### Secure MIMO Interference Channel with Confidential Messages and Delayed CSIT

Zhang Tong, Prof. P.C. Ching Department of Electronic Engineering The Chinese University of Hong Kong

> ICASSP 2019, Brighton UK May 16, 2019

Preliminary

Related Works & Contributions

The Proposed Scheme

Conclusion & Future Work

References

### Preliminary

Related Works & Contributions

The Proposed Scheme

Conclusion & Future Work

References

#### Preliminary

# MIMO Interference Channel with Delayed Channel State Information at Transmitter (CSIT)



▶ Each transmitter has *M* antennas and each receiver has *N* antennas.

- ► The CSI matrices from the transmitter i, i = 1, 2 to the receiver j, j = 1, 2 at time slot t is denoted by H<sub>i,j</sub>[t].
- ► The collection of delayed CSI matrices, i.e., H<sup>t-1</sup><sub>i,j</sub>, i, j = 1, 2, is fed back to all transmitters at time slot t.

Preliminary

### Secure Degrees-of-Freedom (SDoF)

- Transmitter 1 sends confidential message W<sub>1</sub> to receiver 1 without information leakage to receiver 2.
- ► Transmitter 2 sends confidential message W<sub>2</sub> to receiver 2 without information leakage to receiver 1.
- SDoF is a first-order approximation of secure channel capacity.
- Mathematically, the sum-SDoF is defined as follows:

$$\mathsf{Sum-SDoF} = \sup \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |W_1| + \log |W_2|}{n \log(\mathsf{SNR})}$$
(1)

where n denotes the number of channel uses.

Physically, the sum-SDoF represents the maximal number of secure independent channels that a network can support.

#### Preliminary

Preliminary

Related Works & Contributions

The Proposed Scheme

Conclusion & Future Work

References

Related Works & Contributions

### **Related Works & The Problem**

- With perfect CSIT, sum-SDoF of MIMO interference channel has been obtained by [Banawan and Ulukus, 2015, Banawan and Ulukus, 2019].
- With delayed CSIT
  - SDoF region of two-user MIMO broadcast channel [Yang et al., 2013].
  - SDoF region of K-user MISO broadcast channel [Yang and Kobayashi, 2015].
  - Sum-SDoF of multi-user wiretap channel [Awan et al., 2016, Tandon et al., 2014, Yang and Kobayashi, 2015]
  - Sum-SDoF of  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  SISO interference channel [Wang et al., 2014]
- Problem: For MIMO interference channel with delayed CSIT, the sum-SDoF has not been thoroughly studied.

### Contributions

For the first time, an achievable sum-SDoF was derived in this paper, which is a lower bound of sum-SDoF and given by

$$\mathsf{Sum-SDoF} \ge \begin{cases} 0, & M/N \le 1\\ \frac{2MN(M-N)}{M^2 + N^2}, & 1 < M/N \le 2\\ \frac{4N/5, & 2 < M/N \end{cases}$$
(2)

 The proposed achievable sum-SDoF can be 20% greater than that of MIMO wiretap channel with delayed CSIT.



Related Works & Contributions

Preliminary

Related Works & Contributions

The Proposed Scheme

Conclusion & Future Work

References

### Sketch of The Proposed Design

- ► If M ≤ N, we claim that the achievable sum-SDoF is 0. Because, the artificial noise sent by the single transmitter can be immediately decoded, thus the security cannot be guaranteed.
- ▶ If *N* < *M*, we then design a multi-phase transmission scheme, which will be introduced later on. The sketch is given by



Definition 1: We define the effective CSI matrices from Phase-I to Phase-V as follows:

$$\begin{split} & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{I}} \triangleq \mathsf{blkdiag}\{\mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{I}}(1), \cdots, \mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{I}}(\tau_{1})\} \\ & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{II}} \triangleq \mathsf{blkdiag}\{\mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{II}}(\tau_{1}+1), \cdots, \mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{II}}(2\tau_{1})\} \\ & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{III}} \triangleq \mathsf{blkdiag}\{\mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{III}}(2\tau_{1}+1), \cdots, \mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{III}}(2\tau_{1}+\tau_{2})\} \\ & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{IV}} \triangleq \mathsf{blkdiag}\{\mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{IV}}(2\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}+1), \cdots, \mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{IV}}(2\tau_{1}+2\tau_{2})\} \\ & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{V}} \triangleq \mathsf{blkdiag}\{\mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{V}}(2\tau_{1}+2\tau_{2}+1), \cdots, \mathbf{H}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{V}}(2\tau_{1}+2\tau_{2}+\tau_{3})\} \end{split}$$

where i, j = 1, 2.

