

Introduction

Brain tumor image segmentation has been a time-consuming and laborious task for decades. Since deep learning has been applied to the image field, there have been many efforts to find automatic segmentation methods. This paper proposes an endto-end image segmentation system based on neural network. First we develop a novel Convolutional Neural Network architecture named Hybrid Two-path Convolution that combines coarse and fine features obtained by different paths. Besides, traditional method for up-sampling often leads to insufficient utilization of network parameters. By endues geometric meaning to different channels, our Region-based Upsampling Convolution can effectively improve the detailed feature capture capabilities of the network without wasting any parameters. Due to the imbalance between labels, we design category based loss function as a solution. Experiments on public datasets demonstrate that the proposed system is competitive with the state-of-the-art methods.

Main Contributions

- In this paper, we propose an end-to-end image segmentation deep learning model Mixed-Unet (M-Unet) based on the U-Net architecture, which involves our proposed substructures and loss function.
- We develop Hybrid Two-path convolution (HTC) to improve the efficiency and accuracy of neural network.
- Traditional up-sampling structures fill the feature layer with zeros, which causes the parameters be diluted. In order to avoid this problem, we proposed Region-based Unpooling convolution (RUC).

A COMPOUND NEURAL NETWORK FOR BRAIN TUMOR SEGMENTATION Hang Zhao¹, Yucui Guo¹, Yujing Zheng²



$$Loss = -\sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i *$$
$$L_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} u_j v_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} u_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} v_j}$$
$$w_i = \left(1 - \alpha * \frac{N_i}{N}\right).$$

College of Science, Beijing University of Post and Telecommunication, Beijing, China

2 College of Science, Beijing University of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Beijing, China

results. The first image is T1 MRI, the second image is the ground truth, the third is our

1:	Evaluation	for	substructures

olete		Core		active	
	Sens	Dice	Sens	Dice	Sens
	0.89	0.80	0.83	0.74	0.78
	0.78	0.74	0.82	0.67	0.71
	0.88	0.80	0.88	0.70	0.74
	0.83	0.79	0.70	0.69	0.68

Table 1:Experiments

		-		
			DICE	
]	Method	Complete	Core	Active
]	M-Unet	0.90	0.80	0.74
K.Ka	mnitsas[12]	0.90	0.78	0.73
Vi	noth R [3]	0.87	0.82	0.70