

GlobalSIP 2015 – Monday 14.12. 2015

"Channel-Robust Compressed Sensing via Vector Pre-Quantization in Wireless Sensor Networks"

*Markus Leinonen, Marian Codreanu, Markku Juntti

Centre for Wireless Communications (CWC), University of Oulu, Finland



Contents

- Background
- System Model
- Problem Formulation
- Design Approach
- Encoder-Decoder Optimization
- Algorithm Implementation
- Numerical Results
- Conclusions

Background: Wireless Sensor Network Monitoring

- Measuring & monitoring WSN applications
- Resource-limited sensors
 - Wireless access is energy-consuming
 - ➤ Limited computation power → simple encoding needed
- Source compression for minimizing the information rate
- Real-world WSN signals *sparse*, e.g., in surveillance, fault detection and spectrum sensing applications
- Signals typically continuous-valued
- Quantization inevitable for finite-rate communications



Background: Design Approaches

- <u>Compressed sensing (CS)</u>
 - Retrieve a high-dimensional sparse vector from few linear measurements
- Vector quantization (VQ)
 - Block source coding (cf. Shannon's source coding theory)
- <u>Channel-optimized VQ (COVQ)</u>
 - Joint source-channel coding (JSCC)
 - Source-channel separation theorem: infinitely long block lengths
 - □ A practical method with constrained delay and complexity



System Model (1/2)

Fixed measurement matrix

- Noisy CS measurements [*M*] of *K*-sparse signal [*N*]: $|y = \Phi x + w|$
- Pre-quantization of measurement space into V cells $\{S_1, \ldots, S_V\}$ with rate $R = \log_2 V$ and indices $\mathcal{V} \triangleq \{1, \ldots, V\}$

 $|\mathsf{PQ}(\boldsymbol{y}) = v, \text{ if } \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{S}_v, v \in \mathcal{V}|$

- Encoder is an index mapping $\pi: \mathcal{V} \mapsto \mathcal{I}$, $\pi = \{\pi(1), \ldots, \pi(V)\}$ with rate $R = \log_2 I$, $R \leq \overline{R}$, and indices $\mathcal{I} \triangleq \{1, \ldots, I\}$ $\pi(v) \in \mathcal{I}$: encoding index of *v*:th cell $\pi^{-1}(i) = \{v | \pi(v) = i\}$: cell indices assigned to *i*:th encoding index $\mathsf{E}(v) = i$, if $\pi(v) = i$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$ Pre-Quantizer CS-Sensor Signal
- (K-sparse) $x \xrightarrow[\mathbb{R}^N]{}$ Ε Discrete memoryless channel is a DMC mapping $I \to I$ with $p_{ij} = \Pr(j|i), i, j \in \mathcal{I}$ Decoder is a mapping $D : \mathcal{I} \to \mathfrak{C}$ with • Decoder estimate codevectors $\mathfrak{C} \triangleq \{c_1, \ldots, c_I\}$, i.e., $|\overline{\mathsf{D}(j) = c_j} \in \mathfrak{C}$

NTRE FOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

Encoder

System Model (2/2)

Illustration of assignment of pre-quantization cells into encoding indices with $\overline{R} = 3$ and R = 2



Problem Formulation

- Minimization of the end-to-end MSE distortion $D \triangleq \mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\|_2^2]$ 1) Quantization error 2) Channel error 3) Reconstruction error
- Additional constraint for PQ, i.e., VQ with codebook $\mathfrak{G} \triangleq \{g_1, \ldots, g_V\}$ and codepoints $g_v \in \mathbb{R}^M$:
 - Nearest-neighbor coding to enforce controlled encoding complexity
- Marginalize over the PQ, E and D indices:

$$D = \sum_{v,i,j} \Pr(v,i,j) \mathbb{E} [\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}_j\|_2^2 | v, i, j]$$

