Moment Relaxations of Optimal Power Flow Problems: Beyond the Convex Hull

Daniel Molzahn Argonne National Laboratory

Cédric Josz LAAS, French National Scientific Research Center

Ian Hiskens University of Michigan

GlobalSIP December 8, 2016

Optimal Power Flow (OPF) Problem

- Optimization used to determine system operation
 - Minimize generation cost while satisfying physical laws and engineering constraints
 - Yields generator dispatches, line flows, etc.
- Many related problems:
 - State estimation, unit commitment, transmission switching, contingency analysis, voltage stability margins, etc.

"Today, 50 years after the problem was formulated, we still do not have a fast, robust solution technique for the full ACOPF." R.P. O'Neill, Chief Economic Advisor, US Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 2013.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \underset{V_{d},V_{q}}{\min} & \sum_{k \in \mathcal{G}} \left(c_{2k} P_{Gk}^{2} + c_{1k} P_{Gk} + c_{0k} \right) & \textbf{Generation Cost} \\ \text{subject to} & P_{Gk}^{\min} \leq P_{Gk} \leq P_{Gk}^{\max} & \textbf{Engineering} \\ & Q_{Gk}^{\min} \leq Q_{Gk} \leq Q_{Gk}^{\max} & \textbf{Engineering} \\ & Q_{Gk}^{\min} \leq Q_{Gk} \leq Q_{Gk}^{\max} & \textbf{Constraints} \\ & \left(V_{k}^{\min} \right)^{2} \leq V_{dk}^{2} + V_{qk}^{2} \leq \left(V_{k}^{\max} \right)^{2} \\ & |S_{lm}| \leq S_{lm}^{\max} & \textbf{Physical Laws} \\ & P_{Gk} - P_{Dk} = V_{dk} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(G_{ik} V_{di} - B_{ik} V_{qi} \right) + V_{qk} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(B_{ik} V_{di} + G_{ik} V_{qi} \right) \\ & Q_{Gk} - Q_{Dk} = V_{dk} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-B_{ik} V_{di} - G_{ik} V_{qi} \right) + V_{qk} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(G_{ik} V_{di} - B_{ik} V_{qi} \right) \\ & \text{Rectangular voltage coordinates: } V = V_{d} + jV_{q}, V_{d}, V_{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \end{array}$$

Convex Relaxation



Convex Relaxation



Relaxation finds global optimum

Convex Relaxation



Relaxation does not find global optimum

Semidefinite Programming

- Convex optimization
- Interior point methods solve for the global optimum in polynomial time

 $\min_{\mathbf{W}} \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{BW})$ subject to

trace $(\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{W}) = c_i$

$\mathbf{W}\succeq 0$

where \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{A}_i are specified symmetric matrices

Recall: trace $(\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{A}_{11} \mathbf{W}_{11} + \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{W}_{12} + \ldots + \mathbf{A}_{nn} \mathbf{W}_{nn}$ $\mathbf{W} \succeq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{eig}(\mathbf{W}) \ge 0$

Moment Relaxations

Preliminaries

 Exploit moment-based semidefinite relaxations for polynomial optimization problems [Lasserre '01]

| $\min_{x} f\left(x\right)$ | subject to | where $f(x)$ and $g_{i}(x)$ are polynomial | |
|------------------------------|------------|---|-----------------|
| $g_{i}\left(x\right) \geq 0$ | | functions of $x = \begin{bmatrix} V_{d1} & V_{d2} & \dots & V_{dn} & V_{q1} & V_{q2} & \dots & V_q \end{bmatrix}$ | m] ^T |

• Define linear functional L_y with polynomial argument h(x)

$$h\left(x\right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}} h_{\alpha} \, \boldsymbol{x}^{\alpha}$$

Moment Relaxations

$$L_{y}\left\{h\left(x\right)\right\} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}} h_{\alpha} \, \underline{y_{\alpha}}$$

Define vector x_d containing all monomials up to order d

$$x_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ V_{d1} \ \dots \ V_{qn} \ V_{d1}^{2} \ V_{d1}V_{d2} \ \dots \ V_{qn}^{2} \ V_{d1}^{3} \ V_{d1}^{2}V_{d2} \ \dots \ V_{qn}^{d} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$



 Increasing d yields a tighter relaxation but has a computational cost

Recover global optimum if $\operatorname{rank}(L_y \{x \ x^{\mathsf{T}}\}) = 1$ Relaxations

