# MULTIPLE SCATTERING EFFECTS ON THE LOCALIZATION OF TWO POINT SCATTERERS

## Gang Shi<sup>1</sup>, Arye Nehorai<sup>2</sup>, Hongwei Liu<sup>3</sup>, Bo Chen<sup>3</sup>, Yuehai Wang<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Integrated Services Networks, Xidian University <sup>2</sup>Green Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis <sup>3</sup>National Lab of Radar Signal Processing, Xidian University <sup>4</sup>Institute of Information and Communication Engineering, Zhejiang University

#### Introduction

- Multiple scattering is commonly ignored in sensing signal processing research because of small physical energy of the higher-order scattering components
- Multiple scattering in general can significantly increase the estimation precision of point scatterers [Shi and Nehorai, 2007]
- Under some scenarios, multiple scattering does not always lead to an improvement [Sentenac et al., 2007; Chen and Zhong, 2010]

 $\mathcal{I}_{\rm FL}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \Re \{ D_{\rm FL}^H D_{\rm FL} \}, \ D_{\rm FL} = A(T^{-1} - S)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_n^T \odot B - [A(T^{-1} - S)^{-1} \otimes A(T^{-1} - S)^{-1}] C + B \odot [A(T^{-1} - S)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_n^T]$  $\mathcal{I}_{\rm B}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \Re \{ D_{\rm B}^H D_{\rm B} \}, \ D_{\rm B} = AT \otimes \mathbf{1}_n^T \odot B + B \odot (AT \otimes \mathbf{1}_n^T)$ where  $B = [\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}_1), \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}_2)], \ \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}_m) = \partial \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_m) / \partial \boldsymbol{x}_m^T$  $C = [\boldsymbol{c}^T(\boldsymbol{x}_1), \boldsymbol{c}^T(\boldsymbol{x}_2)]^T, \ \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{x}_m) = \partial \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{x}_m) / \partial \boldsymbol{x}^T.$ 

 Identifying conditions under which multiple scattering is beneficial or detrimental to estimation is an open problem

#### **Problem Statement**

- Two point scatterers at unknown positions  $x_1$  and  $x_2$
- Known scattering coefficients  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  of the two scatterers
- N antennas at known positions  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_N$
- Known background Green function G



For homogeneous background in the three-dimensional space  $G(\boldsymbol{x}_m, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_j) = -e^{ikR_{m,j}}/4\pi R_{m,j}, \quad R_{m,j} = |\boldsymbol{x}_m - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_j|$  $G(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2) = -e^{ikR}/4\pi R, \quad R = |\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2|$ 

## <u>Answer</u>: The following gives a set of sufficient conditions under which multiple scattering is beneficial to the estimation of $x_1$ and $x_2$ .

- Far-field condition:  $R_{m,j} \gg 1$
- Far-field and monostatic condition:  $\overrightarrow{x_m lpha_j} pprox \overrightarrow{x_m lpha}$
- Well-separated condition:  $R \gg 1$
- Weak interaction condition:  $det((T^{-1} S)^{-1}) \approx \tau_1 \tau_2$
- Well-resolved condition:  $\boldsymbol{g}^{H}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1})\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) pprox 0$

In this case, the difference between the two Fisher information matrices  $\mathcal{I}_{\rm FL}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \mathcal{I}_{\rm B}(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \frac{4}{\sigma^2} k^2 |\tau_1|^2 |\tau_2|^2 |G(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2)|^2 ||\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_1)||_{\rm F}^2 ||\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_2)||_{\rm F}^2 (\boldsymbol{y}_1 - \boldsymbol{y}_2) (\boldsymbol{y}_1 - \boldsymbol{y}_2)^T$ is semi-positive definitive, where  $\boldsymbol{y}_1 = (\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}}^T, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}}^T)^T \text{ and } \boldsymbol{y}_2 = (\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2}^T, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1}^T)^T.$ 

## Numerical Example

Consider a two-dimensional multistatic setup with a uniform linear array located between (-5,0) and (5,0) with a spacing of 0.5 between adjacent elements (unit in wavelength). The Green function is a far-field approximation of the zero-order Hankel function of the first kind. The two scatterers are located on the line y = 40 and are symmetric about the y-axis.

# $\begin{array}{cccc} \Psi & \cdots & \Psi & \cdots & \Psi \\ & \alpha_1 & \alpha_j & \alpha_N \end{array}$ **Figure 1.** Illustration of the multistatic setup.

Multistatic model with multiple scattering (Foldy-Lax Model) can be formulated in the closed form as [Shi and Nehorai, 2005]

 $K_{\rm FL} = A(T^{-1} - S)^{-1}A^{T}$ where  $A = [g(x_{1}), g(x_{2})]$ ,  $T = \text{diag}\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\}$ ,  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & G(x_{1}, x_{2}) \\ G(x_{1}, x_{2}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $g(x_{1}) = [G(x_{1}, \alpha_{1}), G(x_{1}, \alpha_{2}), \dots, G(x_{1}, \alpha_{N})]^{T}$  $g(x_{2}) = [G(x_{2}, \alpha_{1}), G(x_{2}, \alpha_{2}), \dots, G(x_{2}, \alpha_{N})]^{T}$ .

Multistatic model without multiple scattering (Born approximation Model) is

 $K_{\rm B} = ATA^{\rm T} = \tau_1 \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) \boldsymbol{g}^{\rm T}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) + \tau_2 \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \boldsymbol{g}^{\rm T}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \ .$ 

# <u>Problem:</u> Under what conditions multiple scattering is beneficial or detrimental to the estimation of $x_1$ and $x_2$ ?

### **Fisher Information Comparison**

Assuming the noises are additive, independent, and identically distributed following a multivariate, complex, circularly symmetric Gaussian with variance  $\sigma^2$ , the Fisher information matrices with and without multiple scattering can be found as [Shi and Nehorai, 2007]



**Figure 2.** tr CRB<sub>B</sub>(x) / tr CRB<sub>FL</sub>(x) as a function of the distance between the two scatterers,  $T_1 = T_2 = 1$ .

**Figure 3.** tr CRB<sub>B</sub>(x) / tr CRB<sub>FL</sub>(x) as a function of the distance between the two scatterers,  $T_1 = 1$ ,  $T_2 = 10$ .

#### Conclusion

- We compared analytically the Fisher information matrices for estimating locations of two
  point scatterers when multiple scattering exists and does not exist
- Multiple scattering improves the estimation of directions of arrival when the two scatterers are in far-field and well resolved
- When natural multiple scattering is weak, an artificial scatterer can be introduced to





