



# A Robust Application Detector For Intelligent Wireless Collaboration

Kevin Pietsch and Sean Mason Lockheed Martin Advanced Technology Laboratories (ATL) Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 Presented by: Kevin Rigney of Lockheed Martin ATL December 9, 2016

DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited. This research was developed partly under funding from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency's (DARPA) MTO Office under contract HR0011-11-C-0033. The views, opinions, and/or findings expressed are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

## **Spectrum Inference**



- What is it?
  - Generally: the ability to derive information over a wireless link inexplicitly (i.e. from evidence and reason)
  - Practically this means without demodulation
- Why is it important?
  - Spectrum usage continues to grow exponentially
  - Current spectrum sharing paradigm of senseand-avoid leaves capacity on the table
  - Just because a primary user radio (PU) occupies a channel doesn't mean the channel should be off limits to secondary users (SU)
    - SU's must be able to share without hurting the PU



In February 2016 The Cisco Visual Networking Index Forecast predicted a growth in global data usage from 4.4 exabytes/month in 2015 to over 30 in 2020

### **Research Considerations**

1

- Where does it lead?
  - Radios that formulate strategies for coexistence without direct communication
  - Real-time feedback for spectrum sharing actions
- Practical considerations
  - How do you train?
    - What data is available for training?
    - What's the right amount of labeling?
  - How to build a radio around this inference ability?



An outline of the collaborative spectrum sharing process

### **Our Problem Statement**

4

- Determine the protocol application in use by a PU over the wireless channel
  - SU cannot demodulate and only has a simple detector
- Why bother? This allows spectrum sharing rules based on <u>application</u>
  - Most cognitive radio research assumes rules based on radio type



The open system interconnect model



### Models an application protocol as a sequence of hidden states (1)

model (HMM), developed to find

Extension of hidden Markov

mutated genetic sequences

Genetic mutations (insertions and

- Emissions (2) are protocol states after being encoded, modulated, and transmitted by the PU
- Inserted (3) and deleted emissions are a consequence of shared, lossy medium

5

### Profile Hidden Markov Model (PHMM)





### **PHMM Training & Detection**

- SU receives PU's emissions over wireless channel (4)
  - Possibly with deletions and insertions from other users
- Feature Extraction (5) reduces dimensionality of observed traffic
  - E.g. convert raw voltage measurement to burst durations and interarrival times
- State modeling (6) defines discrete states in feature space (e.g. clustering)
- Training (7) creates a state transition model per application type
- Detection (8) fits observed state sequence to a model



# 1

## **Experimental Setup**

- PU: IEEE 802.11g (WiFi)
- Test protocols
  - Network association using dynamic host control protocol (DHCP)
  - Secure socket layer (SSL) handshake
  - Background
- Feature extraction using Wireshark: packet size (bytes) and interarrival time (seconds)



### **Experimental Results**

- Results include
  - Discrimination of protocol from background (1)
  - Discrimination of protocols from each other (2)
- Number of training samples per model
  - DHCP (Net Join): 9
  - SSL: 23
  - Background (single state HMM): 20



0.5

### UNCLASSIFIED // FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

LTE Handset

IQ Recorder

(Hosted on USRP X310)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Future/Ongoing Work**

New detectors

External

Internet

Wired

Ethernet

- Esp. ones that require less training
- More primary user radio types
- More challenging, realistic experimental setups

Laptop

(running Wireshark)

LTE Base Station

(Hosted on

USRP N210)

Diagram of an experimental setup with a Long Term Evolution (LTE) primary user

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

X

X

trained to detect SSL consistently produces a higher detection score on HTTPS collects (which contain SSL) than plain HTTP (which does not)

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

X

0 HTTP

HTTPS

X

9

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Thank You**

### Profile Hidden Markov Model (PHMM) Detector

- Extension of hidden Markov model (HMM), developed to find mutated genetic sequences
  - Genetic mutations (insertions and deletions) have close analogies to wireless traffic
- The HMM models an application protocol as a sequence of hidden states
  - Emissions are protocol states after being encoded, modulated, and transmitted by the PU
  - Inserted and deleted emissions are a consequence of shared, lossy medium

![](_page_10_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_9.jpeg)

30