Deductive Refinement of Species Labelling in Weakly Labelled Birdsong Recordings

Abstract

Automated species identification is a challenging task due to the complexity of bird song, the noise present in most habitats, and the simultaneous song that occurs in many bird communities. A lot of methods on bird species classification exist, but more work is needed to address the problem of identifying all species and the exact times of their vocalizations.

We propose a method that given a weakly labelled dataset:

- Detects all bird vocalisations
- Classifies them to the proper bird species

Problem

Wildlife bird sound scenes:

- Multiple birds
- Multiple species
- Overlapping bird vocalisations
- Noisy environments

We will use datasets with weakly labelled recordings (we know the species vocalising but not their exact vocalisations) in order to detect and classify each bird vocalisation.



Figure 1: Field recording

Veronica Morfi and Dan Stowell Machine Listening Lab, Centre for Digital Music (C4DM), Queen Mary University of London, UK

Method

Segmentation-Detection

- Unsupervised extraction of vocalisation segments
- Event detection paradigm
- Clears noise
- Reduces noise generated segments
- Detects coordinates of vocalisations



Figure 2: Results of Segmentation-Detection

Classification

- Segments deriving from the Segmentation-Detection step
- No explicit training phase
- Use of weakly labelled recordings
- Deduction of possible label list for each segment
- Performance increases as the number of recordings per each species increases

This process is implemented in three different procedures, that are applied to the recording in order:

- **1** First-Pass
- Create groups of recordings containing the weak labels (groups divided by number of weak labels present)
- Use normalised correlation to find matches between a segment and the recordings
- Label(s) of best match assigned to segment
- Segments with no match get label MNF
- 2 Second-pass
- Solves unclassified segments problem when there are unallocated labels in a recording by assigning these labels to the MNF segments
- 3 Third-Pass
- Solves unallocated labels problem when more than one segments have the same label

Figure 3: Two different classification scenarios. Case 1: Unassigned label and MNF segment. Case 2: Unassigned label



Contact Information

Email: g.v.morfi@qmul.ac.uk



Synthetic dataset D_{1000} :

Cha Firs Sec Thi

Evaluation

Synthetic dataset D:

single labelled recordings of NIPS4B

• 51 out of 87 labels with single labelled recordings • 50 synthetic recordings

• duration of 5 seconds

zero labelled NIPS4B recording as base

• 2-4 randomly picked labels per synthetic recording • total of 138 segments (mean of 2.76 segments) • remainder of NIPS4B dataset used for classification

Table 1: Classification Results for D

	Correct	Wrong	Unknown
ance	36.2%	63.8%	
·st-Pass	68.9%	24.6%	6.5%
cond-Pass	71%	24.6%	4.4%
ird-Pass	75.4%	20.2%	4.4%

• 50 recordings

• 2-4 labels per recording

• segment size ≥ 1000 pixels

• total of 152 segments (mean of 3.04 segments)

Table 2: Classification Results for D_{1000}

	Correct	Wrong	Unknown
ance	32.89%	67.11%	
st-Pass	66.5%	21.7%	11.8%
cond-Pass	71%	22.4%	6.6%
ird-Pass	74.3%	19.1%	6.6%

Conclusions

Our method is used to fully annotate weakly labelled recordings at a unit level.

Segmentation-Detection: fully automatic extraction of vocalisations

Classification: deductive refinement of segment labels using normalised correlation and weak labels