Moment

Illustrating the Capabilities of the Moment Relaxations

Test Case Results

• Second- and third-order moment-based relaxations globally solve many small OPF problems [M. & Hiskens '14]

| Case | Number o Buses | f Parameters | Minimum Order |
|---|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Lesieutre, Molzahn, Borden, & DeMarco '11 | 3 | 50 MVA line limit | 2 |
| Molzahn, Lesieutre, & DeMarco '14 | 3 | 100 MVA line limit | 2 |
| Bukhsh, Grothey, McKinnon & Trodden '13 | 3 | $P_{D3} = 17.17$ per unit | 2 |
| Lesieutre & Hiskens '05 | 5 | | 2 |
| | | $-50 \le Q_5^{\min} \le -27.36$ MVAF | 2 |
| Bukhsh, Grothey, McKinnon & Trodden '13 | 5 - | $-27.35 \le Q_5^{\min} \le -27.04$ MVAR | 3 |
| | _ | $-27.03 \leq Q_2^{\min} \leq 0 \text{ MVAR}$ | 2 |
| Bukhsh, Grothey, McKinnon & Trodden '13 | 9 | | 2 |

Feasible Space

- The unconstrained minimum could be at an infeasible point inside the convex hull of the constraints.
 - How does the second-order relaxation find the global solution?



- The unconstrained minimum could be at an infeasible point inside the convex hull of the constraints.
 - How does the second-order relaxation find the global solution?



- The unconstrained minimum could be at an infeasible point inside the convex hull of the constraints.
 - How does the second-order relaxation find the global solution?



- The unconstrained minimum could be at an infeasible point inside the convex hull of the constraints.
 - How does the second-order relaxation find the global solution?



Explanation: The Lifted Cost Function

Quadratic cost of active power generation is quartic in voltage components:

$$\min_{y} L_{y} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}} c_{2,i} \left(P_{gi} \left(x \right) \right)^{2} + c_{1,i} P_{gi} \left(x \right) + c_{0,i} \right\}$$

s.t.
$$L_y \{ g_i(x) x_1 x_1^{\mathsf{T}} \} \succeq 0$$

 $L_y \{ x_2 x_2^{\mathsf{T}} \} \succeq 0$

Non-Convex Problem

 Infeasible points in the non-convex problem have high-cost in the relaxation



Explanation: The Lifted Cost Function

Quadratic cost of active power generation is quartic in voltage components:

$$\min_{y} L_{y} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}} c_{2,i} \left(P_{gi} \left(x \right) \right)^{2} + c_{1,i} P_{gi} \left(x \right) + c_{0,i} \right\}$$

s.t.
$$L_y \{ g_i(x) x_1 x_1^{\mathsf{T}} \} \succeq 0$$

 $L_y \{ x_2 x_2^{\mathsf{T}} \} \succeq 0$

Non-Convex Problem

 Infeasible points in the non-convex problem have high-cost in the relaxation

Feasible Space



Explanation: The Lifted Cost Function

Quadratic cost of active power generation is quartic in voltage components:

$$\min_{y} L_{y} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}} c_{2,i} \left(P_{gi} \left(x \right) \right)^{2} + c_{1,i} P_{gi} \left(x \right) + c_{0,i} \right\}$$

s.t.
$$L_y \{ g_i(x) x_1 x_1^{\dagger} \} \succeq 0$$

 $L_y \{ x_2 x_2^{\dagger} \} \succeq 0$

Second-Order Moment Relaxation

 Infeasible points in the non-convex problem have high-cost in the relaxation



Hole in the Feasible Space

• Three-bus example OPF problem [Molzahn, Baghsorkhi, & Hiskens '15]



First-Order Relaxation

Second-Order Relaxation



Feasible Space



Disconnected Feasible Space

• Five-bus example OPF problem (modified objective) [Bukhsh et al. '13]



Disconnected Feasible Space

• Five-bus example OPF problem (modified objective) [Bukhsh et al. '13]



Feasible Space

Disconnected Feasible Space

• Five-bus example OPF problem (modified objective) [Bukhsh et al. '13]



Conclusion

Conclusion

- Moment relaxations find global solutions to many OPF problems
- Illustration of the moment relaxations' capabilities to find global optima despite infeasible points inside the constraints' convex hull



The Cost Function

• First-order relaxation:

 $\min_{y} L_{y} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \alpha_{i} \right\}$

Schur Complement Reformulation as an SOCP

s.t.
$$\alpha_{i} \geq L_{y} \left\{ c_{2,i} \left(P_{gi} \left(x \right) \right)^{2} + c_{1,i} P_{gi} \left(x \right) + c_{0,i} \right\}$$

 $L_{y} \left\{ g_{i} \left(x \right) \right\} \succeq 0$ $L_{y} \left\{ x_{1} x_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} \succeq 0$

Second-order relaxation:

$$\min_{y} L_{y} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}} c_{2,i} \left(P_{gi} \left(x \right) \right)^{2} + c_{1,i} P_{gi} \left(x \right) + c_{0,i} \right\}$$

s.t.
$$L_{y} \left\{ g_{i} \left(x \right) x_{1} x_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} \succeq 0 \qquad L_{y} \left\{ x_{2} x_{2}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} \succeq 0$$