

### NTRODUCTION

- We introduced a method for learning visualizations relevant to the user by multi-view latent variable factorization
- Assuming user interaction data, the goal of multi-view visualization is to identify which aspects in the primary data support the user's input and which aspects of the user's potentially noisy input have support in the primary data
- The proposed method exploits two sources (views) of information (primary data and the user interactions) to identify which aspects in the two views are related and which are specific to only one of them.

### APPROACH

 $D = [d]_{ij}$  and  $F = [f]_{ij}$  are two relational count data sets representing similarities between pairs of N samples,  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , from two different views (D for data view and F for user view). The two views, D and F, are modeled with distributions p and q, respectively.

$$p(D, F, \Theta) \propto \prod_{i=1, j>i}^{N} p_{i,j}^{\tilde{d}_{i,j}} \prod_{i', j' \in \mathcal{O}} q_{i',j'}^{\tilde{f}_{i',j'}}$$

### Learning Algorithm:

$$p_{i,j} \propto \exp(-||z_i - z_j||^2 - ||z_i^{(D)} - z_j^{(D)}||^2)$$
$$q_{i,j} \propto \exp(-||z_i - z_j||^2 - ||z_i^{(F)} - z_j^{(F)}||^2)$$

By defining  $y_i = [z_i, z_i^{(D)}, z_i^{(F)}]$ :

$$p_{i,j} \propto \exp(-(y_i - y_j)^T W^{(D)} W^{(D)^T} (y_i - y_j))$$

$$q_{i,j} \propto \exp(-(y_i - y_j)^T W^{(F)} W^{(F)^T} (y_i - y_j))$$

Locations on the dispaly,  $y_i$ , can be estimated by maximizing the following log-likelihood function:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda \sum_{i=1,j>i}^{N} \tilde{d}_{i,j} \log p_{i,j} + (1-\lambda) \sum_{i',j' \in \mathcal{O}} \tilde{f}_{i',j'} \log q_{i',j'}$$

## **GRAPHICAL MODEL**



# FINDING VISUALIZATIONS RELEVANT TO THE USER Seppo Virtanen<sup>† ‡</sup>, Homayun Afrabandpey <sup>‡</sup> and Samuel Kaski <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Statistics, University of Warwick <sup>‡</sup>Helsinki Institute for Information Technology HIIT, Department of Computer Science, Aalto University

Gray and white nodes depict observed and hidden variables, respectively. The  $\mathbf{Z}^D$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and  $\mathbf{Z}^F$  are matrices containing all primary-data-specific latent variables  $(\mathbf{z}_i^{\mathcal{D}})$ , shared latent variables  $(\mathbf{z}_i)$ , and userdata-specific latent variables  $(\mathbf{z}_i^{\mathcal{F}})$ , respectively. In more detail, the entry  $d_{ij}$  of D depends on the rows *i* and *j* (shared vectors  $z_i$  and  $z_j$ ) of **Z**, and the rows i and j of  $\mathbf{Z}^{D}$ ; the dependencies for  $f_{i,j}$  are analogous.

Assume a user is interested in grouping of data points. The auxiliary data contains similarity assessments, some of which have support in the primary data and some not.





Assume two users are interested in different aspects of same data and give different feedbacks (labellings). In our experiment, one user is interested in the age of some abalones and the other user is interested in the sex of the abalones.

CONCLUSION • We have presented a statistical principle to identify and visualize aspects of data relevant to the user by exploiting statistical relations found between the primary data, and user-provided auxiliary data • A main future goal is to use similar technique in interactive visualization where user interaction data will be measured all the time and visualization needs to react faster

### **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**



**Figure 1:** Visualizations obtained by different methods on RCV1 data set

# Multi-Labelling Case Study:







# **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Web www.research.cs.aalto.fi/pml

- **Email** s.virtanen@warwick.ac.uk
  - homayun.afrabandpey@aalto.fi samuel.kaski@aalto.fi
- Funding: Academy of Finland (Finnish Center of Excellence in Computational Inference COIN)

### REFERENCES



### **Quantitative Comparison**:

	Data Set		
	Scientific Articles	RCV1	Heart Disease
	60.66%	20.27%	52.15%
	62.56%	65.13%	42.21%
	33.18%	19.51%	29.7%
= 6	9.9%	2.56%	46.20%
= 8	0.47%	0.76%	22.11%
= 10	11.37%	6.85%	1.65%

**Table 1:** Generalization error of k-NN classifier with k = 5on low dimensional representations

Sex

Geoffrey E Hinton and Sam T Roweis. Stochastic neighbor embedding. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 833–840, 2002.

[2] Arto Klami, Seppo Virtanen, and Samuel Kaski. Bayesian canonical correlation analysis. The Journal of *Machine Learning Research*, 14(1):965–1003, 2013.