Gwenaël Doërr

Technicolor R&D France Security & Content Protection Labs gwenael.doerr@technicolor.com





Security Laboratories

Technicolor at a Glance

Who We Are

Technicolor, a worldwide technology leader in the media and entertainment sector, is at the forefront of digital innovation.

Our world class research and innovation laboratories and our creative talent pool enable us to lead the market in delivering advanced services to content creators and distributors.

We also benefit from an extensive intellectual property portfolio focused on imaging and sound technologies, supporting our thriving licensing business.

Our Mission

Developing, creating and delivering immersive augmented digital life experiences that ignite our imagination.



Agenda

Piracy of Entertainment Content

Robust Watermarking

Flicker Forensics

Research Outlook

Questions and Answers



<u>Acknowledgements:</u> Séverine Baudry, Bertrand Chupeau, Antoine Robert, Mario de Vito, *Xavier Rolland-Nevière*, *Adi Hajj-Ahmad*, *Omar Alvarez*, *Cherif Ben Zid*



Piracy of Entertainment Content



Security Laboratories

The Challenging Transition to Digital

Key specificities of digital content

- Clones rather than copies i.e. no more generational degradation
- Assets can be tangible or intangible
- Ease of dissemination i.e. the world is at your doorstep

Apparition of a bestiary of pirates (Courtesy: Irdeto)



On the cost of piracy... CNBC's Crime Inc #10: Hollywood Robbery (August 2012)



Threat Analysis





In-Theater Camcording over the Years

Number of pirate samples over time (US movies only)





Time-to-Black-Market



Number of days elapsed between US theatrical release and piracy detection



Anti-Piracy Arsenal

Regulate

- WIPO 1996 (DMCA, EUCD, Hadopi, etc.)
- SOPA/PIPA

Inform / Educate

- FA©T anti-piracy information campaigns
- Hard-to-counterfeit security features
 - Intaglio, color-shifting inks, holograms, CDIs

Prevent

- Content encryption aka. CAS and DRM
- Anti rip
- Playback/record control

Interfere / Jam

- Anti-recording e.g. Macrovision
- Anti-camcording

Monitor / Scout

- Data loss prevention systems
- Content fingerprinting

Trace

- Digital watermarking
- Passive forensics





The Forensics Landscape











Digital Watermarking



Digital watermarking is a technique which imperceptibly alters digital content to hide a secret message in a robust manner. It is in some sense similar to invisible ink and paper watermarks.

- The watermark is inherently bound to the content
 - Cannot be removed without damaging content
- Survive format conversion e.g. close the analog hole
 - The hidden message can (a priori) be anything
 - Copyright information, rights, customer ID, traitor tracing code, etc
- It is an active process
- Watermarking ≠ visual overlay



Fidelity: perceptual impact of the watermark embedding process

Difficulty to accurately predict human perception

Robustness: ability to survive common signal processing primitives

• Filtering, lossy compression, resampling, valuemetric scaling, etc

Security: ability to withstand hostile attacks from malicious adversaries

Protocol attacks, statistical attacks

Embedding rate: amount of data which can be reliably transmitted through the watermarking channel

Computational complexity at embedder / at detector

The trade-off between these conflicting parameters needs to be adjusted depending on the targeted application.



Watermarking Applications

Copyright protection

- Copy/Playback protection
- Broadcast monitoring / Audience measurement

B2B

B2C

- Content serialization for traitor tracing
 - Pre-release content distribution
 - Digital cinema
 - Premium VoD content
 - Next generation video (4k/HDR)

Media enrichment

- Second screen applications
- Metadata binding







Traitor Tracing

Goal: identify the source of a leak

Strategy: serialize content using individual watermarks at distribution/presentation time or in transit

- On the fly, before distribution (e.g. for VOD)
- At presentation time (e.g. STB, BD player)
- At end-user home entry point (e.g. ISP gateway)

Payload: user identity, device identity, software version, [anti-collusion codes]





Generic Watermarking Framework



From the RAW Signal to the Compressed Bit Stream





Technicolor's Video Watermarking

Requirement: smooth integration in existing workflows

Avoid decompression/recompression at embedder

Solution: watermark process directly in the compressed bit-stream



Two steps embedding process

- Computationally intensive pre-processing
- Blitz-fast switch-based embedding

Semi-blind detection process

- Registration of the tested sample using content fingerprints
- Detection of the watermark signal using reference metadata



Two-steps Watermarking



Watermark embedding metadata

- Out of band transmission
- In-band transmission \Rightarrow 1% overhead in average



A Watermarked Frame





Fact Sheet

Watermark embedding in the compressed domain

- Watermarking while in transit i.e. without decompression / recompression
- Supported format: H.264 AVC CABAC (main and high profile) ~ BD+ standard
 - Extension to HEVC in progress

