

# Introduction

- We present an approach for visual speech animation that uses tracked lip motion in frontview 2D videos of a real speaker to drive the lip motion of a synthetic 3D head.
- This makes use of a 3D morphable model (3DMM), built using 3D synthetic head poses, with corresponding landmarks identified in the 2D videos and the 3DMM.
- The experiments address two main questions:
- Q1. Would using different intensities of the same viseme shape, when constructing the 3DMM produce better animation results?
- Q2. Would using both front- and side-view photographs, rather than just a front-view photograph, in the construction of the initial 3D head pose produce better animation results?
- We use ground-truth data (the front-view videos of a speaker [1]) to compare the final synthetic 3D animation results against.

# Method

# 3D Morphable Model (3DMM)

- FaceGen software is used to produce synthetic head poses.
- Principal Component Analysis (PCA) can be applied to the vertices to generate a 3DMM.
- A new pose can be generated as follows:

$$S = \overline{F} + \sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_i \sigma_i v_i$$

where  $K \leq n - 1$  is the number of principal components and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^K$  is the shape coefficient.

### Mapping 2D to 3D

- Mapping 2D video of a speaker to the 3DMM uses Huber et al's method [2].
- Facial features of a real speaker in a video are tracked using the random cascaded-regression copse (R-CR-C) approach [3].
- Given 51 2D landmarks and the corresponding 3D landmarks (figure 1) a pose of the face is estimated using the Gold Standard Algorithm [2].





**Figure 1:** The facial landmark points.

PCA shape coefficients,  $\alpha$ , is found by minimising the following cost function:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{3L} \frac{(y_{3D,i} - y_{2D,i})^2}{2\sigma_{2D}^2} + \|\alpha\|_2^2$$

where *N* is the number of landmarks, *y* is the 2D landmarks represented in homogeneous coordinates,  $\sigma_{2D}^2$  is an ad hoc variance of these landmarks, and  $y_{m2D,i}$  is the projected 3D landmarks to  $\overline{a 2D}$  plane using the camera matrix.

# **Contact Details**

Web http://staffwww.dcs.shef.ac.uk/people/R.Algadhy/ **Email** rralkasah1@sheffield.ac.uk

# 3D VISUAL SPEECH ANIMATION USING 2D VIDEOS

Rabab Algadhy, Yoshihiko Gotoh, Steve Maddock Department of Computer Science, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

### **Experiments and Results**

#### Data sets

• Four data sets were used to build different 3DMMs for a speaker - see Table 1.

| Number of poses | Front-view |  |  |  |
|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|
| 17 poses        | Data set 1 |  |  |  |
| 161 poses       | Data set 2 |  |  |  |

Table 1: Four data sets that were used to build different 3DMMs for a speaker.



Figure 2: (a): Front (left) and side (right) photographs of a real speaker (ID: S32); (b and c): front and side view of the corresponding 3D heads generated using front photograph only (b), and front and side photographs (c). The lips are more protruded in (c).

#### Evaluation

- Videos of four female speakers (IDs: S15, S17, S24 and S32) and two male speakers (IDs: S20 and S48) from the Audiovisual Lombard Grid Speech corpus [1] were used for validation.
- For the comparison, Faceware Analyser was used to track the facial features in the groundtruth 2D video and the front-view (2D) of the corresponding 3D animation.
- Two geometric articulatory measurements were calculated from the extracted facial features (width and height of the mouth).
- Given the measurements values, the root mean square error (RMSE) over a sentence was used to evaluate the effectiveness of each 3DMM.



Real speaker

Data set 1

Data set 2

**Figure 3:** Consecutive frames of the phoneme /w/ during utterance of the letter y for a real speaker (ID: S17) and the corresponding 3D head for each data set.

(1)



(2)



- Front- &
- side-views
- Data set 3
- Data set 4



Data set 3

Data set 4

### **Results and discussion**

- (p=0.0967)

|     | Front photo |       |           | Front & side photo |          |       |           |       |
|-----|-------------|-------|-----------|--------------------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| ID  | 17 poses    |       | 161 poses |                    | 17 poses |       | 161 poses |       |
|     | W           | Η     | W         | Η                  | W        | Η     | W         | Η     |
| S15 | 0.152       | 0.120 | 0.154     | 0.117              | 0.129    | 0.102 | 0.131     | 0.087 |
| S17 | 0.121       | 0.137 | 0.115     | 0.128              | 0.120    | 0.109 | 0.092     | 0.095 |
| S20 | 0.239       | 0.166 | 0.247     | 0.158              | 0.229    | 0.156 | 0.244     | 0.155 |
| S24 | 0.287       | 0.141 | 0.223     | 0.151              | 0.260    | 0.142 | 0.219     | 0.123 |
| S32 | 0.117       | 0.067 | 0.115     | 0.075              | 0.210    | 0.067 | 0.111     | 0.056 |
| S48 | 0.199       | 0.086 | 0.175     | 0.080              | 0.203    | 0.075 | 0.149     | 0.071 |

Table 2: The RMS error averaged over 4 sentences for width (W) and height (H) of the mouth of the real speakers and their corresponding 3D heads. Values in bold means decreased RMS error. Width and height error= $\pm 0.001$ .

to the ground truth trajectory, as shown in figure 4.



# Conclusions

- used to train the 3DMM is increased.
- neutral pose 3D head.
- Future work: evaluation of lip motion from the side-view.

#### References

- vol. 143, no. 6, pp. EL523–EL529, 2018.
- *Processing Letters*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 76–80, 2015.

• The performance of the animated 3D lips improves when a larger number of 3D head poses are used to train the 3DMM, and further improves when front- and side-view photos (figure 2) are used to generate the initial neutral head pose in FaceGen, as shown in figure 3.

• For the 3D heads that contain 161 poses, a t-test suggests a significant difference in RMSE results for the 3D heads that use front- and side-view photos versus front-view photos only (p=0.0292 for width and p=0.0009 for height). Also, there is a significant difference for height between the 3D heads containing 161 poses and 17 poses that are generated using frontand side-view photos (p=0.0135), although there is no significant difference for the width

• Whilst all the trajectories generated using the animation pipeline generally follow the real speaker's trajectory, the trajectories of the 3D heads generated using data set 4 are much closer

**Figure 4:** Width and height of mouth trajectories of 2D frames of the real speaker (ID:S17) and the corresponding 3D heads whilst uttering the sentence "place green in y zero again".

• The performance of the 3D lip motions is improved when the number of 3D head poses

• It is also improved when a front- and side-view photo is used in the construction of the

[1] Alghamdi, Maddock, Marxer, Barker, and Brown, "A corpus of audio-visual lombard speech with frontal and profile views," JASA,

[2] Huber, Hu, Tena, Mortazavian, Koppen, Christmas, Rätsch, and Kittler, "A multiresolution 3d morphable face model and fitting framework," in Proc. 11th International Conf. on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications, 2016. [3] Feng, and Kittler Huber, Christmas, and Wu, "Random cascaded-regression copse for robust facial landmark detection," *IEEE Signal*