Sparse Bayesian Learning for Robust PCA



Jing Liu, Yacong Ding, Bhaskar D. Rao*

University of California, San Diego

ECE Department, DSP Lab

brao@ucsd.edu

May, 2019

- Background
- Model
- Algorithm & Analysis
- Experiments

Candès et al.1'2:

$$M = L + E + N$$

 $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$: low-rank matrix; $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$: sparse matrix that captures outlier corruptions; $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$: inlier noise.

¹E. J. Candès et al. "Robust Principal Component Analysis?". In: *J. ACM* 58.3 (June 2011), 11:1–11:37.
 ²Z. Zhou et al. "Stable Principal Component Pursuit". In: 2010 ISFT. June 2010.2000

Jing Liu, Yacong Ding, Bhaskar D. Rao*

SBL for Robust PCA

May, 2019 3 / 19

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E}} \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{0} \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_{F} \leq \delta$$

(1)

э

イロト イロト イヨト

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E}} \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_0 \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_F \leq \delta$$

Equivalent to $(n \triangleq \min(n_1, n_2))$:

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\boldsymbol{U},\boldsymbol{V},\boldsymbol{s}\succeq 0,\boldsymbol{E}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{0} \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{U} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{s})\boldsymbol{V}^{T} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_{F} \leq \delta, \\ \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times n} \text{ orthonormal.} \end{array}$$
(2)

(1)

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E}} \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_0 \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_F \leq \delta$$

Equivalent to $(n \triangleq \min(n_1, n_2))$:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{U},\boldsymbol{V},\boldsymbol{s}\succeq 0,\boldsymbol{E}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{0} \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{U} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{s})\boldsymbol{V}^{T} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_{F} \leq \delta,$$

$$\boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times n} \text{ orthonormal.}$$

$$(2)$$

Further denote m = vec(M), e = vec(E), U_i : *i*th column of U

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{s}\succeq 0,\boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{0} \text{ s.t. } \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2} \leq \delta,$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{i} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{T}), \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times n} \text{ orthonormal.}$$
(3)

Image: A matrix

(1)

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{F}} \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{0} \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{F}} \leq \delta$$

(Candès et al., Chandrasekaran et al.):

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E}} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_1 \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_F \leq \delta$$

(4)

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{F}} \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{0} \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_{F} \leq \delta$$

(5)

(Candès et al., Chandrasekaran et al.):

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E}} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_{*} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{1} \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_{F} \leq \delta \end{array} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \succeq 0, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{\not \! \boldsymbol{b} 1} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{\not \! \boldsymbol{b} 1} \; \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \; \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathsf{T}}), \; \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n} \; \text{and} \; \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n} \; \text{orthonormal.} \end{split}$$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E}} \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{0} \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_{F} \leq \delta$$

(Candès et al., Chandrasekaran et al.):

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E}} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_1 \quad s.t. \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_F \leq \delta$$

Liu & Rao: Sparsity Regularized Principal Component Pursuit (SRPCP)³

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E}} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \beta \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{E}\|_1$$

(4)

(1)

Exact recovery when no inlier noise, bounded error in the noisy case

³J. Liu and B. D. Rao. "Robust PCA via ℓ_0 - ℓ_1 Regularization" In: TSR (Jan. 2019), \sim

Jing Liu, Yacong Ding, Bhaskar D. Rao*

SBL for Robust PCA

May, 2019 6 / 19

$$\min_{\substack{L,E}} \operatorname{rank}(L) + \lambda \|E\|_0 \quad s.t. \|M - L - E\|_F \leq \delta$$

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \succeq 0, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathsf{T}}), \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n} \text{ orthonormal.} \end{split}$$

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

(1)

(3)

$$\min_{\substack{L,E}} \operatorname{rank}(L) + \lambda \|E\|_0 \quad s.t. \|M - L - E\|_F \le \delta$$
(1)

