

Introduction

PROBLEM STATEMENT

- multi-talker distant conversational speech recognition
- competing speakers, reverberation and background noise pose serious challenges for ASR systems

PROPOSED APPROACH

Improve multi-talker distant ASR performance by suppressing interfering speakers using a neural network supported automatic gain **control** (AGC) mechanism.

CONTEXT

CHiME-5 challenge: distant multi-microphone conversational speech recognition challenge in everyday home environments [1].

Corpus description:

- 20 dinner party recordings (aprox. 2 hours each)
- 4 participants and 3 locations (kitchen, dining and living room)
- 6 x 4-channels Microsoft Kinect recording devices (array set)
- in-ear binaural microphones (worn set)
- recording devices were not synchronized
- single (reference) device track and multiple device track
- speaker overlap is a major issue for CHiME-5
- -amount of speech frames with more than one active speaker at the same time: 24% (train), 42% (dev)
- -traditional source separation methods were ineffective (moving speakers, reverberation and background noise)
- -speaker-dependent systems exploited the speaker diarisation information provided in the challenge [2]

Methods

HARD OVERLAP SUPPRESSION (HOS)

- has used the baseline speaker diarisation to detect the segments where only the target speaker is active
- binary masks were computed every 16-ms

On Reducing the Effect of Speaker Overlap for CHiME-5

Tudor-Cătălin Zorilă and Rama Doddipatla Toshiba Cambridge Research Laboratory, United Kingdom {catalin.zorila, rama.doddipatla}@crl.toshiba.co.uk

 n_A ______ Figure 1: Example of suppressing interfering speakers using HOS and AGC

SOFT OVERLAP SUPPRESSION (AGC)



Figure 2: Block diagram of AGC approach for suppressing interfering speakers.

• DNN

- -frame-wise speaker classifier, 3 hidden layers, 4 output nodes
- -training data: single speaker data according to transcription
- -dominant speaker was chosen using maximum likelihood criterion
- postfiltering: 11 taps median filter, double exp. moving average
- single-channel enhancement only

DATA SELECTION (DTS)

- random selection of array devices for data extraction is not optimal
- carefully selected devices may reduce interfering speakers' effect
- solution: choose the array device whose data has the strongest corre**lation with the in-ear recording** of target speaker
- metric/criterion: normalized cross-correlation/max

SPEAKER-DEPENDENT GEV (SDGEV) [2]

- speaker adaptive maximum SNR beamforming (generalized eigenvalue beamformer, GEV), 4 channels
- neural network to estimate speech and noise statistics (masks)



of interfering speakers

• Data

- Acoustic model
- **–**TDNN: 8 layers, lattice-free MMI

Table 1: WER(%) using TDNN AM (single device track).					
Train data	Enhancement				
	BF	+HOS	+AGC		
Baseline	88.3	98.5	88.2		
AGC	87.9	97.1	86.6		
HOS	87.2	85.0	85.6		

Table 2: WER(%) using CNN-BSTM AM trained with unprocessed data.

Track	Enhancement			
ITACK	BF (A)	+AGC (B)	A+B	
Single	74.0	74.3	71.8	
DTS	71.6	71.1	68.9	

Table 3: WER(%) using CNN-BSTM AM trained with SDGEV enhanced data (single array).

Track	Enhancement			
ITACK	SDGEV (C)	+AGC(D)	C+D	
Single	64.9	65.0	63.7	

- of speaker overlap in CHiME-5

References

- dataset, task and baselines," in Proc. Interspeech, 2018, pp. 1561–1565.
- Toshiba entry to the CHiME 2018 challenge," in Proc. CHiME-5 Workshop, 2018.

• GMM based speaker-dependent mask adaptation to alleviate the effect

Evaluation

-ASR training: worn + 100k (randomly chosen) array segments -ASR testing: development set of CHiME-5, pre-enhanced using a weighted delay-and-sum beamformer (BeamformIt, BF) –enhancement: baseline (unprocessed), HOS, AGC or DTS • Front-end: 40-dims MFCCs for acoustic model training

-CNN-BLSTM: 2 layers 2D CNN, 3 layers BLSTM * data cleaning, i-vectors (100), speed perturbation (3-folds)

Results

Conclusions

• DNN-based AGC enhancement was proposed for reducing the effect

• Experiments have shown that the proposed approach yields WER reductions between 2% and 3% absolute on the *dev* set of CHiME-5.

[1] J. Barker, S. Watanabe, E. Vincent, and J. Trmal, "The fifth 'CHiME' speech separation and recognition challenge:

[2] R. Doddipatla, T. Kagoshima, C. Do, P. Petkov, C. Zorila, E. Kim, H. Hayakawa, H. Fujimura, and Y. Stylianou, "The