Motion Artefact Removal in Functional Near-InfraRed Spectroscopy **Signals based on Robust Estimation**

Introduction

- Functional Near Infrared Spectroscopy (fNIRS) is a noninvasive modality for monitoring functional brain activities
- Motion artefacts will lead to sudden changes in the measured light intensities (and in fNIRS HbO/HbR signals)
- We propose a motion artefact removal algorithm based on robust estimation
- Results show the proposed algorithm can successfully remove or reduce motion-related artefacts under different interference conditions

fNIRS Signal Model (a) Physiological fNIRS Signal (HbO) (b) Motion Artefacts (Type 1 and Type 2) (c) Motion-contaminated fNIRS Signal

Figure 1. fNIRS Signal Model

Motion artefacts: 1) spike-shaped (short-term motion artefacts) artefacts; 2) square-shaped artefacts (long-term motion artefacts)

fNIRS signal = physiological response + artefact (motion artefact & noise)

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}, \quad \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

Bases representation

Using a linear model, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{e}$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ represents unknown parameters.

Reduced bases representation, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{B}_r \, \boldsymbol{\theta}_r + \mathbf{e}$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_r \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and \mathbf{B}_r represent a selection of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and **B**.

Difference-Based Estimation (DBE)

To remove long-term artefacts, first-order differences of $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{B}_r, \mathbf{e} (\tilde{\mathbf{y}}, \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r, \tilde{\mathbf{e}})$ are used to estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}_r$. $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r \, \boldsymbol{\theta}_r + \tilde{\mathbf{e}} \, [1]$

Traditional Least-Squares (LS) Estimation

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{r-LS}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$$

The limitation of LS estimation: not robust to outliers **Motion artefacts:** $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}$ is represented as positive and negative spikes, which yields outliers in $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$. The LS solution is no longer appropriate in estimating parameters.

Background

Mengmeng Wang

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Australia

Robust Estimation

Starting with a robust estimate $\hat{\theta}_{\alpha}$ by solving

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\alpha} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{ar$$

where ρ_{α} is robust loss function, $\rho_{\alpha}(v) = \alpha^{-1} \{1 - \exp(-\alpha v^2/2)\}, \ \alpha > 0.$ Weighted LS estimating equation is obtained by differentiating the objective

 $0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{B}; \alpha) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left(\frac{\tilde{y}_i}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)$

where the weights are given by

 $w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{B}; \alpha) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_i}{2}\right)\right\}$

For $\alpha = 0$, uniform weights $w_1 = \cdots = w_{N-1} = 1$ correspond to LS estimator. For $\alpha > 0$, an observation \tilde{y}_i far from the mean $\mathbf{b}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ receives relatively low weight. Outliers are automatically downweighted. \mathbf{b}_i is the i-th row of $\mathbf{B_r}, \, \hat{\sigma}^2 = 1.$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\alpha} = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{r}^{\top}\mathbf{W}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{r})^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{r}^{\top}$$

where the weighted matrix W is the diagonal matrix with w_i being the diagonal entries. $\hat{\theta}_{\alpha}$ can be calculated using a iterative procedure.

Algorithm Overview

Inp	ut: y, \mathbf{B}_{dct} , r, α
1:	$oldsymbol{ heta}_{dct} = \mathbf{B}_{dct} \; \mathbf{y}$
2:	for n = 1 to r do
3:	Find $\mathbf{B}_{r.n}$
4:	end for
5:	for i = 1 to N-1 do
6:	$\tilde{y}_i = y(i+1) - y(i)$
7:	$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{r_{i\cdot}} = \mathbf{B}_{r_{(i+1)\cdot}} - \mathbf{B}_{r_{i\cdot}}$
8:	end for
9:	LS Solution $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{LS}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$
10:	Define the i-th row of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_r$: $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{r_i} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i$;
11:	Starting with: $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{lpha} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{LS}}$
12:	for t = 1 to T Iterations do
13:	$w_{i,t} = \exp(-\alpha(\tilde{y}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha})^2)$
14:	$\mathbf{W}_t = diag(w_{i,t})$
15:	Update $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\alpha} = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{r}^{\top}\mathbf{W}_{t}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{r})^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{r}^{\top}\mathbf{W}_{t}\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$
16:	end for
17:	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\boldsymbol{ heta}_{lpha}} = \mathbf{B}_r \hat{\boldsymbol{ heta}}_{lpha}$
Ou	tput: $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\alpha}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha}}$

ldivida had gen la an a gen	www.www.	w al alawayaki	per le
lanula, kalendar an	withing Instructionality	waaladavaayaala	<u>M</u>
I			

Abd-Krim Seghouane

$\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \rho_{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{y}_i - \mathbf{b}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta}}{\sigma} \right\}$

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)^2$$

$$\left. - \mathbf{b}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\theta} \over \sigma \right)^2 \bigg\}$$

$\mathbf{W}\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$

Artefact Removal Results



Figure 2. Example results. Top: Experimental data (\mathbf{x}) and experimental data with motion artefacts (\mathbf{y}). Middle: Experimental data (x) and the estimated signal from the proposed algorithm ($\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha}}$). Bottom: Experimental data (\mathbf{x}) and the estimated signal from the Transient Artifact Reduction Algorithm (TARA) and TARA (Non-Convex) [2].

Robustness Results



Figure 3. Mean and standard deviation of mean squared errors (MSEs) for TARA, TARA (Non-Convex) and the proposed algorithm using experimental data. The bar chart represent the mean values of MSEs, the error bars along each bars represent the standard deviation of MSEs.

Different Interference Conditions

The MSE results of the proposed algorithm under different conditions of signal-to-inference ratio (SIR). SIR = $10 \log(P_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}/P_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})$.

		SIR=20 dB	SIR=18 dB	SIR=16 dB	SIR=14 dB	SIR=10 dB
TARA	Mean	0.0041	0.0114	0.0209	0.0499	0.1539
	Deviation	0.0040	0.0127	0.0150	0.0420	0.0917
TARA	Mean	0.0048	0.0119	0.0155	0.0440	0.1198
(Non-Convex)	Deviation	0.0060	0.0192	0.0159	0.0421	0.0999
Proposed	Mean	0.0031	0.0034	0.0037	0.0043	0.0058
	Deviation	0.0001	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	0.0006

References



Results and Discussion

[1] A. Shah and A. K. Seghouane, "An integrated framework for joint HRF and drift estimation and HbO/HbR signal [2] I. W. Selesnick, H. L. Graber, Y. Ding, T. Zhang, and R. L. Barbour, "Transient Artifact Reduction Algorithm (TARA) Based on

improvement in fNIRS data," IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 33, pp. 2086–2097, 2014.

Sparse Optimization," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 62, pp. 6596–6611, Dec. 2014.