Extension of Nested Arrays with the Fourth-Order Difference Co-Array Enhancement

Qing Shen^{1,2}, Wei Liu², Wei Cui¹, Siliang Wu¹

¹ School of Information and Electronics Beijing Institute of Technology, China

² Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering University of Sheffield, UK

Outline

- 1. Introduction.
- 2. DOA Estimation for Four-Level Nested Arrays.
- 3. Sparse Array Extension Based on the Fourth-Order Difference Co-Array Concept.
- 4. Simulation Results.
- 5. Conclusion.

1. Introduction

- Direction-of-arrival (DOA) estimation is an important area in array signal processing.
- Co-array equivalence plays an important role in designing sparse array structures, leading to an effective solution for underdetermined DOA estimation.
- Among all these sparse array structures based on the difference coarray concept, nested arrays and co-prime arrays are two classes of most notable sparse structures with systematic ways for convenient structure construction.
- Both the spatial smoothing based subspace methods and compressive sensing (CS) based sparse signal reconstruction methods can be employed for underdetermined DOA estimation.

1. Introduction

- Previous research:
 - Most of the work about DOA estimation for the nested arrays and co-prime arrays are based on the second-order difference co-array concept.
 - The virtual array concept for the fourth-order cumulants and higher order cumulants based DOA estimation have been proposed.
 Based on the 2q-th order cumulants, the 2q-th order difference coarray concept is proposed.
 - To exploit the 2q-th order difference co-array concept, multiple level nested arrays are proposed with a substantial increase in the number of degrees of freedom (DOFs), and spatial smoothing based subspace method is applied to find the DOAs.

1. Introduction

- Our contributions:
 - By reviewing the two-level nested arrays, the fourth-order difference co-arrays are further optimized by exploring the physical array aperture and the symmetric features in the second-order difference co-array, which have not been fully exploited in the construction of the existing four-level nested arrays.
 - The number of DOFs of the proposed extended construction is always larger than the standard two-level nested array, and it is also larger than that of the four-level nested array for less than 21 sensors.
 - For 20 physical sensors with our proposed structure, the number of virtual ULA sensors at the fourth-order difference co-array stage can be 2223, which is sufficient for most applications.

- The set of sensor positions of a general *N*-sensor linear array is expressed as $S = \{p_0 \cdot d, p_1 \cdot d, \dots, p_{N-1} \cdot d\}$.
- Assume that there are K mutually uncorrelated far-field narrowband signals $s_k(t)$ impinging from incident angles θ_k , k = 1, 2, ..., K, respectively. After sampling, the array output model is obtained.

$$\mathbf{x}[i] = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{s}[i] + \overline{\mathbf{n}}[i] .$$
(1)

• The steering matrix $\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = [\mathbf{a}(\theta_1), \dots, \mathbf{a}(\theta_K)]$, with its *k*-th column vector $\mathbf{a}(\theta_k)$ being the steering vector corresponding to the *k*-th source signal, expressed as

$$\mathbf{a}(\theta_k) = \left[e^{-j\frac{2\pi p_0 d}{\lambda}\sin(\theta_k)}, \dots, e^{-j\frac{2\pi p_{N-1} d}{\lambda}\sin(\theta_k)} \right]^T.$$
 (2)

- The Four-Level Nested Array (FL-NA) has four sub-arrays.
- Denote *d* as the unit spacing and $N_0 = 0$. For $1 \le m \le 3$, the *m*-th sub-array has N_m sensors located at

$$\left\{ nd \left[\prod_{\tilde{m}=0}^{m-1} \left(N_{\tilde{m}} + 1 \right) \right], n = 1, 2, \dots, N_m \right\} , \qquad (3)$$

while the sensors of the fourth sub-array with $N_4 + 1$ sensors are located at

$$\left\{ nd \left[\prod_{\tilde{m}=0}^{3} \left(N_{\tilde{m}} + 1 \right) \right], n = 1, 2, \dots, N_4 + 1 \right\} .$$
 (4)

• There are $N = \sum_{m=1}^{4} N_m + 1$ physical sensors in total.

• Under the assumption of Gaussian white noise, the fourth-order cumulant matrix of the observed column vector $\mathbf{x}[i]$ for the arrangement indexed by l can be obtained by

$$\mathbf{C}_{4,\mathbf{x}}(l) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_{4,s_k} \left[\mathbf{a}(\theta_k)^{\otimes l} \otimes \mathbf{a}(\theta_k)^{*\otimes(2-l)} \right] \times \left[\mathbf{a}(\theta_k)^{\otimes l} \otimes \mathbf{a}(l,\theta_k)^{*\otimes(2-l)} \right]^H,$$
(5)

where l = 0, 1. $\mathbf{a}(\theta_k)^{\otimes l}$ denotes $\mathbf{a}(\theta_k) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{a}(\theta_k)$ with $\mathbf{a}(\theta_k)$ for l times.