Two-step embedding procedure

- Computational cost shifted to a pre-processing step
 - Computationally intense operations are performed <u>only once</u>
 - Identify embedding locations in the bit-stream and corresponding alternate values
 - Embedding metadata is forwarded to the embedder through an auxiliary channel
- Embedding \approx byte-switching in the bit stream \Rightarrow blitz fast
 - Low-memory RAM and ROM footprint
 - Can operate on <u>encrypted</u> bit streams

Fingerprint-aided resynchronization process

Robustness to severe distortion e.g. camcording, screencast, crude compression, etc



Application Use Case: e-Screener



From TV-only to content everywhere

- Hard to secure all consumption devices
- Serialization watermarks to deter piracy

<u>Market</u>: dailies, screeners, premium content

Technical challenges

- Constrained computing resources incl. battery life ⇒ bit-stream watermarking
- HTTP adaptive streaming \Rightarrow watermark modulation disruption





Watermark Throughput Harmonization



Briddle the embedding rate in line with the critical path

Demo video: forensic investigation after HAS & camcord





Flicker Forensics







Piracy Path Analysis

Motivation: model distortion introduced during piracy

- Fine tune watermark (tracing) settings
- Avoid unnecessary watermark detection trials
- Compensate for distortion prior to detection
- Metadata for cross-referencing pirate samples

Luminance flicker with camcorder recapture of LCD screens

Interplay between the screen frequency and the camera rolling shutter







Flicker Illustrated

Tell-tale visual artifacts

- Periodic spatio-temporal luminance variation
- Bright/dark stripes rolling down the screen



Video 1: visible flicker

Video 2: less visible flicker



Flicker Model

Working assumptions

- Spatio-temporal misalignment has been compensated for
- Constant exposure (shutter speed)



Pirate Device Identification

Scenario: candidates devices are seized at the home of a suspect and the objective is to want to check whether the recovered pirate samples could have been produced using them

 $\omega_{\rm v}$ estimation procedure

- Video frames \approx 1D vector with row average luminance
- Temporal frequency analysis for one row $\Rightarrow \omega_t$
- Phase at this frequency is linear by segment
 - Slope estimation $\Rightarrow \omega_y$

Fallback estimation technique for corner cases

Camcord piracy identity

$$\frac{\omega_y H}{2\pi} = f_{\rm BL} T_{\rm ro}$$

Estimated using pirate video sample

Measured using suspect devices







Experimental Results

100

(O) (O)					
	Camcorders	JVC 50fps	Panasonic 50fps	Sony 25fps	Toshiba 29.97fps
Screens	T _{ro} (ms) f _{BL} (Hz)	13.5	16	15	32.65
Screen 1	240.06	(1, 1) 🗸	(4, 2) 🗙	(1,3) 🗸	(1, 4) 🗸
Screen 2	180.43	(2, 1) 🖌	(2, 2) 🗸	(2, 3) 🖌	(2, 4) 🖌
Screen 3	159.98	(3, 1) 🧹	(3, 2) 🧹	(2,1) 🗙	(3, 4) 🖌
Screen 4	120.00	(4, 1) 🧹	(5, 1) 🗙	(4, 3) 🧹	(4, 4) 🖌
Screen 5	146.61	(5, 1) 🗸	(7, 1) 🗙	(5, 3) 🗸	(5,4) 🗸
Screen 6	226.70	(6, 1) 🖌	(6, 2) 🖌	(6, 3) 🖌	(6, 4) 🖌
Screen 7	172.80	(7, 1) 🗸	(7, 2) 🧹	(7,3) 🖌	(7,4) 🗸

X Inaccuracies can result in classification errors, e.g. (3, 3) is mistaken for (2, 1) Expected LHS for (3, 3): 180.43 × 15 = 2436 Expected LHS for (2, 1): 240.06 × 13.5 = 2400

24/28 = 86% Identified correctly



Backlight Technology: CCFL vs. LED



LED backlight signal has more discontinuities than CCFL

Property inherited by the flicker signal present in the pirate video

Flicker shape estimation

- High pass filtering (using least varying frames) ⇒ flicker signal estimate
- Alignment of individual flicker signal estimates
- Aggregation to improve SNR

Shape of the flicker characterized by some sharpness features



Experimental Results



technicolor Security Laboratories

Flicker Removal for Watermark Detection









Security Laboratories

Research Outlook

Bad news: most low-hanging fruits have already been picked up

- Dealing with correlated samples & content-dependent transforms
- Perceptual models for stereo, HDR, UWG, HOA, ...
- Real multi-dimensional watermark modulation
- Explaining the discrepancy between theory and practice
- Registration mechanisms incl. non-blind
- Piracy path analysis

Beware of common pitfalls

- False sense of security by invoking crypto argument
- Inclination to fall in a cats and mouse loop
- Find a solution to a non-existing problem
- Overlooking the impact of security on performances
- Search for perfect security





Questions





Security Laboratories