



MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES Cambridge, Massachusetts

Conjugate gradient acceleration of non-linear smoothing filters Iterated edge-preserving smoothing

Andrew Knyazev (knyazev@merl.com) (speaker) Alexander Malyshev (malyshev@merl.com)



3rd IEEE Global Conference on Signal & Information Processing Orlando, Florida, USA December 14-16 2015



Outline:

- Bilateral (BF) filter
- Guided (GF) filter
- Preconditioned conjugate gradient iteration
- Numerical results

Announcement of numerical results:

For the test 1D signal of length 4730, the PCG acceleration provides the 9 times speedup for BF and 4 times speedup for GF filters.





Standard references for BF and GF filters

- C. Tomasi and R. Manduchi, Bilateral filtering for gray and color images, in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, Bombay, 1998, pp. 839–846.
- J. Sun, K. He and X. Tang, Guided image filtering, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 35(6):1397–1409, 2013.



Bilateral filter (BF)

A discrete function x[j], $j \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, is an input signal for the bilateral filter. The output signal y[i] is a weighted average of the signal values x[j]:

$$y[i] = \sum_{j} \frac{w_{ij}}{\sum_{j} w_{ij}} x[j].$$

Every index *i* has a spatial position p_i , and a spatial distance $||p_i - p_j||$ is determined for all pairs *i* and *j*.

The weights w_{ij} are defined by means of a guidance signal g[i]:

$$w_{ij} = \exp\left(-\frac{\|p_i - p_j\|^2}{2\sigma_d^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(g[i] - g[j])^2}{2\sigma_r^2}\right),$$

where σ_d and σ_r are the filter parameters. When g = x, the bilateral filter is nonlinear and called self-guided. Numerical cost can be O(N).





Iterated bilateral filter

- The nonnegative weights w_{ij} are the entries of the symmetric matrix W. Let us denote by D the diagonal matrix with the positive diagonal entries $d_i = \sum_j w_{ij}$. Then BF is the vector transform $y = D^{-1}Wx$. The spectrum of $D^{-1}W$ is real, and the eigenvalues corresponding to highly oscillating eigenvectors lie near 0. The symmetric nonnegative definite matrix $L = D - W \ge 0$ is called the Laplacian. The normalized Laplacian is $D^{-1}L = I - D^{-1}W$.
- The BF transform $y = D^{-1}Wx$ can be applied iteratively,
 - **1** changing the weights w_{ij} at each iteration using the result of the previous iteration as a guidance signal g, or
 - 2 using the fixed weights, calculated from the initial signal as a guidance signal, for all iterations.

The former results in a nonlinear filter, the latter generates a linear filter, which may be faster to evaluate, since the BF weights are computed only once at the very beginning.

Guided filter (GF)

Algorithm 1 Guided Filter (GF)

```
Input: x, q, w, \epsilon
Output: y
  mean_q = f_{mean}(q, w)
  mean_r = f_{mean}(x, w)
  corr_q = f_{mean}(q.*q,w)
  corr_{ax} = f_{mean}(q.*x,w)
  var_{q} = corr_{q} - mean_{q} \cdot * mean_{q}
  cov_{ax} = corr_{ax} - mean_a \cdot * mean_x
  a = cov_{ax}./(var_a + \epsilon)
  b = mean_x - a. * mean_a
  mean_a = f_{mean}(a, w)
  mean_b = f_{mean}(b, w)
  y = mean_a \cdot \ast g + mean_b
```





Guided filter (GF)

 $f_{mean}(\cdot, w)$ is a mean filter with the window width w. The constant ϵ determines the smoothness degree: the larger ϵ the larger smoothing effect. The dot preceded operations .* and ./ denote the componentwise multiplication and division. Numerical complexity of the GF algorithm can be O(N).

GF is y = Wx, where the entries of the symmetric matrix W(g) are

$$W_{ij}(g) = \frac{1}{|\omega|^2} \sum_{k: (i,j)\in\omega_k} \left(1 + \frac{(g_i - \mu_k)(g_j - \mu_k)}{\sigma_k^2 + \epsilon}\right).$$

The windows ω_k of width w around all k have the number of pixels $|\omega|$. The values μ_k and σ_k^2 are the mean and variance of g over ω_k . Since $d_i = \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$, the graph Laplacian matrix is automatically normilized, i.e. L = I - W. The eigenvalues of L(g) are real nonnegative with the low frequencies accumulated near 0 and high frequencies near 1. Similar to BF, the guided filter can be applied iteratively.



Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient acceleration of a smoothing filter

Algorithm PCG(k_{max}) with l_{max} restarts **Input:** x_0 , k_{max} , l_{max} **Output:** x $x = x_0$ for $l = 1, \ldots, l_{\text{max}}$ do r = W(x)x - D(x)xfor $k = 1, ..., k_{max} - 1$ do $s = D^{-1}(x)r; \gamma = s^T r$ if k = 1 then p = s else $\beta = \gamma / \gamma_{old}$; $p = s + \beta p$ endif $q = D(x)p - W(x)p; \alpha = \gamma/(p^T q)$ $x = x + \alpha p$; $r = r - \alpha q$; $\gamma_{old} = \gamma$ endfor endfor



noisy = clean + randn(size(clean))*0.1



PSNR = 20.0494, SNR = 13.0753



Bilateral filter: 390 iter. vs. $7 \times PCG(6)$





Guided filter: 66 iterations vs. $5 \times PCG(3)$







Krylov subspace acceleration of iterated smoothing filters

Conclusion:

- We present smoothing filters in the guided form.
- We can apply the preconditioned conjugate gradient method, with the zero right-hand side and restarts, to iterated smoothing filters in the self-guided form.
- The PCG acceleration may give dramatical speedup without quality degradation.

Numerical results in 1D:

For the test 1D signal of length 4730, the PCG acceleration provides the 9 times speedup for BF and 4 times speedup for GF. **Future work:**

- Evaluation for 2D imaging.
- Total Variation Filter.





Related publications by the authors

- D. Tian, A. Knyazev, H. Mansour, and A. Vetro, Chebyshev and conjugate gradient filters for graph image denoising, in Proc. IEEE International Conf. Multimedia Expo Workshops (ICMEW), Chengdu, 2014, pp. 1–6.
- Andrew Knyazev and Alexander Malyshev. Accelerated graph-based spectral polynomial filters, in Proc. IEEE International Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing, September 17-20, Boston, USA, 2015, arXiv:1509.02468.
- Andrew Knyazev and Alexander Malyshev. Conjugate Gradient Acceleration of Non-Linear Smoothing Filters, in Proc. IEEE Global Conf. on Signal and Information Processing, December 14-16, Orlando, Florida, USA, 2015, arXiv:1509.01514.