 \bullet The fourth-order auto-cumulant of source signal $s_k[i]$ can be expressed as

$$c_{4,s_k} = \operatorname{Cum}\left\{s_k[i], s_k[i], s_k^*[i], s_k^*[i]\right\}.$$
(6)

• We set l = 1, and by vectorizing $C_{4,x}(1)$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{z} = \operatorname{vec} \left\{ \mathbf{C}_{4,\mathbf{x}}(1) \right\} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} .$$
(7)

- Equation (7) characterises a virtual array, whose equivalent steering matrix B = [b(θ₁),..., b(θ_K)] with each column vector b(θ_k) = [a(θ_k) ⊗ a(θ_k)*]* ⊗ [a(θ_k) ⊗ a(θ_k)*]. u = [c_{4,s1}, c_{4,s2},..., c_{4,sK}] is the equivalent signal vector.
- To obtain the DOA results, subspace methods can be applied directly to C_{4,x}(*l*) in (5), and spatial smoothing based subspace methods can be employed in the virtual model characterised by (7).

• For the given general linear array, the *second-order difference co-array* (also known as *difference co-array*) set is defined as

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{A}} = \Phi_A \cdot d , \qquad (8)$$

with the set of difference co-array lags Φ_A given by $\Phi_A = \{p_{n_1} - p_{n_2}\}, 0 \le n_1, n_2 \le N - 1.$

• The set of *fourth-order difference co-array* is defined as

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{B}} = \Phi_B \cdot d , \qquad (9)$$

with the set of the fourth-order difference co-array lags $\Phi_B = \{p_{n_1} + p_{n_2} - p_{n_3} - p_{n_4}\}$. Note that $0 \le n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \le N - 1$.

• The set Φ_B can be rewritten as

$$\Phi_B = \{ (p_{n_1} - p_{n_3}) - (p_{n_4} - p_{n_2}) \}.$$
(10)

Note that $(p_{n_1} - p_{n_3}) \in \Phi_A$ and $(p_{n_4} - p_{n_2}) \in \Phi_A$.

• The sets Φ_A and Φ_B of a general Two-Level Nested Array (TL-NA) with $N_1 + N_2$ physical sensors can be expressed as

$$\Phi_A = \{\mu, -N_2(N_1+1) + 1 \le \mu \le N_2(N_1+1) - 1\}, \Phi_B = \{\mu, -2N_2(N_1+1) + 2 \le \mu \le 2N_2(N_1+1) - 2\}.$$
(11)

• The number of consecutive integers is increased to $4N_2(N_1+1)-3$ in Φ_B , which suggest that more DOFs can be exploited by employing the fourth-order difference co-array based method.

- However, the set Φ_A of the TL-NA indicates that the virtual array generated at the difference co-array stage is only a ULA, and the increase in the number of consecutive integers from Φ_A to Φ_B is limited.
- Then, a novel sparse array extension based on the TL-NA is constructed, optimising the consecutive integers at the fourth-order difference co-array stage with each introduced physical sensor of the third sub-array.
- Define the sensor positions of the introduced third sub-array as $\alpha_{n_3}d$, $0 \le n_3 \le N_3 1$, where N_3 is the sensor number of the third sub-array under construction.

• To maximise the number of consecutive co-array lags, the covered ranges associated with different introduced sensors $\alpha_{n_3}d$ should be adjacent to each other. Then we can obtain

$$\alpha_0 = 4N_2(N_1 + 1) - 2 ,$$

$$\alpha_{n_3} - \alpha_{n_3 - 1} = 3N_2(N_1 + 1) - 2 .$$
(12)

- Therefore, the third sub-array is also a uniform linear sub-array with the starting position of $[4N_2(N_1+1)-2]d$ and the inter-element spacing $[3N_2(N_1+1)-2]d$.
- This larger inter-element spacing is due to exploration of the physical aperture and the symmetric information at the second-order difference co-array stage, which is not exploited in the design of the FL-NA.

• The maximum integer of the fourth-order difference co-array lag $M_0 = (3N_3 + 2)N_2(N_1 + 1) - 2N_3 - 2$. The set of the fourth-order difference co-array lags Φ_B of our proposed structure is updated to

$$\Phi_B = \{\mu, -M_0 \le \mu \le M_0\} .$$
(13)

- A general structure of the proposed array extension:
- $d N_1 d$

 $^{\dagger}\alpha_0 = 4N_2(N_1+1) - 2, \ \alpha_1 = 7N_2(N_1+1) - 4, \ \text{and} \ \alpha_{N_3-1} = (3N_3+1)N_2(N_1+1) - 2N_3.$

• For a FL-NA with $N = \sum_{m=1}^{4} N_m + 1$ physical sensors, the number of consecutive lags at the fourth-order difference co-array stage is

$$2\prod_{m=1}^{4} (N_m + 1) - 1.$$
 (14)

• In our proposed structure SAFOE-NA, the number of consecutive lags at the fourth-order difference co-array stage is $2M_0 + 1$, where

$$M_0 = 3(N_3 + \frac{2}{3})N_2(N_1 + 1) - 2N_3 - 2.$$
(15)

The second term $2N_3$ is much smaller than the first term.