= $\sum_{i,j} \sum_{v \in \pi^{-1}(i)} p_{ij} \Pr(v) \mathbb{E} [\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}_j\|_2^2 | v, i, j]$
= $\sum_{v,i,j} \delta_{\pi}(v,i) p_{ij} \Pr(v) \mathbb{E} [\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}_j\|_2^2 | v, i, j]$
Markov property
 $v \to i \to j$

Joint optimization problem:

min. $\sum_{v,i,j} \delta_{\pi}(v,i) p_{ij} \Pr(v) \mathbb{E} \left[\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}_j \|_2^2 | v,i,j \right]$ s.t. $\pi(v) \in \mathcal{I}, v \in \mathcal{V}$ $\mathcal{S}_{v} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{y} : \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{g}_{v}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{g}_{v'}\|_{2}^{2}, \forall v' \neq v \right\}, v \in \mathcal{V}$

with optimization variables $\{m{g}_v\}_{v=1}^V$, π , and $\{m{c}_j\}_{j=1}^I$

© Centre for Wireless Communications. University of Oulu



 $\rightarrow i$

Optimization Approach

- The integer constraint makes the problem intractable
- Split the optimization into two separate steps:
 - 1. Optimization of PQ via $\{g_v\}_{v=1}^V$
 - 2. Optimization of E-D pair via π and $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{I}$
- Alternating optimization in the spirit of the iterative Lloyd algorithm used in both steps
 - Optimize partition for fixed codevectors
 - Optimize codevectors for fixed partition





Pre-Quantization Optimization

$$D \triangleq \sum_{v=1}^{V} \Pr(v) \mathbb{E} \left[\| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{g}_{v} \|_{2}^{2} | v \right]$$

- Due to the MSE distortion criterion, the alternating optimization for PQ results in the traditional VQ with
 - Optimal partition (nearest-neighbor condition):

$$\mathcal{S}_{v}^{*} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{y} : \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{g}_{v}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{g}_{v'}\|_{2}^{2}, \forall v' \neq v \right\}, v \in \mathcal{V}$$

Optimal codepoints (centroid condition):

$$\boldsymbol{g}_v^* := \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{S}_v] = \frac{1}{\Pr(v)} \int_{\mathcal{S}_v} \boldsymbol{y} f(\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}, \ v \in \mathcal{V}$$

VQ training via the iterative Lloyd algorithm by successively applying the necessary optimality conditions





Joint Encoder-Decoder Optimization (1/3)

- Optimize E-D for a fixed PQ, i.e., fixed PQ cells $\{S_v\}_{v \in V}$
- Minimum mean-square error (MMSE) estimator of the source given noisy CS measurements: *x̂*(*y*) ≜ E[*x*|*y*] ∈ ℝ^N
 - Closed-form solution available
 - > Exponential complexity proportional to the number of supports $\binom{N}{K}$
- Alternating optimization of E and D
 - Practical training via the principles of the iterative Lloyd algorithm



Joint Encoder-Decoder Optimization (2/3)

• The optimization of E separates into V subproblems, namely

$$D = \sum_{v,i,j} \delta_{\pi}(v,i) p_{ij} \int_{\boldsymbol{y}} \Pr(v|\boldsymbol{y}) \mathbb{E}[d_j|v,i,j,\boldsymbol{y}] f(\boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{y}$$

= $\sum_{v,i,j} \delta_{\pi}(v,i) p_{ij} \int_{\mathcal{S}_v} \mathbb{E}[d_j|v,i,j,\boldsymbol{y}] f(\boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{y}$
= $\sum_{v,i} \delta_{\pi}(v,i) D(v,i)$ \longrightarrow Distortion when v:th cell is assigned to *i*:th encoding index

