

#### Placing and Sizing Distributed Photovoltaic Generators for Optimal Reactive Power Compensation

Mohammadhafez Bazrafshan and Nikolaos Gatsis

Acknowledgement: NSF Grant No. CCF-1421583

# Electrical grid



Picture source: FERC, "Final Report on the August 14, 2003 Blackout in the United States and Canada," Apr. 2004. [Online]. http://www.ferc.gov/industries/electric/indus-act/reliability/blackout/ch1-3.pdf

# Introduction

#### Pressing issues

- Demand is rising
- Fossil fuel resources are limited
- There is risk of regional blackouts
- Advantages of distributed renewable generation
  - Decentralized production-close to the point of consumption
  - Bypass transmission network congestion
- Example: Photovoltaic (PV) units
- Challenge: Renewable generation has uncertainty

# Optimal PV placement and sizing



#### CPS Energy Proposes Leased Solar Option

IRIS DIMMICK on 10 February, 2015 at 01:03



- Where to place PV units?
- Sizing: Area of panel and inverter capability
- Economic operation and voltage regulation

http://therivardreport.com/ cps-energy-proposes-leased-solar-option/



# Prior art and contributions

- Placement of distributed generation: Survey [Georgilakis-Hatziargyriou '13]
- Placement & sizing of DG units without reactive power compensation
  - Loss minimization [Atwa et al '10]
  - Conservation voltage reduction [Wang et al '15]
  - Unbalanced distribution systems [Dall'Anese-Giannakis '13]
- With reactive power compensation
  - Other DG: Independent real & reactive power limits, genetic alg. [Liu et al '11]
  - PVs: Linearized capability curve [Nick et al '14]
- This work: Two-stage stochastic programming approach
  - Joint optimization of real and apparent power capability
  - Scenario-adaptive reactive power compensation for voltage regulation
  - Minimization of installation and operation costs

# SOCP power flow equations

substation connected to transmission network



PV injection model: Real power

• Real power output is  $\alpha_i w_i$ 



### PV injection model: Reactive power

To allow for reactive power control, size the inverter so that

$$s_{w_i} > w_i$$

where  $s_{w_i}$  is the apparent power capability of the inverter [Turitsyn, Šulc, Backhaus, Chertkov '10-'11]  $P_i, Q_i$ 

• Reactive power  $q_{w_i}$  generated or consumed

$$\sqrt{q_{w_i}^2 + (w_i \alpha_i)^2} \le s_{w_i}$$



# Uncertainty model

- $\blacktriangleright M$  plausible scenarios for irradiance and load
- Scenario *m*: irradiance  $\alpha_i^m$ , load  $p_{c_i}^m, q_{c_i}^m$
- Scenario *m* happens with probability  $\pi^m$

- Scenario-dependent decision variables
  - Power flows, voltages, currents  $P_i^m, Q_i^m, v_i^m, l_i^m$
  - Reactive power from the PVs  $q_{w_i}^m$
- Scenario-independent decision variables
  - Placement binary decisions  $b_i$
  - Sizing decision  $w_i$  and  $s_{w_i}$



#### Scenario-dependent constraints

Power flow equations

Reactive power

$$P_{i}^{m} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_{i}} (P_{j}^{m} + r_{j}l_{j}^{m}) + p_{c_{i}}^{m} - w_{i}\alpha_{i}^{m}$$

$$Q_{i}^{m} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_{i}} (Q_{j}^{m} + x_{j}l_{j}^{m}) + q_{c_{i}}^{m} - q_{w_{i}}^{m} - q_{s_{i}}v_{i}^{m}$$

$$v_{A_{i}}^{m} = v_{i}^{m} + 2(r_{i}P_{i}^{m} + x_{i}Q_{i}^{m}) + (r_{i}^{2} + x_{i}^{2})l_{i}^{m}$$

$$(P_{i}^{m})^{2} + (Q_{i}^{m})^{2} \leq v_{i}^{m}l_{i}^{m}$$

$$\sqrt{(q_{w_i}^m)^2 + (w_i \alpha_i^m)^2} \le s_{w_i}$$

Sizing and adaptive reactive power compensation cast as SOCP

Penetration

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \alpha_i^m \le \theta \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{c_i}^m$$

### Scenario-independent constraints

• Binary decisions  $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ 

Minimum and maximum area

$$b_i w_i^{\min} \le w_i \le b_i w_i^{\max}$$

Bounds on PV power factor

$$w_i \le s_{w_i} \le \frac{1}{\mathrm{PF}_{\min}} w_i$$

Budget on number of installations 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i \le B$$

# Objectives

- Objective comprises first-stage and the expected second-stage costs
- Examples follow
- First-stage cost

nstallation 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i(s_{w_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (c_i s_{w_i}^2 + d_i s_{w_i})$$

Second-stage costs

Expected thermal loss
$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i} l_{i}^{m} \leftarrow \text{Losses in scenario } m$$

Expected import cost
$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi^{m} C(P_{0}^{m}) \text{ where}$$

$$C_{0}(P_{0}^{m}) = \begin{cases} K_{0+}P_{0}^{m} & \text{if } P_{0}^{m} \geq 0 \\ K_{0-}P_{0}^{m} & \text{if } P_{0}^{m} < 0.$$

### Placement and sizing (MISOCP)



### Numerical test setup

IEEE 34-node feeder converted to single-phase system  $V_{\text{base}} = 24.9 \text{ kV}$ ,  $S_{\text{base}} = 500 \text{ kVA}$ Shunt capacitors are included

3 load scenarios: 50%, 100%, 150% of peak load with probabilities  $\pi_c^m = \{0.3, 0.4, 0.3\}$ 

Solar Direct Normal Irradiance Data from NREL for a station near San Antonio, June-Aug, 11:00 am - 4:00 pm  $\rightarrow$  Create a histogram

Simplified scenario generation model using irradiance normalized to 1

$$C(s_{w_i}) = 0.01s_{w_i}^2 + 0.03s_{w_i}$$
$$C(P_0^m) = \begin{cases} P_0^m & \text{if } P_0^m \ge 0\\ 0.8P_0^m & \text{if } P_0^m \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Interval for $\alpha_i^m$	Probability $\pi^m_{\alpha}$	Scenario description
[0,0.1429]	0.0944	little or no solar resource
[0.1429,0.2857]	0.0871	very poor solar resource
[0.2857,0.4286]	0.0708	poor solar resource
[0.4286,0.5714]	0.0871	low solar resource
[0.5714,0.7143]	0.1779	average solar resource
[0.7143,0.8571]	0.3176	high solar resource
[0.8571,1]	0.1652	very high solar resource

### Numerical test : B = 1



#### Numerical test : B = 2



### Numerical test : B = 3



| 17/18

## Summary and future work

#### Optimal placement and sizing

- Real & reactive power capability optimization cast as a SOC constraint
- Load and solar generation uncertainty
- Scenario-adaptive power flow
- Minimization of installation and operation cost

#### Future work

- Placement of distributed storage (batteries) with reactive power support
- Three-phase distribution networks

# Thank you!