FP	Precision	ТР	FP	Precision
CAM27 (1446)	569	19	0.9677	583	0	1
CAM28 (1428)	597	11	0.9819	618	0	1
CAM29 (605)	185	102	0.6446	248	0	1
CAM30 (797)	186	220	0.4581	239	0	1
Total	1537	352	0.8137	1688	0	1



Dataset and Evaluation Metric

• Dana36 dataset: 23,000 images depicting 15 persons and 9 vehicles

• Among of 36 cameras, only CAM27 to 30 have 2048×1536 resolution, which is enough to

• Tracklet sets of persons captured in these 4 cameras are exploited for evaluation

(b) CAM28

(c) CAM29

(d) CAM30

Evaluation metric for tracking: Multi-Camera object Tracking Accuracy (MCTA)

all !l)(1-	$\frac{\sum_{t} mme_{t}}{\sum_{t} tp_{t}^{s}}$	$\left(1 - 1\right)$	$\frac{\sum_{t} mm}{\sum_{t} tp}$	$\left(\frac{e_t^c}{c_t^c}\right)$
~			-		TF

• Evaluation metric for face detection: *Precision* = ——— TP + FP

Tracking Accuracy

• Table I. Experimental results of inter-camera tracking

Method	mme ^c	МСТА
out frontalization	3759	0.2525
n frontalization	3508	0.3025
e (2WGMMF) [4]	2187	0.5651
	2120	0.5785

• Table II. Experimental results of face detection

References

[1] S. Wu, M. Kan, Z. He, S. Shan, and X. Chen, "Funnel-structured cascade for Multiview face detection with alignment-awareness," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 221, pp. 138-145, 2017.

[2] X. Xiong and F. D. Torre, "Supervised descent method and its applications to face alignment," in Proceedings of the IEEE conference on CVPR, pp. 532-539, 2013.

[3] T. Hassner, S. Harel, E. Paz, and R. Enbar, "Effective face frontalization in unconstrained images," in Proceedings of the IEEE conference on CVPR, pp. 4295-4304, 2015.

[4] C. Ding, J. Choi, D. Tao, and L. S. Davis, "Multi-directional multi-level dual-cross patterns for robust face recognition," IEEE transactions on PAMI, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 518-531, 2016.

[5] Y.-G. Lee, S.-C. Chen, J.-N. Hwang, and Y.-P. Hung, "An ensemble of invariant features for person reidentification," IEEE transactions on CSVT, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 470-483, 2017.