A spatiotemporal deep learning solution for

Automatic Micro-Expressions Recognition From Local

**Facial Regions** 



Authors:

Mouath Aouayeb Wassim Hamidouche Kidiyo Kpalma Amel Benazza-Benyahia



**IEEE MLSP 2019** 

Pittsburgh, PA, USA





DES SCIENCES APPLIQUÉES





- I. Introduction
- II. State-of-the-art
- III. Proposed solution
- IV. Experiments and results
- V. Conclusion and perspectives

# **Outline**

### I. Introduction

I. Goals & Motivation

II. Macro- & Micro Expressions

III. Problematic & Objectives

II. State-of-the-art

III. Proposed solution

IV. Experiments and results

V. Conclusion and perspectives

	<ul> <li>Goals &amp; Motivation</li> </ul>				
Introduction	Macro- & Micro     Expressions	State-of- the-art	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	Conclusion & Perspectives
	<ul> <li>Problematic &amp; objectives</li> </ul>				



**Psycho treatment** 

## **Police Interrogation**





#### **Driven warning**

Goals & Motivation

#### Introduction

 Macro- & Micro Expressions

## Problematic & objectives



#### **Macro-Expressions**

- Obvions / Intense
- Global Face reaction
- ∗ > 1/2 s
- \* Real / Fake
- \* Gender, ethnicity, age, ...

# State-of-<br/>the-artProposed<br/>SolutionExperiments<br/>& resultsConclusion &<br/>Perspectives







Fear Upper eyelids raised

Disgust Anger Nose wrinkled Jaw thrust forward







Determination/Anger Lips pressed

Sadness Eyebrows drawn up

Sadness Lip corners down

#### **Micro-Expressions**

- Low intensity
- Local Face reaction
- x < 1/2 s (to 1/25 s)</pre>
- Spontaneous (Real)
- Universal

• G	Joals & Motivation				
Introduction . M EX • Pi	Macro- & Micro Expressions Problematic & Objectives	State-of- the-art	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	Conclusion & Perspectives







Rr





**Deep Learning** 

Challenging task

## **Outline**

## I. Introduction

## II. State-of-the-art

- I. Handcrafted Approach
- II. DL Approach
- III. Hybrid Approach
- IV. Region based Approach

## III. Proposed solution

IV. Experiments and results

V. Conclusion and perspectives



Introduction State-of- the-art • Handcrafted Approach • DL Approach • Hybrid Approach • Region based Approach	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	Conclusion & Perspectives
<ul> <li>Handcrafted Solutions :</li> <li>LBP-TOP : Local Binary Pattern on Three Orthogonal Planes</li> <li>3D-HOG : 3D-Gradients orientation Histogram</li> <li>Bi-WOOF : Bi-Weighted Oriented Optical Flow</li> <li>HOOF : Histogram of Oriented Optical Flow</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Deep Learning</li> <li>CNN + LSTM</li> <li>3D-CNN: 3D Spatiote</li> <li>LEARNet : Lateral Action</li> <li>CapsuleNet</li> </ul>	<b>Solutions :</b> emporal CNN ccretive Hybrid Network
<ul> <li>Hybrid Solutions :</li> <li>ELRCN : Enriched Long-term Recurrent CNN</li> <li>Off-ApexNet : Optical Flow Features from Apex frame Network</li> <li>STSTNet : Shallow Triple Stream Three-dimensional CNN</li> <li>STRCN : Spatiotemporal Recurrent Convolution Network</li> </ul>	<	<ul> <li>Region based</li> <li>NMPs: Necessary</li> <li>Improved version of</li> <li>MicroExpFuseNet</li> </ul>	

Introduction State-of- the-art • Handcrafted Approach • DL Approach • Hybrid Approach • Region based Approach	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	Conclusion & Perspectives
<ul> <li>Handcrafted Solutions :</li> <li>LBP-TOP : Local Binary Pattern on Three Orthogonal Planes</li> <li>3D-HOG : 3D-Gradients orientation Histogram</li> <li>Bi-WOOF : Bi-Weighted Oriented Optical Flow</li> <li>HOOF : Histogram of Oriented Optical Flow</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Deep Learning</li> <li>CNN + LSTM</li> <li>3D-CNN: 3D Spatiot</li> <li>LEARNet : Lateral A</li> <li>CapsuleNet</li> </ul>	Solutions : emporal CNN ccretive Hybrid Network

#### Hybrid Solutions :

- ELRCN : Enriched Long-term Recurrent CNN
- Off-ApexNet : Optical Flow Features from Apex frame Network
- STSTNet : Shallow Triple Stream Three-dimensional CNN
- STRCN : Spatiotemporal Recurrent Convolution Network

#### **Region based Solutions :**

- NMPs: Necessary Morphological Patches
- Improved version of NMPs
- → MicroExpFuseNet