► **Definition 2**: We also set the full-rank randomized matrices, which are pre-stored at all transmitters and receivers, as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Phi}_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{\min\{M,2N\}\tau_2 \times N\tau_1}, & \mathbf{\Phi}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{\min\{M,2N\}\tau_2 \times N\tau_1}\\ \mathbf{B}_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{N\tau_3 \times N\tau_2}, & \mathbf{B}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N\tau_3 \times N\tau_2} \end{split}$$

Phase-I (Artificial Noise Transmission from Transmitter 1): Transmitter 1 sends artificial noise u<sub>1</sub> ∈ C<sup>min{M,2N}τ<sub>1</sub></sup>, while transmitter 2 keeps silent. The received signals are given by

$$\mathbf{y}_1^l = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,1}^l \mathbf{u}_1$$
(3a)  
$$\mathbf{y}_2^l = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,2}^l \mathbf{u}_1$$
(3b)

Phase-I (Artificial Noise Transmission from Transmitter 2): Transmitter 2 sends artificial noise u<sub>2</sub> ∈ C<sup>min{M,2N}τ<sub>2</sub></sup>, while transmitter 1 keeps silent. The received signals are given by

$$\mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{H}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,1}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{u}_{2} \tag{4a}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_2^{\mathsf{II}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,2}^{\mathsf{II}} \mathbf{u}_2 \tag{4b}$$

 Phase-III (Secure Data Transmission for Receiver 1): The secure transmit signal at transmitter 1 is designed as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\mathsf{III}} = \mathbf{s}_{1} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{I}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\min\{M, 2N\}\tau_{2}}$$
(5)

At the same time, transmitter 2 keeps silent. The received signals:

$$\mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{III}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,1}^{\mathsf{III}} \left( \mathbf{s}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{I}} \right) \tag{6a}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{2}^{\mathsf{III}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,2}^{\mathsf{III}} \left( \mathbf{s}_{1} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{I}} \right) \tag{6b}$$

 Phase-IV (Secure Data Transmission for Receiver 2): The secure transmit signal at transmitter 2 is designed as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_{2}^{\mathsf{IV}} = \mathbf{s}_{2} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{2} \mathbf{y}_{2}^{\mathsf{II}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\min\{M, 2N\}\tau_{3}}$$
(7)

At the same time, transmitter 1 keeps silent. The received signals:

$$\mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{IV}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,1}^{\mathsf{IV}} \left( \mathbf{s}_{2} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2} \mathbf{y}_{2}^{\mathsf{II}} \right)$$
(8a)

$$\mathbf{y}_{2}^{\mathsf{IV}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,2}^{\mathsf{IV}} \left( \mathbf{s}_{2} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{2} \mathbf{y}_{2}^{\mathsf{II}} \right)$$
(8b)

 Phase-V (Interference Recurrence for Equation Switching): The transmit signals are designed to facilitate the switch of equations in Phases-III and Phase-IV, which are given by

$$\mathbf{x}_1^{\mathsf{V}} = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{y}_2^{\mathsf{III}} \tag{9a}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_2^{\mathsf{V}} = \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{y}_1^{\mathsf{IV}} \tag{9b}$$

Therefore, the received signals are given by

$$\mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{V}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,1}^{\mathsf{V}} \mathbf{B}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{2}^{\mathsf{III}} + \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{2,1}^{\mathsf{V}} \mathbf{B}_{2} \mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{IV}}$$
(10a)

$$\mathbf{y}_{2}^{\mathsf{V}} = \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{1,2}^{\mathsf{V}} \mathbf{B}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{2}^{\mathsf{III}} + \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{2,2}^{\mathsf{V}} \mathbf{B}_{2} \mathbf{y}_{1}^{\mathsf{IV}}$$
(10b)