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \succeq 0, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ s.t. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathcal{T}}), \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n} \text{ orthonormal.} \end{split}$$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geq \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{0} \ \boldsymbol{s}.t. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2} \leq \delta,$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{i} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{T}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\|_{2} = \|\boldsymbol{V}_{i}\|_{2} = 1, \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times d}, \ \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times d}.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

(3)

7`

$$\min_{\substack{L,E}} \operatorname{rank}(L) + \lambda \|E\|_0 \quad s.t. \|M - L - E\|_F \le \delta$$
(1)

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \succeq 0, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathcal{T}}), \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n} \text{ orthonormal.} \end{split}$$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geq \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{0} \ \boldsymbol{s}.t. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2} \leq \delta,$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{i} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{T}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\|_{2} = \|\boldsymbol{V}_{i}\|_{2} = 1, \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times d}, \ \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times d}.$$

Proposition

Set $d = n \triangleq \min(n_1, n_2)$ in (7). Then (1), (3) and (7) have the same global optimal solution(s) in terms of **L** and **E**.

Jing Liu, Yacong Ding, Bhaskar D. Rao*

SBL for Robust PCA

May, 2019 7 / 19

(3)

$$\min_{\substack{L,E}} \operatorname{rank}(L) + \lambda \|E\|_0 \quad s.t. \|M - L - E\|_F \le \delta$$
(1)

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \succeq 0, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathcal{T}}), \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n} \text{ orthonormal.} \end{split}$$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geq \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{0} \ \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2} \leq \delta,$$
$$\boldsymbol{A}_{i} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{T}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\|_{2} = \|\boldsymbol{V}_{i}\|_{2} = 1, \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times d}, \ \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times d}.$$

Proposition

Set $d = \min(n_1, n_2) \in [\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}_{opt}), \min(n_1, n_2)]$ in (7). Then (1), (3) and (7) have the same global optimal solution(s) in terms of \boldsymbol{L} and \boldsymbol{E} .

Jing Liu, Yacong Ding, Bhaskar D. Rao*

SBL for Robust PCA

May, 2019 8 / 19

(3)

(7

$$M = L + E + N$$

- Babacan et al. 2012: $\boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B}^{T}$, the columns of \boldsymbol{A} and $\boldsymbol{B} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma_{i}^{-1}\boldsymbol{I}), \gamma_{i} \sim \text{Gamma distribution}. \boldsymbol{E} \sim \text{Gaussian-Jeffrey.}$
- Wipf 2012: columns of $\boldsymbol{L} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathscr{N}(0, \boldsymbol{\Phi}); \ \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{N} \sim$ Gaussian.
- Jansson et al. 2015: $\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{L}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Psi_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{-1} \otimes \Psi_{\boldsymbol{C}}^{-1}), \Psi_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \Psi_{\boldsymbol{C}} \sim \operatorname{Wishart.} \boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{N} \sim \operatorname{Gaussian-Gamma.}$
- Wipf et al. 2016 (Pseudo-Bayes): $vec(L) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Phi_R \oplus \Phi_C)$; $E, N \sim$ Gaussian.

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geqslant \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathsf{T}}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_i\|_2 = \|\boldsymbol{V}_i\|_2 = 1, \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times d}, \ \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times d}. \end{split}$$

May, 2019 10 / 19

(7)

$$\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{s} \geqslant \boldsymbol{\emptyset},\boldsymbol{e}}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{0} \ s.t. \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2} \leq \delta,$$
$$\boldsymbol{A}_{i} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{T}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\|_{2} = \|\boldsymbol{V}_{i}\|_{2} = 1, \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times d}, \ \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times d}.$$

$$m = As + e + n, s.t. A_i = vec(U_i V_i^T), ||U_i||_2 = ||V_i||_2 = 1, i = 1, ..., d.$$

(7)

$$\begin{split} &\min_{\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{s} \geqslant \boldsymbol{Q},\boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ \boldsymbol{s}.t. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ &\boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathsf{T}}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_i\|_2 = \|\boldsymbol{V}_i\|_2 = 1, \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times d}, \ \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times d}. \end{split}$$

$$m = As + e + n, s.t. \; A_i = vec(U_i V_i^T), \; ||U_i||_2 = ||V_i||_2 = 1, \; i = 1, ..., d.$$

