

StationPlot: A New Non-stationarity Quantification Tool for Detection of Epileptic Seizures Sawon Pratiher¹, Subhankar Chattoraj², Rajdeep Mukherjee³

Presented by: <u>Debadatta Dash</u>, University of Texas at Dallas



¹Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India ²Techno India University, West Bengal, India ³Manipal University Jaipur, India



Outline

- □Introduction
- **DEEG Data for Epileptic Seizure Detection**
- **DEEG Signal Analysis**
- **Proposed Method for StationPlot**
- **Convex Hull Geometry on StationPlot**
- **D**Experimental Result and Discussion
- **Conclusion and Future Work**
- □ Acknowledgment



Introduction

• Electroence phalogram (EEG)

EEG signal comprises of information related to neurological activities of the brain [1].

• EEG subsets : A, B, C, D, and E



Figure: Represents EEG recoding of subset A, B, C, D, and E



EEG Data for Epileptic Seizure Detection

EEG subsets	Frequency Characteristic	Patient Type
А	173.61 Hz	Healthy
В	173.61 Hz	Healthy
С	173.61 Hz	Non-healthy
D	173.61Hz	Non-healthy
Е	173.61Hz	Non-healthy

A: Surface EEG recorded with eyes open.
B: Surface EEG recorded with closed
C: Intracranial recording in seizure-free interval interval of epileptogenic zone
D: Intracranial recording in seizure-free
E: Recorded during ictal period



Figure: Represents Normal & Seizure EEG recoding

Ref: http://epileptologiebonn.de/cms/front_content.php?idcat=193&lang=3&changelang=3



EEG Signal Analysis

Importance of EEG Signal

Diagnostic value in monitoring seizure activity.

Alteration in signal activity indicates active epilepsy

Reason of Analysis

Tool to assist doctors in diagnosing active epilepsy patients.
Improved quality care for patients.

Motivation, Problems and Goals

Motivation	According to WHO, 3.4 million epilepsy patients are registered worldwide with high mortality rate. Shortage of medical professionals, Poor access to diagnostic services and absence of supply chain management [2].
Problems	Interpretation of the neurological activity are subjective. Highly non-stationary complex EEG signal is very difficult to analysis. Additionally, time of seizure can vary which creates more challenge from diagnosis perspective [3-4].
Goals	A novel geometry rich non-stationarity visualization tool for generic time series analysis. EEG signal representation in 2-D space assists in better understanding of seizure activity



Proposed Method for StationPlot



Fig. Pipeline for proposed StationPlot based seizure detection..

Preprocessing:

- Trend-stationary (TS) & Difference stationary (DS) [5].
- Mathematically, Box-Jenkins non-stationary time series analysis for TS & DS.

Feature Extraction & ML Classification

≻Feature is extracted from the preprocessed signal via StationPlot & different convex hull geometry (CHG) parameters.

> The extracted features are fed to SVM-RBF for healthy & seizure classification.



2-D StationPlot

Continued...

The trend present in the given time series, x_t is de-trended by subtracting the mean value or linear trend of the feature vector & a least-squares fit of the time series is envisaged.

➢In the Euclidean space, we define the 2-D StationPlot & its subsequent feature extraction thereof.

For, the 2D-planar case, n^{th} order StationPlot is defined as the plot of X_1n versus X_2n , where, where,

$$X_1(n) = \Delta^n X(t) \& X_2(n) = \Delta^{(n+1)} X(t)$$

Fig. Representative 2-D StationPlot of (a) Healthy (in green) & (b) Seizure (in blue) EEG signals.





3-D StationPlot

Continued...

➢In the Euclidean space, we define the 3-D StationPlot & its subsequent feature extraction thereof.

For 3D n^{th} order StationPlot is defined as the surface generated by plotting $X_1(n)$, $X_2(n) \& X_3(n)$ along the X_1 , X_2 and zaxis R^3 .

$$\begin{split} X_1(n) &= \Delta^n X(t), X_2(n) = \Delta^{(n+1)} X(t) \& \\ X_3(n) &= \Delta^{(n+2)} X(t) \&, \\ \Delta^n X(t) &= \Delta^{(n+1)} X(t) - \Delta^{n-1} X(t-1) \end{split}$$



Fig. Representative (a) Healthy EEG signal (in green), (b) 3-D StationPlot of (a), (c) Seizure EEG signal (in blue), (d) 3-D StationPlot of (c)



Convex Hull Geometry on StationPlot

➤ The convex combination of *k* number of data-points in X, i.e., x_1 , x_2 ,..., x_3 , & with k number of constraints θ_1 ,..., θ_2 ,... $\theta_k \ge \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \cdots + \theta_k = 1$ is defined as [6]:

$$x = \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_k x_k$$

≻ CH spans the set of all possible convex combinations of data-points in X by taking all the permutation of the coefficients, θ_k . In the closed form, the convex hull can be expressed as:

$$conv = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{|s|} \theta_i x_i | (\forall i: \theta_i \ge 0) \cap \sum_{i=1}^{|s|} \theta_i = 1 \right\}$$

 \succ Quickhull algorithm has been used to compute the CHG [7].



