

# Introduction

Intuition: pedestrian attributes are coherent across datasets while identity labels are not.

Person **ReID** datasets.

Pedestrian **attribute** recognition datasets [1].



Benefits from



At least two images for each person, usually no person attribute label.

In most cases, only one image for each person, no person ID label and multiple attributes for one person

#### **Contributions:**

- A new unsupervised domain adaptive person re-id framework only based on pedestrian attributes.
- A modified unsupervised adversarial adaptation method.
- Comprehensive experiments.



#### **Methodology pipeline:**

- Step 1: Train attribute recognition network on pedestrian attribute dataset;
- Step 2: Adapt the trained attribute recognition network to the ReID domain based on unsupervised domain adaptive method;
- **Step 3**: Use the adapted attribute recognition network for extracting discriminative features for ReID.

# **UNSUPERVISED DOMAIN ADAPTIVE PERSON RE-IDENTIFICATION BASED ON ATTRIBUTES**

Xiangping Zhu, Pietro Morerio and Vittorio Murino Pattern Analysis and Computer Vision (PAVIS), Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Genova, Italy

# **Attribute Recognition:**

 $L_{attr} = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathbf{X}^a} \sum_{j=1}^m \left( a_{i,j} \log(\mathbf{C}_a(\mathbf{M}_a(\mathbf{x}_i))) \right)$ 

+  $(1 - a_{i,j}) \log(1 - \mathbf{C}_a(\mathbf{M}_a(\mathbf{x}_i))))$ ,



 $a_{i,i}$  denotes the attribute label

### **Unsupervised Domain Adaptation (UDA):**



Compare with ADDA in [2-3], the modified UDA is different in:

- an attribute classifier is added to maintain the attribute recognition performance during domain adaptation;
- both source and target images are fed into the target CNN as in [3].

#### **Unsupervised domain adaptation loss:**

 $\min\max L_{adv} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathbf{X}^a \cup \mathbf{X}^p} \| \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}_i)) - 1 \|^2$  $\mathbf{M} \quad \mathbf{D}$  $+ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathbf{X}^a} \| \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{M}_a(\mathbf{x}_i)) \|^2,$ 

#### Final loss of the modified unsupervised domain adaptation:

 $\min_{\{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{C}\}} \max_{\mathbf{D}} L_{adv} + \alpha L_{attr},$ 

 $\alpha$  is the hyper-parameter,  $L_{attr}$  is the same as in attribution recognition with C, M in place of  $C_a$ ,  $M_a$ 









sigmoid cross entropy loss

Attribute classifier

#### Attribute recognition network

Three datasets are considered:

#### **Effectiveness of UDA:**

Table 1: Comparing experimental results before and after adaptation



# **Comparisons with SOTA results:**

Table 2: Performar comparisons with existing attribute k unsupervised dom adaptive person Re methods[5-6]:

#### **References:**

[1] Deng Y, Luo P, Loy CC, Tang X. Pedestrian attribute recognition at far distance. ACM MM, 2014. [2] Tzeng E, Hoffman J, Saenko K, Darrell T. Adversarial discriminative domain adaptation. CVPR, 2017. [3] Volpi R, Morerio P, Savarese S, Murino V. Adversarial feature augmentation for unsupervised domain adaptation. CVPR, 2018. [4] Li D, Zhang Z, Chen X, Ling H, Huang K. A richly annotated dataset for pedestrian attribute recognition, IEEE TIP, 2018 [5] Wang J, Zhu X, Gong S, Li W. Transferable joint attribute-identity deep learning for unsupervised person re-identification. CVPR, 2018.



# **Experimental Results**

RAP is an attribute recognition dataset [4];

• Market1501 and DukeMTMC-reID are two ReID datasets, but they are also labeled with pedestrian attributes.

|                | Marke | t-1501 | DukeMTMC-reID |      |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|
| Metric         | Rank1 | mAP    | Rank1         | mAP  |
| w/o adaptation | 28.2% | 8.7%   | 15.6%         | 4.9% |
| w adaptation   | 32.1% | 10.6%  | 18.7%         | 6.5% |

#### **Effectiveness of the** classifier in UDA

|       | Source $\rightarrow$ Target | $\  Market-1501 \rightarrow DukeMTMC-reID \\$ |       |        |       |  |  |
|-------|-----------------------------|---|-------|--------|-------|--|--|
| nce   | Metric                      | Rank1   | Rank5 | Rank10 | mAP   |  |  |
|       | TJ-AIDL                     | 24.3%   | 38.3% | 45.7%  | 10.0% |  |  |
|       | MMFA                        | 15.8%   | 26.0% | 48.2%  | 5.7%  |  |  |
| based | Ours                        | 28.6%   | 44.2% | 51.7%  | 13.1% |  |  |
| nain- | Source $\rightarrow$ Target | DukeMTMC-reID $\rightarrow$ Market-1501       |       |        |       |  |  |
| elD   | Metric                      | Rank1   | Rank5 | Rank10 | mAP   |  |  |
|       | TJ-AIDL                     | 38.0%   | 59.2% | 67.6%  | 13.6% |  |  |
|       | MMFA                        | 35.5%   | 55.3% | 64.0%  | 12.7% |  |  |
|       | Ours                        | 43.0%   | 63.3% | 70.6%  | 17.1% |  |  |

[6] Lin S, Li H, Li CT, Kot AC. Multi-task mid-level feature alignment network for unsupervised cross-dataset person re-identification, BMVC, 2018