Decoding Condition: To ensure all transmitted symbols can be decoded, τ<sub>2</sub> and τ<sub>3</sub> should satisfy

$$N\tau_3 = \min\{M - N, N\}\tau_2 \tag{C1}$$

Security Condition: To ensure zero information leakage to the other receiver, τ<sub>1</sub> and τ<sub>2</sub> should satisfy

$$N(\tau_1 + \tau_2) = \min\{M, 2N\}\tau_1$$
 (C2)

### Analysis of Decoding and Security Conditions

For the decoding condition (C1), it can be re-written w.r.t.  $\tau_3/\tau_2$  as follows:

Table:	Re-writing	of De	coding	Condition	(C1)	
--------	------------	-------	--------	-----------	------	--

N < M < 2N	$M \ge 2N$	
$\tau_3/\tau_2 = (M-N)/N$	$\tau_3/\tau_2 = 1$	

For the security condition (C2), it can be re-written w.r.t.  $\tau_2/\tau_1$  as follows:

Table: Re-writing of Security Condition (C2)

N < M < 2N	$M \ge 2N$	
$\tau_2/\tau_1 = (M - N)/N$	$\tau_2 / \tau_1 = 1$	

• We can see that both  $\tau_3/\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2/\tau_1$  are not more than 1.

#### Proposed Achievable Sum-SDoF Maximization Problem:

$$\max_{\substack{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ \text{s.t.}}} \frac{2\min\{M, 2N\}\tau_2}{2\tau_1 + 2\tau_2 + \tau_3}$$
(11)

Problem re-formulation:

$$\max_{\tau_1/\tau_2, \tau_3/\tau_2} \frac{2\min\{M, 2N\}}{2\tau_1/\tau_2 + 2+\tau_3/\tau_2}$$
(12)  
s.t.  $f_1(\tau_1/\tau_2) = 0, f_2(\tau_3/\tau_2) = 0$ 

where C1  $\iff f_1(\tau_1/\tau_2) = 0$ , C2  $\iff f_2(\tau_3/\tau_2) = 0$ .

 Optimal Solution: Re-writing condition (C1) and condition (C2) yields the optimal solutions. For example, we can select

$$\begin{cases} \tau_1^* = N^2 \\ \tau_2^* = \min\{M - N, N\}N \\ \tau_3^* = (\min\{M - N, N\})^2 \end{cases}$$
(13)

Preliminary

Related Works & Contributions

The Proposed Scheme

Conclusion & Future Work

References

Conclusion & Future Work

### **Conclusion & Future Work**

- Conclusion: An achievable sum-SDoF for secure MIMO interference channel with confidential messages and delayed CSIT was proposed in this paper. This is the first attempt to the best of our knowledge.
- Future Work: To figure out the exact sum-SDoF, an upper bound is needed, which motivates future work.

Preliminary

Related Works & Contributions

The Proposed Scheme

Conclusion & Future Work

#### References

## **References** I

- Z. H. Awan, A. Zaidi, and A. Sezgin, "On SDoF of multi-receiver wiretap channel with alternating CSIT," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 1780–1795, Aug 2016.
- K. Banawan and S. Ulukus, "Secure degrees of freedom of the Gaussian MIMO interference channel," in 2015 IEEE Asilomar, Nov 2015, pp. 40–44.
- K. Banawan and S. Ulukus, "Secure degrees of freedom region of static and time-varying gaussian MIMO interference channel," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 444–461, Jan 2019.
- R. Tandon, P. Piantanida, and S. Shamai, "On multi-user MISO wiretap channels with delayed CSIT," in *2014 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, June 2014, pp. 211–215.

## **References II**

- Z. Wang, M. Xiao, and M. Skoglund, "Secrecy degrees of freedom of the 2×2×2 interference channel with delayed CSIT," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 341–344, Aug 2014.
- S. Yang and M. Kobayashi, "Secure communication in K-user multi-antenna broadcast channel with state feedback," in 2015 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), June 2015, pp. 1976–1980.
- S. Yang, M. Kobayashi, P. Piantanida, and S. S. (Shitz), "Secrecy degrees of freedom of MIMO broadcast channels with delayed CSIT," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 59, no. 9, pp. 5244–5256, Sept 2013.