

Introduction

We design a computationally-efficient method for face recognition adapted to real-world conditions that can be trained using very few training examples. This is done by performing a novel alignment process followed by classification using sparse representation techniques.

Mesh Warping and Global Alignment

We achieve a global alignment of all images as follows:

- •Fig. 1 (a) Choose a reference face with full frontal views, no occlusions and no strong shadows.
- •Fig. 1 (b) Detect the face and its landmarks then compute the **Delaunay triangulation mesh** of the reference face image, by adding equally-spaced points on the border of the face square.
- •Fig. 1 (c) Detect the face on a test image.
- •Fig. 1 (d) Detect the facial landmarks on the test image.
- •Fig. 1 (e) Use the reference triangulation with the landmarks of the test face to warp each triangles of the test face to map the corresponding ones on the reference triangulation with affine transformations.
- •Fig. 1 (f) Rotate, scale, translate and crop the warped image to fix the eye positions and the inter-eye and eye-chin distances. Finally convert the image to gray-scale.

The performances of a Face Recognition system can be considerably improved using preprocessing techniques to align the images with each other. Alignment can be done in nearly real-time by using mesh-warping techniques. A triangulation mesh is extracted based on facial landmarks for a reference face. Then all images are **deformed** to match their features with the corresponding features of the reference image. Finally recognition is performed via a modified RSC algorithm.



a) Reference face

- Training images: dictionary $D = [r_1; \ldots; r_n]$. Assume iid coding residuals $e = y - D\alpha = [e_1; \ldots; e_n]$ (pdf f_{θ}). •Goal: maximize the likelihood $L_{\theta}(e) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{\theta}(e_i)$. -Add a sparsity constraint on α . • Choose f_{θ} based on a logistic function.

• We use the ℓ^2 -norm instead of ℓ^1 -norm

and obtain $\alpha = (D^T W D + \lambda I)^{-1} D^T W y$. This leads to a **4-fold speedup** without significant loss of accuracy.

FACE RECOGNITION IN REAL WORLD IMAGES

Xavier Fontaine, Radhakrishna Achanta and Sabine Süsstrunk School of Computer and Communication Sciences École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland

Main Result









(d) Face landmarks Mesh warping Triangle mest) Original Figure 1: The steps of alignment using our method on an image of Roger Federer

Robust Sparse Coding Algorithm [1]

Weighted-LASSO problem:

$$\min_{\alpha} \left\| W^{1/2} (y - D\alpha) \right\|_2^2 \text{ s.t. } \|\alpha\|_1 \leqslant \epsilon$$

$$\min_{\alpha} \left\| W^{1/2} (D\alpha - y) \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \left\| \alpha \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

Experiments

• General Pipeline:

- Subject every image to the same alignment process: mesh warping ("frontalization") and global alignment.
- Apply the **modified** RSC algorithm.

Table 1 shows the results of applying the modified RSC algorithm on 3 kinds of images corresponding to the experiments:

- •Exp 1: Use raw LFWa images.
- Exp 2: Use the faces detected by Viola-Jones face detector.
- Exp 3: Perform recognition on the faces preprocessed by our alignment algorithm.

Note the considerable performance gain due to our preprocessing algorithm.

Examples

Aligned image



(a) Original image (b) Triangulation (c) Warped Figure 2: Mesh warping examples on LFWa dataset [2] pictures of David Beckham, Tony Blair, Gordon Brown, Recep Tayyip Erdogan, Angelina Jolie and Hu Jintao

ivrl.epfl.ch

{xavier.fontaine, radhakrishna.achanta, sabine.susstrunk}@epfl.ch

Results

	Exp. 1	Exp. 2	Exp. 3
Recognition rate (%)	19.6	28.8	76.4
Time for one image (s)	3.2	3.0	1.6

Table 1: Recognition rates on the LFWa database with 7 training images and 3 test images

Method	2	5
SRC [3]	$24.4\pm2.4\%$	$44.1 \pm 2.6\%$
CRC [4]	$27.4 \pm 2.1 \ \%$	$42.0\pm3.2~\%$
MSPCRC [5]	$35.0\pm1.6\%$	$41.1 \pm 2.8\%$
Ours	51.1 ± 2.9%	$\textbf{74.2} \pm \textbf{2.5\%}$
Our time	0.15 s	0.85 s

Table 2: Recognition rates on the LFWa dataset with 2 and 5 training samples per person

Method	Rate
NN	10.6%
SRC [3]	22.3%
SVDL [6]	30.2%
Ours	$\textbf{33.3} \pm \textbf{3.4\%}$
Our time	0.02 s

Table 3: Recognition rates on the LFWa dataset with a single training sample per person

References

- [1] Meng Yang, Jian Yang, and David Zhang. Robust Sparse Coding for Face Recognition. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 625–632, June 2011.
- [2] Gary B. Huang, Manu Ramesh, Tamara Berg, and Erik Learned-Miller. Labeled Faces in the Wild: A Database for Studying Face Recognition in Unconstrained Environments. Technical Report 07-49, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, October 2007.
- [3] John Wright, Allen Y. Yang, Arvind Ganesh, S. Shankar Sastry, and Yi Ma. Robust Face Recognition via Sparse Representation. *IEEE Transactions on pattern* analysis and machine intelligence, 31(2):210–227, February 2009.
- [4] Lei Zhang, Meng Yang, and Xiangchu Feng. Sparse representation or collaborative representation: Which helps face recognition? In 2011 International Conference on *Computer Vision*, pages 471–478. IEEE, 2011.
- [5] Pengfei Zhu, Lei Zhang, Qinghua Hu, and Simon C. K. Shiu. Multi-scale patch based collaborative representation for face recognition with margin distribution optimization. *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2012.
- [6] Meng Yang, Luc Van Gool, and Lei Zhang. Sparse variation dictionary learning for face recognition with a single training sample per person. In *The IEEE International* Conference on Computer Vision, December 2013.