Convex Hull Geometry on StationPlot Continued...

Convex hull area / volume (CHA/V)

▷ CHA/V quantifies the polygon area/volume formed by the CH triangulation of the boundary of the CH & signifies the total spread of the ROI on the StationPlot. For x_i , y_i lying on the convex hull in R^2 . CH given as [7]:

$$CHA = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{vmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} x_2 & x_3 \\ y_2 & y_3 \end{vmatrix} + \dots + \begin{vmatrix} x_n & x_1 \\ y_n & y_1 \end{vmatrix} \right)$$

Convex Hull Perimeter (CHP)

CHP is CH circumference boundary or the aggregate path length of all data point's in the convex combination. It is computed by addition of all the adjoining vertices taken sequentially of the convex hull [7]

$$CHP = \sum_{i=1}^{n} = \left(\sqrt{(x_i - x_{i+1})^2 - (y_i - y_{i+1})^2}\right)$$

BIO-L.4.3 Bio-Signal Processing & Machine Learning for MCPS Paper #1479



Convex Hull Geometry on StationPlot Continued...

<u>Circularity (C)</u>

> 2D-StationPlot's ROI exhibits asymmetric geometry, which is apprehended by C measuring the degree of roundness of the convex hull & its deviation from its circular nature [6].

$$C = \frac{4 * (CHA) * \pi}{CH^2}$$

Aspect ratio

▶ The aspect ratio measures the ratio of ROI's main inertia axis length, I_{main} to ROI's minor inertia axis length, I_{minor} [7].

$$Aspect\ ratio = \frac{I_{main}}{I_{miror}}$$



Experimental Results & Discussion

☐ Kruskal-Wallis based ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) test is used for p-value analysis [8].

Features	P-Values		
	A vs E	ABCD vs E	
Convex hull geometry (CHG)	6.75 × 10 ⁻¹⁶	4.68 × 10 ⁻¹⁴	
Convex full perimeter (CHP)	8.18 × 10 ⁻¹⁵	3.19 × 10 ⁻¹²	
Circularity	6.11 × 10 ⁻¹²	4.02×10^{-10}	
Aspect ratio (AR)	$\textbf{4.04} \times \textbf{10}^{\textbf{-7}}$	1.05 ×10 ⁻⁶	

 Table I: P-values of the extracted features from each modes.

- > With p-values ≤ 0.01 for the attributes demonstrate the adequacy of the CHG features
- Features are fed to SVM-RBF for classification.



Fig. represents Box-Plot of the extracted CHG features: (a)-(d) for (E vs ABCD) problem & (a)-(d) for (E vs A) problem. **H=Healthy & S=Seizure**



Experimental Results & Discussion Continued...

Performance Evaluation

- Each of these feature vectors are randomized to eschew the bias of the training parameters & prevent over-fitting. 70% samples are selected for training & the rest 30% is used for testing.
- > Standard evolution metrics was utilized for performance evaluation.

$$SN = \frac{TP}{TP + FN},$$

$$SP = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

$$AC = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

Where, TP = true positive, TN = true negative, FP = false positive & FN = false negative respectively.



Experimental Results & Discussion

Continued...

Performance Analysis

Kernel Function (Parameters)	Statistical Parameter	Online Data (Mean \pm Std.)	Recorded Data (Mean \pm Std.)
Linear	AC	99.31 ± 1.12	98.70 ± 1.68
	SN	99.67± 1.05	$\textbf{98.74} \pm \textbf{2.10}$
	SP	$\textbf{97.91} \pm \textbf{1.79}$	96.13 ± 4.12
Quadratic	AC	99.16 ± 1.16	97.66 ± 4.57
	SN	99.46 ± 1.23	98.37 ± 2.19
	SP	96.92 ± 2.01	95.71 ± 6.12
Polynomial	AC	98.85 ± 1.69	97.46 ± 1.71
(Order = 3)	SN	98.96 ± 2.58	98.15 ± 2.24
	SP	97.16± 2.67	98.57 ± 2.13
RBF	AC	$\textbf{99.63} \pm \textbf{1.60}$	98.79 ± 1.66
$(\sigma = 2)$	SN	$\textbf{100} \pm \textbf{100}$	98.18 ± 1.81
	SP	97.35 ± 3.15	93.10 ± 5.48

Table II. Performance Analysis of the Propose Method on the 2-Class problem.

- It can be found that RBF kernel performs significantly better as compared to the other kernels
- An overall classification accuracy of 99.31% for (A vs E) & 98.79% for (ABCD vs E) 2-class problem.
- StationPlot is superior than intensive computational deep learning methods like CNN & RNN.

