



Motivation and Problem Statement

- □ A limiting factor for capacity of a radio network is the amount of available radio resources.
- □ The application of UL MU-MIMO can considerably improve the utilization of radio resources.
- □ The conventional UL MU-MIMO detection algorithms cannot scale up with the system dimensions both in terms of performance and delay.
- The conventional UL MU-MIMO detection algorithms cannot be customized to individual requirements (i.e., channel quality, QoS, etc.) for scheduled users in the same RF blocks.
- □ In this work, two parallelizing access methods for UL MU-MIMO systems are developed. The multi-user interference is completely removed or efficiently suppressed by the proposed parallelizing process.

Proposed Parallel Access Methods for UL MU-MIMO

□ As depicted in Fig. 1, the signals from multiple users can be separated from each other and individual users can be detected in parallel as if the other users did not exist.



$$N_s = \sum_{k=1}^{K} r_k \qquad \qquad N_R$$

\mathbf{S}_k	transmitted k-th user's data signal	\mathbf{Q}_p	combined parallelin
\mathbf{H}_k	<i>k</i> -th user's channel matrix	$\mathbf{y}_{\textit{eff}_k}$	the effective receive
n	AWGN noise	$\mathbf{\hat{s}}_k$	estimated <i>k</i> -th user's
у	received signal	\mathbf{G}_k	<i>k</i> -th user's detection

Fig.1 The system structure of the proposed UL MU-MIMO parallel access scheme

Uplink Multi-User MIMO Detection via Parallel Access

1. Research and Development KK Innovation AB, Stockholm, Sweden, zukeke@gmail.com 2. Dept. of Elec.& Comp. Engr. University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada, zhujun@ece.ubc.ca

3. Communications Research Laboratory, Ilmenau University of Technology, Germany, martin.haardt@tu-ilmenau.de

Derivation of the Parallel Access Techniques

ZF Decoupling

We calculate the pseudo-inverse of the combined channel matrix **H** as

$$\boldsymbol{H}^{+} = (\boldsymbol{H}^{H}\boldsymbol{H})^{-1}\boldsymbol{H}^{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{H}_{1}^{+} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{k}^{+} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{K}^{+} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where the quantity H_k^+ is the k-th sub-matrix of H^+ . Given that $H^+H = I_{N_S}$, we have,

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k}^{+}\boldsymbol{H}_{k} = \boldsymbol{I}_{r_{k}}, \ \boldsymbol{H}_{k}^{+}\boldsymbol{H}_{j} = \boldsymbol{0} \ \forall j \neq k.$$
(13)

Next, we perform the LQ decomposition of H_k^+ as

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k}^{+} = \boldsymbol{L}_{k} \boldsymbol{Q}_{k}, \qquad (14)$$

where the quantity $L_k \in \mathbb{C}^{r_k \times r_k}$ is a lower triangular matrix and $Q_k \in \mathbb{C}^{r_k \times N_R}$ has unitary rows. Substituting (14) into (13), since L_k is invertible, we have

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_k \boldsymbol{H}_j = \boldsymbol{0} \; \forall j \neq k. \tag{15}$$

Simulation Results

- transmitting 4 data streams.
- of 10⁻³, respectively.
- **The proposed MD-P-MMSE can scale up with the system dimensions both in terms of performance and delay.**



ig matrix

ved *k*-th signal

's data signal

n matrix

Keke Zu¹, Jun Zhu², and Martin Haardt³

MMSE Decoupling

The regularized inversion of the combined channel matrix

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{mmse}}^{+} = (\boldsymbol{H}^{H}\boldsymbol{H} + \alpha \boldsymbol{I}_{N_{s}})^{-1}\boldsymbol{H}^{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{H}_{(\text{mmse},1)}^{+} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{(\text{mmse},k)}^{+} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{(\text{mmse},K)}^{+} \end{bmatrix},$$

(19)

where the parameter α is the regularization factor and the quantity $H^+_{(\text{mmse},k)} \in \mathbb{C}^{r_k \times N_R}$ is the k-th sub-matrix of $H_{\rm mmse}^+$. Similar to the ZF decoupling, we have the following relationship

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{(\text{mmse},k)}^{+}\boldsymbol{H}_{k} \approx \boldsymbol{I}_{r_{k}}, \ \boldsymbol{H}_{(\text{mmse},k)}^{+}\boldsymbol{H}_{j} \approx \boldsymbol{0} \ \forall j \neq k, \quad (20)$$

We perform the LQ decomposition of $H^+_{(mmse,k)}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{(\mathrm{mmse},k)}^{+} = \boldsymbol{L}_{(\mathrm{mmse},k)} \boldsymbol{Q}_{(\mathrm{mmse},k)}, \qquad (21)$$

where the quantity $L_{(mmse,k)} \in \mathbb{C}^{r_k \times r_k}$ is a lower triangular matrix and $Q_{(mmse,k)} \in \mathbb{C}^{r_k \times N_R}$ has unitary rows. Since $L_{(\text{mmse},k)}$ is invertible, we have

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_{(\text{mmse},k)}\boldsymbol{H}_{j}\approx\boldsymbol{0}\;\forall j\neq k.$$
(22)

□ As shown in Fig. 2, the system dimensions are increased to involve up to 60 receiving antennas and 15 users, each user

□ The proposed MD-P-MMSE can achieve 5.2 dB and 1.5 dB gains over the conventional MMSE and MMSE-SIC at a BER

Fig.2 Comparision of the MMSE decoupling proposed parallel MMSE (MD-P-MMSE) with MMSE and MMSE-SIC.

- proposed methods very attractive solutions.





- □ The proposed parallel access methods provide extra flexibility to UL MU-MIMO detection. \Rightarrow The received signals from multiple uplink users are decoupled.
- \Rightarrow Therefore, a customized detection strategy can be implemented per user.
- detection delay.





□ For the system configuration (400, 200, 2) shown in Fig. 3, we apply matched filtering (MF) detection to each individual user after the proposed MMSE decoupling process.

□ The BER performance of the proposed MMSE decoupling with parallel MF (MD-P-MF) is even better than that of the MMSE-SIC in the medium-low SNR region with less delay.

□ As shown by Fig. 4, the increased complexity is reasonable with low to medium system dimensions, which makes the

□ The utilization of radio resources can be improved by allowing multiple UL users to access the same radio resource

 \Rightarrow Thus, the throughput of a radio network can be improved.

The proposed detection methods can scale up with the system dimensions both in terms of the bit error rate (BER) and the