• A: a deterministic parameter that lies in the above constrained space \mathscr{A}

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geqslant \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{0} \; \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \; \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2} \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_{i} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{\mathcal{T}}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\|_{2} = \|\boldsymbol{V}_{i}\|_{2} = 1, \; \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times d}, \; \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times d}. \end{split}$$

$$m = As + e + n, s.t. \; A_i = vec(U_i V_i^T), \; ||U_i||_2 = ||V_i||_2 = 1, \; i = 1, ..., d.$$

A: a deterministic parameter that lies in the above constrained space A
s ~ N(0, Γ), Γ ≜ diag(γ)

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geqslant \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{0} \; \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \; \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2} \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_{i} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{\mathcal{T}}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\|_{2} = \|\boldsymbol{V}_{i}\|_{2} = 1, \; \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times d}, \; \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times d}. \end{split}$$

$$m = As + e + n, s.t. \; A_i = vec(U_i V_i^T), \; ||U_i||_2 = ||V_i||_2 = 1, \; i = 1, ..., d.$$

A: a deterministic parameter that lies in the above constrained space A
s ~ N(0, Γ), Γ ≜ diag(γ)

• $\boldsymbol{e} \sim \mathscr{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})$, $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \triangleq \mathsf{diag}(\boldsymbol{lpha})$

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geqslant \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_{0} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{0} \; \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \; \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2} \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_{i} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{\mathcal{T}}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_{i}\|_{2} = \|\boldsymbol{V}_{i}\|_{2} = 1, \; \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times d}, \; \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times d}. \end{split}$$

$$m = As + e + n, s.t. \; A_i = vec(U_i V_i^T), \; ||U_i||_2 = ||V_i||_2 = 1, \; i = 1, ..., d.$$

A: a deterministic parameter that lies in the above constrained space A
s ~ N(0, Γ), Γ ≜ diag(γ)

- $\boldsymbol{e} \sim \mathscr{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})$, $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \triangleq \mathsf{diag}(\boldsymbol{lpha})$
- $\boldsymbol{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{I})$

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geqslant \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathsf{T}}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_i\|_2 = \|\boldsymbol{V}_i\|_2 = 1, \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times d}, \ \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times d}. \end{split}$$

$$m = As + e + n, s.t. \; A_i = vec(U_i V_i^T), \; ||U_i||_2 = ||V_i||_2 = 1, \; i = 1, ..., d.$$

A: a deterministic parameter that lies in the above constrained space A
s ~ N(0, Γ), Γ ≜ diag(γ)
e ~ N(0, Λ), Λ ≜ diag(α)
n ~ N(0, βI)
Goal: Infer (Â, γ̂, α̂) from the data m.

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{s} \geqslant \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{e}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ \boldsymbol{s}. \boldsymbol{t}. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta, \\ & \boldsymbol{A}_i = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i^{\mathsf{T}}), \|\boldsymbol{U}_i\|_2 = \|\boldsymbol{V}_i\|_2 = 1, \ \forall i, \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times d}, \ \boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times d}. \end{split}$$

$$m = As + e + n, s.t. \; A_i = vec(U_i V_i^T), \; ||U_i||_2 = ||V_i||_2 = 1, \; i = 1, ..., d.$$

A: a deterministic parameter that lies in the above constrained space A
s ~ N(0, Γ), Γ ≜ diag(γ)
e ~ N(0, Λ), Λ ≜ diag(α)
n ~ N(0, βI)
Goal: Infer (Â, Ŷ, â) from the data m.
Then s and e can be estimated via the posterior mean of p(s|m; Â, Ŷ, â) and p(e|m; Â, Ŷ, â).

Goal: Infer $(\hat{A}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})$ from the data m via MAP-EM.

