

INTRODUCTION

- Visual relationship recognition focuses on distinguishing the interactions between object pairs.
- In this work, we propose a novel visual relationship recognition model using language and position guided attention(LPGA): language and position information are exploited and vectored firstly, and then both of them are used to guide the generation of attention maps. With the guided attention, the hidden human knowledge can be made better use to enhance the selection of spatial and channel features.

Contributions

- We propose a novel LPGA module, where language and position information are exploited to guide the generation of more efficient attention maps.
- With guided attention, hidden human knowledge can be made better use to enhance the selection of spatial and channel features.
- With the LPGA module, our model achieves the state-of-theart performances on Visual Relationship Dataset, and keeps consistent performances on Visual Genome.



- Firstly, given one image, we use a pre-trained object detection model to get the object regions, categories and their bounding boxes.
- Then, the visual features extracted from backbone are fed into three branches. One branch is fed into the union region of object-pairs; two branches are fed into two object areas respectively.
- Finally, concatenation operation is applied to get Fconcat which are fed into the latter LPGA module.

Visual Relationship Recognition via Language and Position Guided Attention

Hao Zhou, Chuanping Hu, Chongyang Zhang, Shengyang Shen

School of Electronic Information and Electrical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China



- Language representations: $R_l(sub, ob) = \boldsymbol{w}_l[w2vec(l_{sub}), w2vec(l_{ob})] + \boldsymbol{b}_l$
- Position representations:

 $R_p(sub, ob) = w_p P(p_{sub}, p_{ob}) + b_p$

- $P(p_{sub}, p_{ob}) \in \mathbb{R}^{14}$ is position encoding vector
- Spatial attention:

 $M^{i}_{spa}(sub, ob) = \left(V^{i}_{sp}R_{p}\right) \odot \Sigma_{channel}F_{M}$

Channel attention:

$$M_{cha}^{i}(sub, ob) = \boldsymbol{v}_{cl}^{i} R_{l}(sub, ob)$$

• Outputs:

 $C_{pred}^{i} = \boldsymbol{w}_{f}^{i} \left[\widetilde{M}_{cha}^{i} \odot \Sigma_{w,h} \left(\widetilde{M}_{spa}^{i} \odot F_{T} \right) \right] + b_{f}^{i}$

QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

Evaluation on VRD testing set.

	F	Entire set		Ze	ro-shot set	
Model	R@100/:	50 R@100	R@50	R@100/.	50 R@100	R@50
	k=1	k=70	k=70	k=1	k=70	k=70
Visual Phr [18]	1.91	-	-	-	-	-
Joint CNN [13]	2.03	-	-	-	-	-
VTransE [11]	44.76	-	-	-	-	-
Language-Pri [2]	47.87	84.34	70.97	8.45	50.04	29.77
TCIR [16]	53.59	-	-	16.42	-	-
Weakly-sup [19]	52.6	-	-	23.6	-	-
DR-Net [12]	-	81.90	80.78	-	-	-
LKD [10]	55.16	94.65	85.64	16.98	74.65	54.20
Zoom-Net [20]	55.98	94.56	89.03	-	-	-
baseline	18.13	78.06	58.63	7.44	62.45	39.09
spatial attention	42.54	90.39	80.30	19.16	82.98	65.27
channel attention	55.70	96.41	90.65	22.33	86.57	71.26
LR	55.64	96.40	89.80	22.16	85.03	68.69
PR	45.26	92.34	82.95	23.61	83.75	69.12
Final Model	56.60	96.66	90.39	26.52	86.66	72.63

- $\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{v_{cp}^i} R_p \left(sub, ob \right)$

ersit	.y, China				
		QUALIT			
or dr si tab	erson ride bike	person wear par wear : 0.69 has : 0.22 in : 0.06 with : 0.02 cat on luggage Cat on luggage on : 0.35 in : 0.12 next to : 0.08 beside : 0.05			
Tie	as . 0.00	Deside . 0.00			
 With a single spatial or compared makes great gains compared with the channel attention model still gets more especially in the zero-showing and position attention weights in our left of the compared model still gets in our left of the compared mattention weights in our left of the compared mattention weight weight					
		RE			
•	Hata, Joshu Li, David A language a annotations Cewu Lu, R	a Kravitz, Ster Shamma, e and vision u "IJCV, 2017. anjay Krishna tionship dete			
•	-	Ang Li, Vlad I detection w			

- knowledge distillation," in ICCV, 2017.
- relationship detection," in ICCV, 2017.

Corresponding Author: Chongyang Zhang(sunny_zhang@sjtu.edu.cn). http://ivlab.sjtu.edu.cn/



SCUSSION

channel attention module, the model ared to the baseline model.

n performs relatively well, the final gains combining spatial attention, ot set.

information are better exploited as LPGA module.

FERENCES

u, Oliver Groth, Justin Johnson, Kenji ephanie Chen, Yannis Kalantidis, Li-Jia et al., "Visual genome: Connecting using crowdsourced dense image

a, Michael Bernstein, and Li Fei-Fei, ection with language priors," in ECCV,

Morariu, and Larry S Davis, "Visual relationship detection with internal and external linguistic

• Bohan Zhuang, Lingqiao Liu, Chunhua Shen, and Ian Reid, "Towards context-aware interaction recognition for visual