IntroductionState-of- the-art• Handcrafted Approach • DL Approach • Hybrid Approach • Region based Approach	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	Conclusion & Perspectives
<ul> <li>Handcrafted Solutions :</li> <li>LBP-TOP : Local Binary Pattern on Three Orthogonal Planes</li> <li>3D-HOG : 3D-Gradients orientation Histogram</li> <li>Bi-WOOF : Bi-Weighted Oriented Optical Flow</li> <li>HOOF : Histogram of Oriented Optical Flow</li> </ul>		<b>Deep Learning</b> <ul> <li>CNN + LSTM</li> <li>3D-CNN: 3D Spatiot</li> <li>LEARNet : Lateral Additional Addition</li></ul>	Solutions : emporal CNN ccretive Hybrid Network
<ul> <li>Hybrid Solutions :</li> <li>ELRCN : Enriched Long-term Recurrent CNN</li> <li>Off-ApexNet : Optical Flow Features from Apex frame Networ</li> <li>STSTNet : Shallow Triple Stream Three-dimensional CNN</li> <li>STRCN : Spatiotemporal Recurrent Convolution Network</li> </ul>	k	<ul> <li>Region based</li> <li>→ NMPs: Necessary</li> <li>→ Improved version of</li> </ul>	

IOEXpruseitei

<ul> <li>Introduction</li> <li>State-of- the-art</li> <li>Building</li> <li>Handcrafted Approach</li> <li>DL Approach</li> <li>Hybrid Approach</li> <li>Region based Approach</li> </ul>	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	Conclusion & Perspectives
<ul> <li>Handcrafted Solutions :</li> <li>LBP-TOP : Local Binary Pattern on Three Orthogonal Planes</li> <li>3D-HOG : 3D-Gradients orientation Histogram</li> <li>Bi-WOOF : Bi-Weighted Oriented Optical Flow</li> <li>HOOF : Histogram of Oriented Optical Flow</li> </ul>		Deep Learning CNN + LSTM 3D-CNN: 3D Spatiote LEARNet : Lateral Ac CapsuleNet	Solutions : emporal CNN ccretive Hybrid Network
<ul> <li>Hybrid Solutions :</li> <li>ELRCN : Enriched Long-term Recurrent CNN</li> <li>Off-ApexNet : Optical Flow Features from Apex frame Network</li> <li>STSTNet : Shallow Triple Stream Three-dimensional CNN</li> <li>STRCN : Spatiotemporal Recurrent Convolution Network</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Region based</li> <li>NMPs: Necessary</li> <li>Improved version of</li> <li>MicroExpFuseNet</li> </ul>	Solutions : Morphological Patches



- I. Introduction
- II. State-of-the-art
- III. Proposed solution
  - I. Idea and added value
  - II. Overview
  - III. CNN
  - IV. LSTM
- IV. Experiments and results
- V. Conclusion and perspectives





MiEs : Catch me If you can :p

Me: Hey ! First of all, are you local or global reaction of the face

MiEs : Hmmmm I really don't know, but you can ask Paul Ekman

P. Ekman : yeah !! you can say that

**Zaho et al. :** we confirm, we recently did a research on that and guess what! we've got more than 20% precision higher with only traditional method. Get yourself ready MiEs :p :p

**Me :** Hmmmm OK, so the less parts I use the more relevant spatio-temporal features I got and with DL I expect to get a better result ..... good, thanks











#### LSTM architecture



- I. Introduction
- II. State-of-the-art
- III. Proposed solution
- IV. Experiments and results
  - I. Data
  - II. Experimental Setup
  - III. Evaluation Metric
  - IV. Results & Discussion

## V. Conclusion and perspectives

Introduction	State-of- the-art	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	<ul><li>Experimental Setup</li><li>Evaluation Metric</li></ul>	Conclusion & Perspectives
				Results & Discussion	

Data

#### Provided Database : Spontaneous Micro-Expressions

		SMIC	CASME II	SAMM
Participants		16	24	28
Frame rate (fps)		100	200	200
Avg. frame number		34	68	74
Avg. video duration $(s)$		0.34	0.34	0.37
C l l	Onset	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ground-truth	Offset	Yes	Yes	Yes
(index)	Apex	No	Yes	Yes
Number of classe		3	5	7
Number of sam	ples	164	255	159

CASME I

- CASME II
- CAS(ME)<sup>2</sup>
- SAMM
- SMIC-SUB
- SMIC
- Polikovsky's
- USF-HD
- MEVIEW
- YorkDDT

...

