

# Adversarial bandit for online interactive active learning of zero-shot spoken language understanding



# Highlights

- SoA Recent speech understanding systems rely on machine learning algorithms to train their models from large amount of data. Remaining difficulties: cost and time of data annotating and model porting to new tasks and languages
- Novelty Zero-shot Semantic Parser, ZS learning method and semantic finite-state parser: combines an ontological description of the target domain and generic word embedding space for generalization
- Current work Online adaptive process: refines initial model with policy learnt using an Adversarial Bandit algorithm

## Zero-Shot Semantic Parser

#### Semantic Features Space (F) based on word-embedding

- Continuous representation of word learnt with neural network
   Sum operator used for word chunks
- Defines a metric space for generalization
- Seed Semantic Knowledge (K)
- Domain-specific assignment table: task database + ontology of the domain
- Additional (reduced) dialogic knowledge

#### SLU Parsing

- K-NN classifier employed to attribute semantic hypotheses to every possible chunk of a test transcription
- Shortest-path estimated on the resulting chunks/semantic hypotheses graph



# Experimental Study on DSTC2

Ontology: 16 dialogue act types, 8 slots and 215 values
Evaluation: F-score performance on the test set (9890 user utterances)
Online Adaptation: simulated from up to 740 transcribed training utterances

**FORMATIQU** 



### **Online Interactive Refinement Problem**

#### Action space

- 1. Skip: skip the refinement process.
- 2. YesNoQuestions: refine the model by considering yes/no user responses about the correctness of the detected DAs in the best semantic hypothesis.
- 3. AskAnnotation: ask the user to annotate the incoming utterance.
- Loss function

$$l(i) := \underbrace{\gamma d'(i)}_{\text{system improvement}} + \underbrace{(1 - \gamma) \frac{\phi(i)}{\phi_{max}}}_{\text{user effort}}$$

Extension to mixed strategies

$$\min_{\mathbf{p}\in\Delta(3)} E[l] = \sum_{i} p(i)l(i)$$





Adversarial Bandit environment

• System receives a user utterance and computes  $d_t$ ;

- System chooses an action  $i_t$ , possibly with the help of external randomization;
- Once action  $i_t$  is performed, the system computes:
- $\rightarrow$  Inefficiency measure  $d'_t(i_t)$  with the collaboration of the user;
- $\rightarrow$  User effort  $\phi_t(i_t)$ , which is the exchange count between the system and the user to compute  $i_t$ ;
- $\rightarrow$  Current loss is finally

 $l_t(i_t) = \gamma d'_t(i_t) + (1 - \gamma)\phi_t(i_t).$ 

**Goal:** Find  $i_1, i_2, \ldots$ , such that for each *T*, the system minimizes the total loss:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} l_t(i_t) = \gamma \sum_{t=1}^{T} d'_t(i_t) + (1-\gamma) \sum_{t=1}^{T} \phi_t(i_t)$$

**Solution:** Randomized forecaster Exp3

### CONCLUSIONS

- Adversarial Bandit approach (and the use of the randomized forecaster Exp3) refines a zero-shot learning SLU, ZSSP
  → alleviate limited coverage of the domain specific semantics
  Efficient and practical way to formalise a trade-off between user supervision effort and system efficiency improvement
  Ongoing work:
  → integration in a live dialogue system with seed expert users
- → integration in a live dialogue system with second and user satisfaction)
   → study effect over overall dialogue progress (task completion and user satisfaction)
   → relation with the dialogue manager strategy learning