





## A MACHINE LEARNING APPROACH FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF INDOOR ENVIRONMENTS USING RF SIGNATURES

Mohamed I. AlHajri<sup>1,3</sup> Nazar T. Ali<sup>2</sup> Raed M. Shubair<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Khalifa University, UAE

<sup>3</sup>Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA

malhajri@mit.edu



CTF is distinctively unique for every	FCF has a slow changing nature		
position Under frequency selective fading,	in the spatial domain	Features	
CTF becomes more sensitive to channel variations		RSS	4
		CTF	5
		FCF	6
Real-Time Measu	RSS + CTF	5	
Type of Environment & (Example):	<ul> <li>Open Space (Sports Hall)</li> <li>Low Cluttered (Lobby)</li> </ul>	RSS + FCF	6
	<ul> <li>Medium Cluttered (Narrow Corridor)</li> <li>Highly Cluttered (Lab)</li> </ul>	CTF + FCF	7
Frequency:	2.4 GHz	RSS + CTF +	7
Bandwidth:	100 MHz	FCF	
Sweeps:	10		
Frequency points:	601 points (0.167 MHz spacing)		
		1 99.8%	0

## (DT)

## Machine (SVM)

Neighbor (k-NN)

Features	DT	Gaussian SVM	<i>k</i> -NN ( <i>k</i> = 1)	<i>k</i> -NN ( <i>k</i> = 10)	Wk-NN $(k = 1)$	Wk-NN $(k = 10)$
RSS	42.5%	42.7%	32.6%	40.1%	32.6%	33.5%
CTF	57.1%	60.7%	78%	77.2%	78.0%	79.9%
FCF	62.2%	50.9%	83.4%	76.6%	83.4%	83.1%
RSS + CTF	57.4%	62.7%	78.2%	76.1%	78.2%	80.0%
RSS + FCF	69.1%	72.0%	93.4%	84.8%	93.4%	92.8%
CTF + FCF	73.7%	90.3%	99.3%	94.0%	99.3%	99.0%
RSS + CTF + FCF	72.5%	91.7%	99.3%	93.7%	99.3%	98.8%





## **Extension of Current Results**

- Complex Environments:
  - Partial Line-of-Sight (LOS) & Non-Line-of-Sight (NLOS)
- Deep Learning for new complex environments.