• Optimal encoder index:

$$\pi_{v}^{*} := \underset{i \in \mathcal{I}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \sum_{j} p_{ij} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{v}} \mathbb{E} \left[\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}_{j} \|_{2}^{2} | v, i, j, \boldsymbol{y} \right] f(\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y} \right\}$$
$$:= \underset{i \in \mathcal{I}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \sum_{j} p_{ij} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{v}} \left(\| \boldsymbol{c}_{j} \|_{2}^{2} - 2\boldsymbol{c}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{y}] \right) f(\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y} \right\}$$
$$:= \underset{i \in \mathcal{I}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \sum_{j} p_{ij} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{v}} \left(\| \boldsymbol{c}_{j} \|_{2}^{2} - 2\boldsymbol{c}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \right) f(\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y} \right\}$$

Weighted nearest-neighbor condition



Joint Encoder-Decoder Optimization (3/3)

- The MSE marginalized over channel output indices as $\sum_{j} \Pr(j) \mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}_{j}\|_{2}^{2} | j] \text{ results in } \boldsymbol{c}_{j}^{*} := \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{c}_{j}} \mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}_{j}\|_{2}^{2} | j]$
- The optimal codevector:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{c}_{j}^{*} &= \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}|j] \\ &= \sum_{v} \sum_{i} \int_{\boldsymbol{y}} \Pr(v, i|j) \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{x}|v, i, j, \boldsymbol{y}] f(\boldsymbol{y}|v, i, j) d\boldsymbol{y} \\ &= \sum_{v,i} \int_{\boldsymbol{y}} \Pr(i|j) \Pr(v|i) \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{y}) f(\boldsymbol{y}|v, i) d\boldsymbol{y} \\ &= \sum_{v,i} \int_{\boldsymbol{y}} p_{ij} \frac{\Pr(i) \Pr(v|i) \Pr(v, i|\boldsymbol{y})}{\Pr(j) \Pr(v, i)} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{y}) f(\boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{y} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Pr(j)} \sum_{i=1}^{I} p_{ij} \sum_{v \in \pi^{-1}(i)} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{v}} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{y}) f(\boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{y} \end{aligned}$$

A weighted centroid condition



Algorithm Implementation

• Encoding of each measurement vector requires

1. Table look-ups (V) at PQ: $v^* := \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \{ \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{g}_v^* \|_2^2 \}$ using codebook $\mathfrak{G}^* = \{ \boldsymbol{g}_1^*, \dots, \boldsymbol{g}_V^* \}$

2. A simple reference at E: $i^* = \pi^*(v)$ using $\pi^* = \{\pi^*(1), \ldots, \pi^*(V)\}$

- Tolerable encoding complexity via adjusting the PQ rate
 - ➢ Higher PQ rate refines the approximation of measurement space → smaller end-to-end distortion
 - Lower PQ rate decreases the encoding complexity
- Decoding of each received index j requires
 - ➤ A simple reference $D(j) = c_j \in \mathfrak{C}$ using $\mathfrak{C}^* = \{c_1^*, \ldots, c_I^*\}$







VQ: CS-blind & Channel-blind COVQ: CS-blind & Channel-aware

COVQ-PQ-CS (Proposed): CS-aware & Channel-aware with controlled encoding complexity

COVQ-CS: CS-aware & channel-aware with "full" encoding complexity

© Centre for Wireless Communications, University of Oulu

UNIVERSITY of OULU



Average MSE distortion for varying values of crossover probability p_{ϵ} with R = 8 and $\sigma_w^2 = 0$ for N = 8, M = 6, and K = 2.

VQ: CS-blind & Channel-blind COVQ: CS-blind & Channel-aware

COVQ-PQ-CS (Proposed): CS-aware & Channel-aware with controlled encoding complexity

COVQ-CS: CS-aware & channel-aware with "full" encoding complexity

UNIVERSITY of OULU

FI

Conclusions

- We proposed a novel finite-rate communication method for efficient and robust acquisition of sparse sources over noisy channels with controlled encoding complexity
- The results illustrated that the sparse signal structure and the existence of channel noise, respectively, necessitates
 - ✤ CS-awareness
 - Channel-awareness



Thank You For Your Interest! Questions?

