Trade-offs in Data-Driven False Data Injection Attacks Against the Power Grid

Subhash Lakshminarayana¹, Fuxi Wen², David K.Y. Yau^{1,3},

¹Advanced Digital Sciences Center, Illinois at Singapore, ² Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, ³Singapore University of Technology and Design

1 Introduction

Focus of This Work

- Constructing undetectable false data injection (FDI) attacks against power grid state estimation [Liu'09]
- -FDI attacks that can bypass the grid's bad-data detector (BDD)
- Attacker can craft undetectable FDI attacks by monitoring the grid's measurement data only [Kim'15]
- Referred as data-driven undetectable FDI attacks

Undetectable FDI attack

- FDI attack of the form $\mathbf{a}_t = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{c}_t$ can bypass the power grid's BDD [Liu'09]
- -Attacker requires the knowledge of H
- Alternately, attacker can construct undetectable FDI attack by accessing the grid's measurements

Algorithm for Data-Driven FDI Attack Construction ([Kim'15])

Main Idea: Estimate the basis vectors that span $Col(\mathbf{H})$ (column space of the measurement matrix)

• These column vectors are well aligned with the basis vectors of the targeted subspace $Col(\mathbf{H})$.

Restricting K will increase the attack's BDD-bypass probability

 \implies Attack is more efficient temporally

4 Trade-offs in Data-Driven FDI Attacks

- A resource-constrained attacker's objective
- -Minimize the number of meters that must be compromised to execute the attack



Drawbacks of Existing Work

- The attacker's learning was studied in the setting of a long measurement period (asymptotically infinite) only
- It is important to understand these attacks under a limited measurement time window, due to
- Active topology control, renewable energy integration
- Attacker's limited exploitation time window

Our Findings

Existing approaches do not perform well when the attacker has a limited number of data samples

1. Using measurements $\{\mathbf{z}[1], \ldots, \mathbf{z}[T]\}$, compute the sample covariance matrix Σ_z as

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\mathbf{z}[\mathbf{t}] - \widehat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} \right) \left(\mathbf{z}[\mathbf{t}] - \widehat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} \right)^{T},$$

where $\widehat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{z}[t]$: sample mean. 2. Perform singular value decomposition (SVD) of $\hat{\Sigma}_z$ as

 $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}} = \widehat{\mathbf{U}}\widehat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\widehat{\mathbf{V}}^T.$

- 3. Let $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_s$ be the first N columns of $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}$. Construct an undetectable FDI attack vector as $\mathbf{a}[t] = \mathbf{U}_s \mathbf{c}[t]$, where $\mathbf{c}[t] \in \mathbb{R}^N.$
- Σ_z is a consistent estimate of Σ_z asymptotically ($T \rightarrow$ ∞
- Estimated singular vectors are well aligned with the basis vectors of $Col(\mathbf{H})$

Drawbacks for Finite Measurement Samples

- For finite T, the estimated basis vectors are inaccurate • We illustrate this for the IEEE-4 bus system $-\delta(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{u}_i - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i$: Estimation accuracy
- $-\mathbf{u}_i$: Basis vector of $Col(\mathbf{H})$

 \implies Maximize the attack vector's sparsity

$$S_K^* = \min_{\mathbf{c}} \|\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{s,[1:K]}\mathbf{c}\|_0, \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{c}\|_{\infty} \ge \tau,$$

- $\mathbf{U}_{s,[1:K]}$: The matrix with the first $K(\leq N)$ columns of ${f U}$
- S_K^* : Sparsest attack vector while restricting the attack to $Col(\mathbf{U}_{s,[1:K]})$

Restricting K will decrease the attack's sparsity \implies Attack is less efficient spatially

5 Results & Conclusions

- We consider the IEEE-14 bus system
- We use the MATPOWER simulator
- System states are derived from real-world load data trace in New York state (NYISO)

Attack's BDD-bypass probability



- -We design an enhanced algorithm to construct the FDI attacks that can bypass the BDD with a high probability
- 2. The attacker faces an important trade-off in this regime:





Power Grid Measurement Model

 $\mathbf{z}[t] = \mathbf{H}\theta[t] + \mathbf{n}[t], \quad t = 1, 2, \cdots, T,$

• $\mathbf{z}[t]$: Power grid measurements at time t (branch power

 $-\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i$: Estimate of the basis vector \mathbf{u}_i



Figure 2: Accuracy of the estimated basis vectors as a function of the number of measurements for an IEEE 4-bus systems.

Proposition 1 For a data-driven FDI attack constructed using the algorithm above with a limited number of measurement samples, $r_a[t] \neq r[t]$. Hence, it violates the condition for an undetectable attack.

3 Enhanced Algorithm for Data-driven FDI Attacks

• Accuracy of estimation of the basis vectors for finite T

 $\delta(\mathbf{u}_i) \approx \lambda_i^{-1} \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^H \mathbf{N} \mathbf{v}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$

Figure 3: BDD-bypass probability versus the number of estimated basis vectors used in the construction of the FDI attack for IEEE 14-bus system.

Attack's BDD-bypass probability is significantly enhanced following the proposed approach

Attacker's Trade-off



flows, nodal power injections)

- $\boldsymbol{\theta}[t]$: System state (nodal voltage phase angles at time
- H : Power grid measurement matrix

• $\mathbf{n}[t]$: Sensor measurement noise

• T : Period of observation

• $\Sigma_z = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{z}[t] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}[t]])(\mathbf{z}[t] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}[t]])^T]$: Covariance matrix of $\mathbf{z}[t]$

State Estimation and Bad Data Detection

• System state estimate

 $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[t] = \left(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{z}[t]$

• Power grid bad data detector

$$r_t(\mathbf{z}_t) = ||\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_t|| = \begin{cases} < \tau, & \text{No alarm}, \\ \ge \tau, & \text{Bad data alarm} \end{cases}$$

 $-\lambda_i, i = 1, \ldots, N$: Singular values of matrix Σ_z . • $\delta(\mathbf{u}_i)$ is inversely proportional to its corresponding singular value λ_i

Decreasing accuracy of estimation



Figure 4: Trade-off between the number of compromised sensors required to construct sparse FDI attacks and the probability of bypassing the BDD.

The trade-off curve gives practical guidance to a resource-constrained attacker in designing stealthy FDI attacks

6 References

1. [Liu'09] - Y. Liu, P. Ning, and M. K. Reiter, "False data injection attacks against state estimation in electric power grids," in Proc. ACM CCS, 2009, pp. 21–32.

2. [Kim'15] - J.Kim, L.Tong, and R.J.Thomas," Subspace methods for data attack on state estimation: A data driven approach," IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 1102–1114, Mar. 2015.