Cleaning Adversarial Perturbations Via Residual Generative Network For Face Verification



Yuying Su, Guangling Sun, Weiqi Fan, Xiaofeng Lu and Zhi Liu School of Communication and Information Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China



Introduction



Recent researches show that Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) are vulnerable to adversarial perturbations injected into input samples. We investigated a defense method for **face verification**: a deep Residual Generative Network (ResGN) is learned to clean adversarial perturbations. The proposed novel training framework is composed of ResGN, pre-trained VGG-Face network and FaceNet network.

The parameters of ResGN are optimized by minimizing a joint loss consisting of a pixel loss, a texture loss and a verification loss, in which they measure content errors, subjective visual perception errors and verification task errors between cleaned image and legitimate image respectively. Specially, the latter two are provided by VGG-Face and FaceNet respectively and have essential contributions for improving verification performance of cleaned image.

Attack

Given pairs of facial images labeled 'same person' or 'not same person', a face verification system will determine whether the pair of facial images is 'same person' or 'not same person'.

Fig.1: The proposed training framework for ResGN. Corresponding number of feature maps (n), kernel size (k) and stride (s) are marked for each convolutional layer in (a).

- •The parameters of ResGN are optimized by minimizing a joint loss.
- The joint loss \mathcal{L}_{joint} consists of a pixel loss, a texture loss and a verification loss and is the weighted summation of them.

$$\mathcal{L}_{joint} = \alpha * \mathcal{L}_{pixel} + \beta * \mathcal{L}_{texture} + \gamma * \mathcal{L}_{verification}.$$
(1)

• Given an adversarial image, ResGN will output the cleaned image, the pixel loss \mathcal{L}_{pixel} is calculated using the cleaned image I_L and corresponding legitimate image I_C .

$$\mathcal{L}_{pixel} = \frac{1}{N_p} \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} (\mathbf{C}_j^{I_C} - \mathbf{C}_j^{I_L})^2, \qquad (2)$$

where N_p is the total number of pixels in each image. $C_i^{I_c}$ and $C_j^{I_L}$ denote the color of *j*th

Obviously, adversarial facial images can confuse the verification system to output an incorrect verification result.

Dodging and **impersonation** are two types of attacks: given an input facial image, dodging intends to make it identified as any one different from its genuine identity; impersonation intends to make it identified as a specified identity.

Results

Dataset: Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW)

Table 1: The verification accuracy of original input examples and cleaned examples using the ResGN optimized with seven losses and Randomization.

Type Loss	Dodging	Impersonation	Legitimate	Cleaned image	Reference image	Adversarial image	Cleaned image	Reference image	Adversarial image
pixel	99.16	99.68	90.52			Re-mailer and			
texture	99.06	99.67	97.91	lee!	0.62	2.33	1.2	89).81
verification	88.36	95.31	98.31				VIII I) ex	1
pixel+ texture	99.25	99.82	97.86		0.50	2.59	1.4	48	0.85
pixel+ verification	99.26	99.83	98.70		0.69	2.64	1.	50	0.62
texture+ verification	95.99	97.57	98.83		0.89	2.50	2.	04).78
joint	99.45	99.92	99.18					ē	SEL.
Randomization	65.28	61.81	97.65		(a) Dodging		((b) Impersonation	n
Original input Example	0.84	43.21	100	Fig.2: Adversarial examples of perturbation successfully cleaned. The value between two images is a Euclidean distance computed with FaceNet network.					

pixel in RGB space corresponding to I_C and I_L respectively.

• Similarly, the two images are both fed into VGG-Face and FaceNet network to calculate the texture loss $\mathcal{L}_{texture}$ and the verification loss $\mathcal{L}_{verification}$ respectively.

$$\mathcal{L}_{texture} = \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{j=1}^{N_t} (\mathcal{F}_j^{I_c} - \mathcal{F}_j^{I_L})^2 , \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{I_C} \in \mathcal{R}^{N_t}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{I_L} \in \mathcal{R}^{N_t}$ denote the feature maps of I_C and I_L , which are available from the second fully connected layer of VGG-Face. N_t is the dimension of the feature map and equals to the node number of the second fully connected layer.

$$\mathcal{L}_{verification} = -\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} p_i \cdot \log(q_i) \tag{4}$$

The K is the number of category. p denotes the actual label of pair of faces and qrepresents the output probability between legitimate image and cleaned image.



Conclusion

• The proposed ResGN has a flexible adaptivity in that ResGN can incorporate with any pretrained network applied to other face analysis task, such as face identification and facial attribute classification.

• In future, we will learn ResGN from more advanced adversarial examples and combine ResGN with other defensive technique to enhance security of image recognition system.

The link where you could search for this paper on IEEE Xplore is <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8683327</u>. If you want to know more information, you could contact us. Email: <u>sunguangling@shu.edu.cn</u>, <u>suyuyingshu@shu.edu.cn</u>.