• Then we compare the maximum consecutive lags after applying Arithmetic Mean-Geometric Mean (AM-GM) inequality to find the range in which more DOFs can be provided by our proposed structure.

• Note N is a positive integer, and the solution can be obtained as

$$1.3739 \le N \le 20.6100$$
 . (16)

- Therefore, for $N \le 20$, our proposed structure can provide more DOFs than the FL-NA.
- Compared to the TL-NA, our extended structure always gives a significantly larger number of DOFs.
- Furthermore, for 20 physical sensors with $(N_1, N_2, N_3) = (6, 7, 7)$ for our proposed structure, the number of virtual ULA sensors at the fourth-order difference co-array stage is 2223, which is sufficient for most applications.

Lasie II Companicon di inc i carin Order Emereneo de Milay Eage		
Number of Sensors	Number of Consecutive Lags	
$N_1 + N_2$	$4N_2(N_1+1) - 3$	
$N = \sum_{m=1}^{4} N_m + 1$	$2\prod_{m=1}^{4}(N_m+1) - 1$	
$N = \sum_{m=1}^{3} N_m$	$2M_0 + 1^{\dagger}$	
$(N_1,N_2),(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)$ or	Number of	Number of
$\left(N_{1},N_{2},N_{3} ight)$	Sensors	Consecutive Lags
(2,3)	5	33
(1,1,1,1)	5	31
(1,2,2)	5	53
(8,9)	17	321
(4,4,4,4)	17	1249
(5,6,6)	17	1413
(10,11)	21	481
(5,5,5,5)	21	2591
(7,7,7)	21	2545
	Number of Sensors $N_1 + N_2$ $N = \sum_{m=1}^4 N_m + 1$ $N = \sum_{m=1}^3 N_m$ $(N_1, N_2), (N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4)$ or (N_1, N_2, N_3) (2,3) $(1,1,1,1)$ $(1,2,2)$ $(8,9)$ $(4,4,4,4)$ $(5,6,6)$ $(10,11)$ $(5,5,5,5)$ $(7,7,7)$	Number of SensorsNumber of Q $N_1 + N_2$ $4N_2(N)$ $N = \sum_{m=1}^4 N_m + 1$ $2 \prod_{m=1}^4 M_m + 1$ $N = \sum_{m=1}^3 N_m$ $2N$ $(N_1, N_2), (N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4)$ orNumber of (N_1, N_2, N_3) Sensors $(2,3)$ 5 $(1,1,1,1)$ 5 $(1,2,2)$ 5 $(8,9)$ 17 $(4,4,4,4)$ 17 $(5,6,6)$ 17 $(10,11)$ 21 $(5,5,5,5)$ 21 $(7,7,7)$ 21

Table 1: Comparison of the Fourth-Order Difference Co-Array Lags

 $\overline{}^{\dagger} M_0 = (3N_3 + 2)N_2(N_1 + 1) - 2N_3 - 2.$

- Apart from the spatial smoothing based subspace method, CS-based method can be employed for underdetermined DOA estimation.
- Consider the following examples with a small number of sensors:
 - The number of physical sensors is set to 5.
 - $(N_1, N_2) = (2,3)$ for the standard TL-NA, $(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4) = (1,1,1,1)$ for the FL-NA with $\sum_{m=1}^4 N_m + 1 = 5$ sensors, and $(N_1, N_2, N_3) = (1,2,2)$ for our proposed extended structure SAFOE-NA.
 - The unit spacing $d = \lambda/2$, where λ is the signal wavelength.

• DOA estimation results for different structures (source number K = 22):



• DOA estimation results for our proposed structure (source number K = 22):



• RMSE results for different structures (source number K = 12):



• The physical array aperture for the proposed structure is 23*d*, while it is 15*d* for the FL-NA and 8*d* for the TL-NA.

5. Conclusion

- A sparse array extension based on the standard two-level nested array has been proposed to maximise the consecutive lags in the fourth-order difference co-array.
- After vectorizing the fourth-order cumulant matrix, a CS-based signal reconstruction method is then employed for effective DOA estimation.
- Given the same number of sensors, the number of consecutive lags and DOFs of the new structure is significantly larger than the twolevel nested arrays; compared to the four-level nested arrays, when the sensor number is smaller than 21, the new structure also provides a larger number of consecutive lags (2223 for 20 sensors), which is sufficient for most applications.
- It can be shown that among the three different structures, the proposed one has the largest aperture, leading to further improved performance.

Thank you!