Fast Projection onto the $\ell_{\infty,1}$ -Mixed Norm Ball using Steffensen Root Search



Abstract

- We present a **new algorithm for computing** jection onto the $\ell_{\infty,1}$ ball.
- Improvements: Steffensen type root search technique, pruning strategy and initial guess of solution.
- **Simulations**: Average speedups of $4 \sim 5$ w.r.t. state of the art. Up to 14 times faster for very sparse solutions.
- **fMRI LASSO task**: Speedups of \sim 120.

Introduction

- Mixed norms are important in modeling group correlations [1]. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$, where the rows represent the different groups. The $\ell_{\infty,1}$ -norm is defined as $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty,1} =$ $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \|\mathbf{a}_m\|_{\infty}$
- The main contribution of this work is a computationally efficient algorithm for computing the projection onto the $\ell_{\infty,1}$ ball:

 $\operatorname{proj}_{\|\cdot\|_{\infty,1}}(\mathbf{B},\tau) := \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{X}}^{1} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{B}\|_{F}^{2} \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{X}\|_{\infty,1} \leq \gamma$

- Sra [2] proposed a general root search based algorithm for mixed-norm ball projection problems.
- We propose two significant improvements: (i) a feasible initial solution, and (ii) pruning.

References

- [1] M. Kowalski, "Sparse regression using mixed norms," Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 303–324, 2009.
- [2] S. Sra, "Fast projections onto $\ell_{1,q}$ -norm balls for grouped feature selection," Machine learning and knowledge discovery in databases, pp. 305–317, 2011.
- [3] S. Amat, S. Busquier, Á. Magreñán, and L. Orcos, "An overview on Steffensen-type methods," in Advances in Iterative Methods for Nonlinear Equations. Springer, 2016, pp. 5–21.
- [4] T. M. Mitchell, S. V. Shinkareva, A. Carlson, K.-M. Chang, V. L. Malave, R. A. Mason, and M. A. Just, "Predicting human brain activity associated with the meanings of nouns," science, vol. 320, no. 5880, pp. 1191–1195, 2008.

[†]Electrical Engineering Department, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru *Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USÁ

Proposed method

Gustavo Chau[†]

$$[\mathbf{a}_1^*; \dots; \mathbf{a}_M^*] = \mathbf{A}^* = \min_{\mathbf{A}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^*||_{1,\infty}$$
 then the properties of the search function the search function

 $g(\gamma) = \sum \max(\mathbf{b_m} - \mathbf{a_m}(\gamma)) - \tau,$

 A^* is obtained with $g(\gamma^*) = 0$.

 $\mathbf{a}_m(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{b}_m & \text{if } \|\mathbf{b}_m\|_1 < \gamma \\ \mathsf{shrink}(\mathbf{b}_m, \lambda(\gamma)) & \text{if } \|\mathbf{b}_m\|_1 \ge \gamma \end{cases}.$

- **Pruning:** Only the $\mathbf{b_m}$ with $\|\mathbf{b_m}\|_1 \geq \gamma$ contribute to the sum in $q(\gamma)$.
- Problem reduces to finding γ^* though a root-finding procedure over g. We use Steffensen's root search [3]:

$$\gamma_{n+1} := \gamma_n + \gamma_n rac{y_n - \gamma_n}{g(y_n) - g(\gamma_n)}, \quad y_n$$

Initial Point: Compute $\sigma_k = \|\operatorname{shrink}(b_k, \tau)\|_1$ for each row of B and take $\gamma_0 = \max_k(\sigma_k)$. It can be shown that $0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq \gamma^*$.

Results: simulations



Paul Rodriguez[†] Brendt Wohlberg*

- By duality, $\mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{B}$, (X* is solution to (1)) where:
 - $\mathbf{B} \|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \cdot \|\mathbf{A}\|_{1,\infty}$ (2)
 - problem would be sepa- $_{\parallel_1}(\mathbf{b}_m,\gamma^*).$

 - $y_n = \gamma_n + \delta_n |g(\gamma_n)|$. (3)



- occurrence matrix [4].
- algorithm or Sra [2].



Speedups of ~ 120 (10 hours \rightarrow 3 minutes)

Figure 4: Distribution of $||\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}||_1$ values (red) and optimal γ value (blue). This data distribution explains the higher speedups obtained in the fMRI dataset.





Speedup with respect to Sra

Data from fMRI prediction of word response based on co-

- Solve $\min_{\mathbf{W}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}\|_2^2 \ s.t. \|\mathbf{W}\|_{\infty,1} \le \tau$ by projected gradient descent (PGD). In each step we use our proposed

Figure 3: Time per PGD iteration for solving the $\ell_{\infty,1}$ projection problem.



Conclusion

• New algorithm for projection onto the $\ell_{\infty,1}$ -norm ball with speedups of around 5 – 6 times or more. Higher speedups with favorable sparsity conditions or data distributions.