19

		_		Duiu	
Introduction	State-of- the-art	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	<ul><li>Experimental Setup</li><li>Evaluation Metric</li></ul>	Conclusion & Perspectives
				Results & Discussion	

Data

#### Provided Database :

3 Classes : Emotions : - / + / s

Emotion Class	SMIC	CASME II	SAMM	3DB-combined
Negative	70	<mark>88</mark> †	92 <sup>‡</sup>	250
Positive	51	32	26	109
Surprise	43	25	15	83
TOTAL	164	145	133	442



#### **MEGC 2019**

- Negative class of CASMEII consists of samples from its original emotions class of Disgust and Repression
- Negative class of SAMM consists of samples from original emotions class of Anger, Contempt, Disgust, Fear and Sadness

		_		Dara	
Introduction	State-of- the-art	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	<ul><li>Experimental Setup</li><li>Evaluation Metric</li></ul>	Conclusion & Perspectives
	1			Results & Discussion	

• Data

#### Network Settings :

- <u>Regions crop : Dlib library , 68 landmarks</u>
- Different regions, different labels
- CNNs trained with 64 batch size & 100 epochs
- LSTM+FCL Network trained with 244 batch size and 60 epoch
- Ubuntu 18.04.2 LTS, python3.6, keras-gpu2.2.4, tensorflowgpu1.12.0, Geforce GTX 1080Ti GPU (32 GB memory) and Intel Xeon Processor



		_		• Data	
Introduction	State-of- the-art	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	<ul><li>Experimental Setup</li><li>Evaluation Metric</li></ul>	Conclusion & Perspectives
·				Results & Discussion	

#### Training Protocol :



$$F1_c = \frac{2TP_c}{2TP_c + FP_c + FN_c}, \qquad \qquad \text{UAR score}$$

UF1 score

$$UF1 = \frac{F1_c}{C},$$

22

 $UAR = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=1}^{C} ACC_c$  $ACC_c = \frac{TP_c}{N_c}$ 

		_		• Data	
Introduction	State-of- the-art	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	<ul><li>Experimental Setup</li><li>Evaluation Metric</li></ul>	Conclusion & Perspectives
·				Results & Discussion	

#### **Results**:



(CASMEII, SAMM, SMIc) ,MEGC 2019 Conditions, (0 : Negative, 1:Positive, 2 : Surprise) Accuracy : 0.9095 UAR : 0.9018 UF1 : 0.9022



				• Data	_
Introduction	State-of- the-art	Proposed Solution	Experiments & results	<ul><li>Experimental Setup</li><li>Evaluation Metric</li></ul>	Conclusion & Perspectives
				Results & Discussion	

#### Comparison :

Models	FULL		SMIC		CASAME II		SAMM	
	UF1	UAR	UF1	UAR	UF1	UAR	UF1	UAR
LBP-TOP [22] <sup>\$</sup>	0.5882	0.5785	0.2000	0.5280	0.7026	0.7429	0.3954	0.4102
Bi-WOOF [5] <sup>◊</sup>	0.6296	0.6227	0.5727	0.5829	0.7805	0.8026	0.5211	0.5139
OFF-ApexNet [7] <sup>†</sup>	0.7196	0.7096	0.6817	0.6695	0.8764	0.8681	0.5409	0.5392
Micro-Attention [13] <sup>⊕</sup>	0.5080	0.4930	0.4730	0.4660	0.5390	0.5170	0.4030	0.3400
ATNet (Fusion) [26] <sup><math>\oplus</math></sup>	0.6310	0.6130	0.5530	0.5430	0.7980	0.7750	0.4960	0.4820
Quang <i>et al.</i> $[12]^{*\oplus}$	0.6520	0.6506	0.5820	0.5877	0.7068	0.7018	0.5882	0.5989
Zhou <i>et al</i> . [27]* <sup>†</sup>	0.7322	0.7278	0.6645	0.6726	0.8621	0.8560	0.5868	0.5663
Liong <i>et al.</i> [8] <sup>*†</sup>	0.7353	0.7605	0.6801	0.7013	0.8382	0.8686	0.6588	0.6810
Liu e <i>et al.</i> [28]* <sup>†</sup>	0.7885	0.7824	0.7461	0.7530	0.8293	0.8209	0.7754	0.7152
Our proposed method $\oplus$	0.9022	0.9018	0.8886	0.8828	0.9857	0.9857	0.7855	0.8103

 $^{\diamond}$  handcrafted approach,  $^{\dagger}$  hybrid approach,  $^{\oplus}$  deep learning approach.





- I. Introduction
- II. State-of-the-art
- III. Proposed solution
- IV. Experiments and results
- V. Conclusion and perspectives
  - I. Conclusion
  - II. Perspectives

Introduction	State-of-	Proposed	Experiments	Conclusion &	<ul> <li>Conclusion</li> </ul>
	the-art	Solution	& results	Perspectives	<ul> <li>Perspectives</li> </ul>

#### • Less is More

• Deep Learning : CNN (inception block) + LSTM

#### Accuracy : More than 90 %

Introduction	State-of-	Proposed	Experiments	Conclusion &	<ul> <li>Conclusion</li> </ul>
	the-art	Solution	& results	Perspectives	<ul> <li>Perspectives</li> </ul>

- Data Augmentation
- Adaptive analysis of MiE for Medical use case
- Complexe Micro-Expressions



A spatiotemporal deep learning solution for Automatic Micro-Expressions Recognition From Local Facial Regions











