

Problem Formulation

Consider a sensor network

- o Connected undirected network of n nodes $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$
- o Adjacency matrix: $\mathcal{A} \triangleq [a_{ij}] \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}$
- o Degree matrix: $\Delta \triangleq \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{1}_n)$
- o Incidence matrix: $\mathcal{B} = [b_{ij}] \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{n \times \ell}$
- o Laplacian: $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^T$
- Sensor measurements

$$oldsymbol{z}_i = \mathbf{h}_i\left(oldsymbol{ heta}
ight) + \mathbf{w}_i$$

U.S. ARMY RDECON[®]

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $\mathbf{w}_i \sim N(\mathbf{0}, R_i)$, and $\mathbf{h}_i(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^r \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$ is local.

Maximum likelihood solution

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{ML}} \triangleq \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T \mathbf{p}^{-1} (-\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

where $f_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{z}_i - \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right)^T R_i^{-1} \left(\mathbf{z}_i - \mathbf{h}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right)$.

• Objective is to solve the above problem using only local interactions such that each agent recovers the global minimizer.

Existing Algorithms

- Distributed Subgradient Method
- Distributed Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- Zero Gradient Sum (ZGS) algorithms
- Exact first-order algorithm (EXTRA)
- Distributed dual subgradient methods

Main Results

Centralized solution

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{k+1} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^k - \alpha \sum_{i=1} \nabla f_i \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^k \right), \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^0$$

where $\nabla f_i \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^k \right) = \left(H_i^k \right)^T R_i^{-1} \left(\mathbf{h}_i \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^k \right) - \mathbf{z}_i \right)$
 $\alpha > 0$ is the step size, and $H_i^k = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^k)$

Distributed implementation of the algorithm requires

- Agents reach consensus on initial value

- Calculating the global gradient sum (average)

Proposed solution

- Static average consensus algorithm reach agreement on initial value
- Dynamic average consensus algorithms to reach consensus on the descent direction

Distributed Maximum Likelihood using Dynamic Average Consensus

Jemin George, jemin.george.civ@mail.mil, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Adelphi, MD 20783, USA

Static average consensus

Exponential convergence of the algorithm

Lemma 1 Let the vector of the values of all the nodes $\mathbf{y}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the solution of the following differential equation:

 $\dot{\mathbf{y}}(t) = -\mathcal{L}\mathbf{y}(t), \quad \mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}_0.$

Then, all the nodes of the graph globally, asymptotically reach an average consensus value $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{y}_0$ with an exponential rate of $\kappa = \lambda_2(\mathcal{L})$, i.e., $\| \boldsymbol{\delta}(t) \| \leq \| \boldsymbol{\delta}(t_0) \| \exp(-\kappa t)$, where $\boldsymbol{\delta}(t) = \mathbf{y}(t) - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}_n$.

Dynamic average consensus

Continuous-time version of centralized algorithm

$$\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(t) = -\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla f_i \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right), \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_0) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^0.$$

• Let $\phi_i(t) = \nabla f_i\left(\hat{\theta}_i(t)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^r, \, \hat{\theta}_i(t)$'s are the local solutions

DAC algorithm allows the agents to reach consensus on the average

$$\bar{\phi}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(t)$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) \triangleq \mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{1}_n \otimes \bar{\phi}(t)$$

DAC-error:

where
$$x_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r$$
 denote node *i*'s estimate of $\overline{\phi}(t)$
 $\phi(t) \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^T(t) & \dots & \phi_n^T(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{nr},$
 $\mathbf{x}(t) \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T(t) & \dots & x_n^T(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{nr}$

Proposed DAC algorithm

$$\dot{\mathbf{z}}(t) = -\beta \operatorname{sgn} \left\{ \left(\mathcal{B}^T \otimes I_r \right) \mathbf{x}(t) \right\}, \quad \mathbf{z}(t_0) = \mathbf{z}_0, \\ \mathbf{x}(t) = \left(\mathcal{B} \otimes I_r \right) \mathbf{z}(t) + \boldsymbol{\phi}(t), \\ \mathbf{z}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{r\ell} \text{ is the internal estimator state} \right.$$

Theorem 1 For any connected undirected network, given $\sup_{t \in [t_0,\infty)} \|\mathcal{B}^T \dot{\phi}(t)\|_{\infty} \leq \varphi < \infty, \text{ the robust DAC algo-}$ rithm guarantees that the average consensus error, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)$, converges to zero in finite time for any initial condition \mathbf{z}_0 , if the estimator input gain β is selected that $\beta > \beta$ $\frac{\varphi}{\lambda_2(\mathcal{BB}^T)}$, where $\lambda_2(\cdot)$ is the smallest non-zero eigenvalue. More specifically, we have $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq \frac{\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t_0)\|_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^T)}}$.

Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited.



Distributed Algorithm

$$\dot{\Theta}(t) = -\gamma \left(\mathcal{L} \otimes I_r\right) \Theta(t) - \hat{\alpha} \mathbf{x}(t), \quad \Theta(t) = -\gamma \left(\mathcal{L} \otimes I_r\right) \Theta(t) - \hat{\alpha} \mathbf{x}(t), \quad \Theta(t) = -\gamma \left(\mathcal{L} \otimes I_r\right) \Theta(t) - \hat{\alpha} \mathbf{x}(t),$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{z}}(t) = -\beta \operatorname{sgn}\left\{ \left(\mathcal{B}^T \otimes I_r \right) \mathbf{x}(t) \right\}, \quad \mathbf{z}(t)$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = (\mathcal{B} \otimes I_r) \, \mathbf{z}(t) + \boldsymbol{\phi}(t),$$

where γ is the static average consensus gain, $\hat{\alpha}$ is the step size, $\Theta(t) \triangleq \left[\hat{\theta}_1^T(t), \dots, \hat{\theta}_n^T(t)\right]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{nr}$

Theorem 2 For any connected undirected network, the distributed algorithm converges to the centralized solution trajectories exponentially fast for all $t \geq \frac{\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t_0)\|_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^T)}}$.

Example

• Distributed event localization using acoustic sensor network (direction of arrival measurements)



(c) Tracking error (d) Consensus error Figure 1: Simulation scenario and mean-square errors obtained from 10^3 Monte Carlo runs.

Conclusions

- Not an other distributed optimization algorithm
- Can be easily applied to higher order schemes
- The proposed distributed algorithm recovers the centralized localization accuracy exponentially fast
- Future work: Consider privacy preserving protocols & event-triggered communication schemes