BIO-L.4.3 Bio-Signal Processing & Machine Learning for MCPS Paper #1479



Seizure detection results of the proposed & state-of-art methods for two-class problem (A vs E), N.A.: Not available.

Ref,.YoP	Methodology + Classifier	Performance (%)		
		AC	SN	SP
[6] (2012)	Discrete wavelet transform (DWT), normalized coefficient of variation (NCOV), LDA.	91.8	83.6	100
[11] (2012)	Permutation Entropy (PE) SVM.	93.8	94.3	93.2
[21] (2013)	Lacunarity & Bayesian linear discriminant analysis (BLDA)	96.6	96.2	96.7
[7] (2014)	Discrete wavelet transform (DWT), fractal dimension (FD), SVM.	97.5	98.0	96.0
[22] (2016)	Weighted-permutation entropy (WPE), SVM.	97.2	94.5	100
[10] (2016)	Multi-level Wavelet Decomposition, ELM.	N.A.	99.4	77.1
This work	StationPlot, SVM	99.6	100	97.9



Seizure detection results of the proposed & state-of-art methods for two-class problem (ABCD vs E), N.A.: Not available.

Ref,.YoP	Methodology + Classifier	Performance(%)		
		AC	SN	SP
[3] (2013)	Empirical Mode Decomposition- Modified Peak Selection (EMD-MPS), KNN	98.2	N.A.	N.A.
[4] (2015)	Hilbert marginal spectrum (HMS), SVM	98.8	N.A.	N.A.
[16] (2017)	Local Neighbor Descriptive Pattern (LNDP), One-dimensional Local Gradient Pattern (1D-LGP), ANN	98.7	98.3	98.8
This work	StationPlot, SVM	98.8	98.7	98.6



Conclusion and Future Work

- ➤ A non-stationarity quantification tool, a.k.a., StationPlot for early stage epilepsy detection using EEG signals is presented.
- StationPlot can also be used for effective chaos modeling for any non-stationary time series, its visualization & analysis of temporal evolutionary behavior for quantification thereof.
- > The D^{th} order differencing statistics exhibits significant knowledge about the underlying system & adequately captures the underlying non-stationarity structure analytics, which is otherwise inaccessible.
- ➢ We are escalating our method for noise robustness via inclusion of area moments to study the distribution of non-stationary points.



References

[1] Adeli, H., Ghosh-Dastidar, S., & Dadmehr, N. (2007). A wavelet-chaos methodology for analysis of EEGs and EEG subbands to detect seizure and epilepsy. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 54(2), 205-211.

[2] <u>http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/epilepsy</u>

[3] M. Kaleem, A. Guergachi, and S. Krishnan, "EEG seizure detection and epilepsy diagnosis using a novel variation of empirical mode decomposition," in 2013 *35th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, pp. 4314–4317.
[4] K. Fu, J. Qu, Y. Chai, and T. Zou, "Hilbert marginal spectrum analysis for automatic seizure detection in EEG signals," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 18, pp. 179–185, 2015.

[5] M. Kaleem, A. Guergachi, and S. Krishnan, "EEG seizure detection and epilepsy diagnosis using a novel variation of empirical mode decomposition," in 2013 IEEE 35th Annual International Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), pp. 4314–4317.

[6] K. Fu, J. Qu, Y. Chai, and T. Zou, "Hilbert marginal spectrum analysis for automatic seizure detection in EEG signals," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 18, pp. 179–185, 2015.



References

[7] Kwiatkowski, Denis, et al. "Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root: How sure are we that economic time series have a unit root?." *Journal of econometrics* 54.1-3 (1992): 159-178.

[8] Barber, C. Bradford, David P. Dobkin, and Hannu Huhdanpaa. "The quickhull algorithm for convex hulls." *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS)* 22.4 (1996): 469-483.

[9] W. Zhou, Y. Liu, Q. Yuan, and X. Li, "Epileptic seizure detection using lacunarity and bayesian linear discriminant analysis in intracranial EEG," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 60, no. 12, pp.3375–3381, 2013.

[10] Cuevas, Antonio, Manuel Febrero-Bande and Ricardo Fraiman. "An anova test for functional data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 47 (2004): 111-122.

[11] Rohit Bose, <u>Sawon Pratiher</u> and Chatterjee, Soumya. "Detection of epileptic seizure employing a novel set of features extracted from multifractal spectrum of electroencephalogram signals." IET Signal Processing (2018).

[12] Chatterjee, Soumya, <u>Sawon Pratiher</u>, and Rohit Bose. "Multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis based novel feature extraction technique for automated detection of focal and non-focal electroencephalogram signals." IET Science, Measurement & Technology 11.8 (2017): 1014-1021.



Acknowledgment

The authors thanks Ralph G. Andrzeja et.al. for the publicly available EEG dataset.