Goal: Infer (Â, γ̂, α̂) from the data m via MAP-EM. E-Step:

 $Q(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha} | \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \{ -\log p(\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \}$ $= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \{ -\log p(\boldsymbol{m} | \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - \log p(\boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \log p(\boldsymbol{s} | \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \}$

Goal: Infer $(\hat{A}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})$ from the data *m* via MAP-EM. • E-Step:

$$Q(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha} | \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \{-\log p(\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \{-\log p(\boldsymbol{m} | \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - \log p(\boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \log p(\boldsymbol{s} | \boldsymbol{\gamma})\}$$

• M-Step:
$$\min_{\gamma, \alpha, \mathbf{A} \in \mathscr{A}} Q(\mathbf{A}, \gamma, \alpha | \mathbf{A}^{(k)}, \gamma^{(k)}, \alpha^{(k)}) - \log p(\gamma)$$

$$= \min_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{A} \in \mathscr{A}} \frac{1}{2\beta} \langle \| \boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e} \|_{2}^{2} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (\log \alpha_{i} + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{2} \rangle}{\alpha_{i}}) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (\log \gamma_{i} + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{s}_{i}^{2} \rangle}{\gamma_{i}}) + \sum_{i} ((a+1)\log \gamma_{i}) + const$$

3

Goal: Infer $(\hat{A}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})$ from the data *m* via MAP-EM. • E-Step:

$$Q(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha} | \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \{-\log p(\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{A}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \{-\log p(\boldsymbol{m} | \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - \log p(\boldsymbol{e} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \log p(\boldsymbol{s} | \boldsymbol{\gamma})\}$$

• M-Step:
$$\min_{\gamma, \alpha, \mathbf{A} \in \mathscr{A}} Q(\mathbf{A}, \gamma, \alpha | \mathbf{A}^{(k)}, \gamma^{(k)}, \alpha^{(k)}) - \log p(\gamma)$$

$$= \min_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{A} \in \mathscr{A}} \frac{1}{2\beta} \langle \| \boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{As} - \boldsymbol{e} \|_2^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\log \alpha_i + \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{e}_i^2 \rangle}{\alpha_i})$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}(\log\gamma_{i}+\frac{\langle \boldsymbol{s}_{i}^{2}\rangle}{\gamma_{i}})+\sum_{i}((a+1)\log\gamma_{i})+const$$

Employ Inverse-gamma prior on γ , i.e., $p(\gamma_i) = IG(a, b)$, with $b \to 0$.

Update α : $\alpha_i = \langle \boldsymbol{e}_i^2 \rangle = \mu_{\boldsymbol{e}|\boldsymbol{m}}^2(i) + \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{e}|\boldsymbol{m}}(i,i), \forall i$.

▶ ◀ 볼 ▶ 볼 ∽ ९. May, 2019 12 / 19

4 円

Update α : $\alpha_i = \langle \mathbf{e}_i^2 \rangle = \mu_{\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{m}}^2(i) + \Sigma_{\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{m}}(i,i), \forall i.$ Update γ : $\gamma_i = \langle \mathbf{s}_i^2 \rangle / (2a+3) = (\mu_{\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{m}}^2(i) + \Sigma_{\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{m}}(i,i)) / (2a+3), \forall i.$

Update
$$\alpha$$
: $\alpha_i = \langle \mathbf{e}_i^2 \rangle = \mu_{\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{m}}^2(i) + \Sigma_{\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{m}}(i,i), \forall i.$
Update γ : $\gamma_i = \langle \mathbf{s}_i^2 \rangle / (2a+3) = (\mu_{\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{m}}^2(i) + \Sigma_{\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{m}}(i,i)) / (2a+3), \forall i.$
Update \mathbf{A}_1 : Given $\mathbf{A}_2^{(k)}, \mathbf{A}_3^{(k)}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}_d^{(k)},$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{1}^{(k+1)} = \arg\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{A}_{1} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{1}\boldsymbol{V}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}}) \\ \|\boldsymbol{U}_{1}\|_{2} = 1 \\ \|\boldsymbol{V}_{1}\|_{2} = 1}} \|\boldsymbol{h} - \boldsymbol{A}_{1}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(8)

