

ROBUST FEATURE CLUSTERING FOR UNSUPERVISED SPEECH ACTIVITY DETECTION

Harishchandra Dubey, Abhijeet Sangwan, and John H. L. Hansen

{harishchandra.dubey, abhijeet.sangwan, john.hansen}@utdallas.edu



Robust Speech Technologies Lab (RSTL)
Center for Robust Speech Systems (CRSS)
Erik Jonsson School of Engineering & Computer Science
The University of Texas at Dallas
Richardson, Texas 75080-3021, U.S.A.



IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 15–20 April 2018, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

1 Introduction

- Background**
 - Speech activity detection (SAD) is front-end for speech systems
 - Target applications: zero-resource speech processing, low-resource speech systems
- Proposition**
 - Unsupervised SAD using Hartigan dip test in a recursive-strategy

- Performance of SAD directly impacts follow-on speech tasks
- Unsupervised SAD offers flexibility for diverse changing environments

4 Cumulative Distribution

- Top Figure:**
 - Histogram of Combo features from an utterance (audio recording)
- Bottom Figure:**
 - Cumulative distribution (CDF) of Combo features follow the convex-then-concave nature when the mode changes from Non-speech to Speech

7 Computing Dip

Algorithm 1 computeDip

Input: speech features were sorted in ascending order i.e., $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N]$ where $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_N$.
Output: primary modal interval $[o_L, o_R]$, DIP and p-value, p .

Step 1: Initialize, lower point $\alpha_L = \alpha_1$, upper point $\alpha_U = \alpha_N$ and $D = 0$.
Step 2: Compute greatest convex minorant G and least concave majorant H of empirical distribution F of features in interval $[\alpha_L, \alpha_U]$. Let the points of contact with F are respectively, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k (for G) and h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m (for H).
Step 3: Let $d = \max [G(g_i) - H(g_i)] > \max [G(h_j) - H(h_j)]$ and the maximum occurs at $h_j \leq g_i \leq h_{j+1}$. Then, define $\alpha_L^d = g_i, \alpha_U^d = h_{j+1}$.
Step 4: Let $d = \max [G(h_j) - H(h_j)] \geq \max [G(g_i) - H(g_i)]$ and the maximum occurs at $g_i \leq h_j \leq g_{i+1}$. Then, define $\alpha_L^d = g_i, \alpha_U^d = h_j$.
Step 5: If $d \leq D$, Stop and set $DIP = \frac{d}{2}$.
Step 6: If $d > D$, set $D = \max \{ \sup_{\alpha_L \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_L^d} [G(\alpha) - F(\alpha)], \sup_{\alpha_U^d \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_U} [H(\alpha) - F(\alpha)] \}$, where \sup is the supremum (supremum is the smallest number that is greater than or equal to every number in the set).
Step 7: Set $\alpha_L = \alpha_L^d, \alpha_U = \alpha_U^d$. Go to Step 2.

10 Dip-SAD illustration

- Specification:**
 - Dip-SAD process all features from a single utterance at a time
 - Clusters frame-level SAD-features into speech and non-speech

- Dip-SAD effective for both short and long bursts of speech vocalizations
- Cluster with highest average feature-value is considered speech and rest non-speech

13 NIST OpenSAD Results-III

- Urdu:**
 - Significant performance gain over all channels

- Dip-SAD effective on extremely noisy channels
- Relative improvements: B (+23.33%); D (+21.06%), E (+6.85%), F (+8.68%), G (+1.61%), H (+14.95%)

2 Proposed SAD

- Baseline**
 - Combo features modelled with two-component GMM
 - Component with higher mean correspond to Speech
 - Component with lower mean correspond to Non-speech
 - SAD threshold is convex combination of higher mean and lower mean
- Dip-SAD**
 - Combo features considered for recursive Dip-SAD scheme based on Hartigan dip test
 - Parameter-free and deterministic approach

5 Dip in Cumulative Distribution

- Distribution is unimodal if its cumulative distribution takes a convex form up to its mode/modal interval and a concave form after it.
- Dip statistic, $dip \in (0, 1/4]$, is defined as the minimum achievable vertical offset for two copies of ECDF (one above, one below, shown in dashed lines) such that linear-fit (of ECDF) does not violate its unimodal rules (i.e., "convex then concave")

Farther distribution move away from unimodality, larger the corresponding dip

8 Proposed Dip-SAD

Algorithm 2 Dip-SAD

Input: frame-level speech features from an utterance
Output: speech non-speech labels for each frame