where
$$\boldsymbol{h} = \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{s}_1 \rangle \boldsymbol{m} - \langle \boldsymbol{s}_1 \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s \in |\boldsymbol{m}}^T (1,:) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i=2}^d [\langle \boldsymbol{s}_1 \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{s}_i \rangle + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s \mid \boldsymbol{m}} (1,i)] \boldsymbol{A}_i^{(k)}}{\langle \boldsymbol{s}_1 \rangle^2 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s \mid \boldsymbol{m}} (1,1)}.$$

$$(\boldsymbol{U}_{1}^{(k+1)}, \boldsymbol{V}_{1}^{(k+1)}) = \arg \min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{U}_{1}, \boldsymbol{V}_{1} \\ \|\boldsymbol{U}_{1}\|_{2} = 1 \\ \|\boldsymbol{V}_{1}\|_{2} = 1}} \|\mathsf{Mat}(\boldsymbol{h}) - \boldsymbol{U}_{1}\boldsymbol{V}_{1}^{T}\|_{F}^{2}.$$
(9)

-∃->

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 目 ト ・

Update
$$\alpha$$
: $\alpha_i = \langle \mathbf{e}_i^2 \rangle = \mu_{\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{m}}^2(i) + \Sigma_{\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{m}}(i,i), \forall i.$
Update γ : $\gamma_i = \langle \mathbf{s}_i^2 \rangle / (2a+3) = (\mu_{\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{m}}^2(i) + \Sigma_{\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{m}}(i,i)) / (2a+3), \forall i.$
Update \mathbf{A}_1 : Given $\mathbf{A}_2^{(k)}, \mathbf{A}_3^{(k)}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}_d^{(k)},$

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{1}^{(k+1)} = \arg\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{A}_{1} = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_{1}\boldsymbol{V}_{1}^{T}) \\ \|\boldsymbol{U}_{1}\|_{2} = 1 \\ \|\boldsymbol{V}_{1}\|_{2} = 1}} \|\boldsymbol{h} - \boldsymbol{A}_{1}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(8)

where
$$\boldsymbol{h} = \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{s}_1 \rangle \boldsymbol{m} - \langle \boldsymbol{s}_1 \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{se|\boldsymbol{m}}^{T}(1,:) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i=2}^{d} [\langle \boldsymbol{s}_1 \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{s}_i \rangle + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s|\boldsymbol{m}}(1,i)] \boldsymbol{A}_i^{(k)}}{\langle \boldsymbol{s}_1 \rangle^2 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s|\boldsymbol{m}}(1,1)}.$$

$$(\boldsymbol{U}_{1}^{(k+1)}, \boldsymbol{V}_{1}^{(k+1)}) = \arg \min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{U}_{1}, \boldsymbol{V}_{1} \\ \|\boldsymbol{U}_{1}\|_{2} = 1 \\ \|\boldsymbol{V}_{1}\|_{2} = 1}} \|\mathsf{Mat}(\boldsymbol{h}) - \boldsymbol{U}_{1}\boldsymbol{V}_{1}^{T}\|_{F}^{2}.$$
(9)

Solution: the 1st singular vector pair of Mat(**h**)

Update α : $\mathcal{O}(d^2n_1n_2)$ Update γ : $\mathcal{O}(d^2n_1n_2)$ Update A: $\mathcal{O}(d^2n_1n_2)$ Initialize d to the same order of the rank r for large-scale problems. Update α : $\mathcal{O}(d^2n_1n_2)$ Update γ : $\mathcal{O}(d^2n_1n_2)$ Update A: $\mathcal{O}(d^2n_1n_2)$ Initialize d to the same order of the rank r for large-scale problems.