Step 1: Sort the features in ascending order and let $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N]$ be the ordered vector, where $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_N$. The significance level, α is set to 0.05 for all experiments reported in this paper.
Step 2: $[o_L, o_R, p] \leftarrow \text{computeDip}(\alpha)$
Step 3: If $p > \alpha$, then the detected primary modal interval is $[o_L, o_R]$. Else, $[o_L, o_R]$ is primary modal interval.
Step 4: Recurse into the modal interval to find the list L_{mod} of the modal intervals within detected primary mode.
Step 5: Now, we check to the right and left of the primary modal interval and extract additional modes if found.
Step 6: $(i) \leftarrow \min_{\alpha \in L_{mod}} (o_L), (ii) \leftarrow \max_{\alpha \in L_{mod}} (o_R)$.
Step 7: $p_i \leftarrow \text{computeDip}(\alpha_i), \alpha_i \in L_{mod}, p_i \leftarrow \text{computeDip}(\alpha_i), \alpha_i \in L_{mod}$.
Step 8: If $p_i > \alpha$, then α_i forms a multi-mode segment. We recurse into this interval and return all found modal intervals. Else return \emptyset i.e., an empty set.
Step 9: $L \leftarrow \{ \alpha_i \mid p_i > \alpha, \text{ then } \alpha_i \text{ forms a multi-mode segment. We recurse into this interval and return all found modal intervals. Else return } \emptyset$ i.e., an empty set.
Step 10: The final set of all modal interval is $L \cup \{ [o_L, o_R] \}$.
Step 11: As we know that combo-SAD features have high positive value for speech and low value for different noises, the cluster with highest average feature value is taken as speech and rest clusters as non-speech. In some instances, where two prominent noise sources were present such as non-stationary background noise and occasional tonal impulsive noise, this approach led to three or more clusters.

11 NIST OpenSAD Results-I

- Levantine Arabic (alv): DCF with two-second collar**
 - $DCF = 0.25 * P_{fa} + 0.75 * P_{miss}$
 - P_{fa} is the false alarm rate (non-speech frames detected as speech)
 - P_{miss} is the miss rate (speech frames detected as non-speech)
 - DCF is detection cost function

- Dip-SAD effective on extremely noisy channels
- Relative improvements: B (-54.68%); D (+11.23%), E (+4.27%), F (+25.18%), G (+11.26%), H (+40.20%)

14 NIST OpenSAT-2017

- Public safety communications (PSC): dev data with 30 min. audio**
 - Audio recordings from sofa super store fire dispatcher - Charleston, South Carolina, USA.
 - Rich in naturalistic distortions such as (i) land mobile radio transmission effects; (ii) speech under cognitive and physical stress; (iii) varying background noise types and levels

- Dip-SAD effective on extremely noisy recordings
- Relative improvements: Dev1 (+12.75%); Dev2 (-19.24%), Dev3 (+8.55%), Dev4 (-4.10%), Dev5 (+22.04%), Dev6 (+4.95%)

3 Combo Feature

- Three Steps:**
 - Handcrafted five-dimensional feature-set extracted from time-domain and frequency-domain information
 - Mean and variance normalization performed on each feature dimension
 - Normalized features combined with principal component analysis (PCA) for extracting final 1-dimensional Combo features

6 Dip-SAD Clustering: Illustration

- Clustering examples with 5 acoustic classes:**
 - First iteration gives: [R3,R5] and [R1,R2]
 - Second iteration within [R3,R5] gives R3,R4 and R5
 - Iterating in [R1,R2] gives R1 and R2

- We included nearest region in left-search and right-search
- Dip-SAD on a sorted feature-vector requires $O(N)$ operations in the worst case, where N is number of frames

9 NIST OpenSAD-2015

- Data Stats:**
 - Re-transmitted telephone conversations through six channels B, D, E, F, G and H
 - Subset of DARPA RATS: extremely degraded audio
 - Only OpenSAD training data used in our studies

Channel	Frequency Band	Modulation Type
B	UHF	Narrow-band FM
D	HF	Single side-band AM
E	VHF	Narrow-band FM
F	UHF	Frequency-hopping spread-spectrum
G	UHF	Wide-band FM
H	HF	AM

12 NIST OpenSAD Results-II

- American English (eng):**
 - Significant improvements over channels D, E, F and H.
 - Over-clustering in Dip-SAD lead to worse performance on some channels.

- Dip-SAD effective on extremely noisy channels
- Relative improvements: B (-10.67%); D (+20.74%), E (+20.50%), F (+49.23%), G (-13.69%), H (+27.38%)

15 Conclusions & Summary

- Outcomes:**
 - DipSAD is based on the geometry of cumulative distribution
 - Deterministic and parameter-free approach leveraging Hartigan dip test
 - Useful for zero-resource scenarios without SAD transcripts
 - DipSAD significantly better than baseline GMM on NIST OpenSAD-2015
 - Overall relative DCF improvement for NIST OpenSAT-2017: +3.89%
 - Over-clustering in DipSAD can lead to poor performance over some channels due to ambiguous assignment of clusters to speech/non-speech
- Future Work:**
 - Leveraging knowledge of features in accurate assignment of clusters to Non-speech/Speech classes can improve accuracy for non-binary cases (i.e., 3, 4, or more clusters)
 - Incorporating multi-dimensional features in Dip-SAD recursions is likely to lend further robustness and accuracy
 - Strategies for enforcing only binary clustering into Non-speech/speech classes

This project was funded in part by AFRL under contract FA8702-15-1-0205 and partially by the University of Texas at Dallas from the Distinguished University Chair in Telecommunications Engineering held by J. H. L. Hansen.