Under MAP-EM framework (Chen et al.'10)

Theorem $p(\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)}, \gamma^{(k+1)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k+1)} | \mathbf{m}) \ge p(\mathbf{A}^{(k)}, \gamma^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(k)} | \mathbf{m})$

Underlying SBL objective function

Type-II MAP, i.e., maximize $p(\mathbf{A}, \gamma, \alpha | \mathbf{m}) \propto p(\mathbf{m} | \mathbf{A}, \gamma, \alpha) p(\gamma)$ Apply $-2\log(\cdot)$ transformation:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{\gamma,\alpha, A \in \mathscr{A}}} &-2\log[p(\boldsymbol{m}|\boldsymbol{A}, \gamma, \alpha)p(\gamma)] \\ = \min_{\substack{\gamma,\alpha, A \in \mathscr{A}}} & \boldsymbol{m}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{m} + \log|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}}| + 2(a+1)\log|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}| + C \\ = \min_{\substack{\gamma,\alpha, A \in \mathscr{A}}} \left\{ \min_{\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{e}} [\frac{1}{\beta} \| \boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e} \|_2^2 + \boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{s} + \boldsymbol{e}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}] \right. \\ &+ \log|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}}| + 2(a+1)\log|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}| \} + C \\ = \min_{\substack{s, \boldsymbol{e}, A \in \mathscr{A}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta} \| \boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e} \|_2^2 \\ &+ \min_{\substack{\gamma, \alpha}} [\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{s} + \boldsymbol{e}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} + \log|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}}| + 2(a+1)\log|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|] \right\} + C \\ &= \underbrace{\min_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \alpha} [\boldsymbol{s}^T \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{s} + \boldsymbol{e}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e} + \log|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}}| + 2(a+1)\log|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|] \right\} + C \end{split}$$
where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{m}} = \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{A}^T + \boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{I}$

Type-II MAP, i.e., maximize $p(\mathbf{A}, \gamma, \alpha | \mathbf{m}) \propto p(\mathbf{m} | \mathbf{A}, \gamma, \alpha) p(\gamma)$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{A} \in \mathscr{A}} \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_0 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_0 \ \boldsymbol{s}.\boldsymbol{t}. \ \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_2 \leq \delta.$$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{A}\in\mathscr{A}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta} \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{s} - \boldsymbol{e}\|_{2}^{2} + \underbrace{\min_{\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\alpha}} [\boldsymbol{s}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{s} + \boldsymbol{e}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\boldsymbol{e} + \log|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\boldsymbol{A}^{T} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \beta\boldsymbol{I}| + 2(\boldsymbol{a}+1)\log|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|] \right\}}_{g_{SBL}(\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{e})} + C$$

$\frac{\|\hat{L} - L_0\|_F^2}{\|L_0\|_F^2}$ of each method in log scale

 $L_0 = AB^T$; $A^{n \times r}$, $B^{n \times r}$: standard Gaussian matrices; Corruptions drawn from U[0,100]



SBL for Robust PCA

$\frac{\|\hat{\boldsymbol{L}} - \boldsymbol{L}_0\|_F^2}{\|\boldsymbol{L}_0\|_F^2} \text{ of each method in log scale}$

 $L_0 = AB^T$; $A^{n \times r}$, $B^{n \times r}$: standard Gaussian matrices; Corruptions drawn from U[-100,100]







SBL for Robust PCA

Recovered text mask (F-measure) and low-rank background







IR_PCP, F=0.799, error=70.6



BRMF, F=0.867, error=73.1



AltProj, F=0.890, error=71.9



PB_RPCA, F=0.903, error=76.4



SRPCP, F=0.967, error=17.4



SBL, F=0.971, error=17.4



F-measure=2(precision × recall)/(precision + recall)

Jing Liu, Yacong Ding, Bhaskar D. Rao*

SBL for Robust PCA

- Proposed a Robust Sparse Linear Regression objective, equivalent to the fundamental minimizing "rank+sparsity" objective of Robust PCA;
- O To solve this objective, a concise SBL method is proposed, which has minimum assumptions and effectively deals with the requirements of the problem, and allows exact inference;
- The underlying cost function of the proposed SBL method is shown to lead to "sparse and low-rank decomposition";
- **9** Empirical studies demonstrate the superiority of the proposed method.