

## Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

### Mircea Moscu, Ricardo Borsoi, Cédric Richard

ICASSP 2020

May 2020

M. Moscu, R. Borsoi, C. Richard

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

| Structure |  | OBSERVATOIRE<br>DELA COTE D'AZUR<br>UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D'AZUR |
|-----------|--|--|



Problem definition and optimization





▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQで

M. Moscu, R. Borsoi, C. Richard

| Introduction |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|
|              |  |  |
| Motivation   |  | B OBSERVATOIRE<br>UNIVERSITE COTE D'AZUR |

- data are abundant and diverse, and are often supported at irregular domains that can be naturally modeled as graphs
- most graph signal processing algorithms assume prior knowledge of the graph structure
- examples where topology needs to be inferred from data include brain networks, gene regulation systems [1] or social and economical interactions [2]



Figure 1: Potential brain network. (Sapien Labs)

| Introduction |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|
| 000          |  |  |
|              |  |  |
|              |  |  |

### Definitions and notations







### Definitions

- graph  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$
- $\mathcal{N}$  set of N+1 nodes
- $\mathcal{E}$  set of edges; if m and n are linked,  $(m, n) \in \mathcal{E}$
- adjacency matrix A [3, 4]
  - $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  matrix
  - $a_{nm}$  is 0 if  $(m, n) \notin \mathcal{E}$ , 1 otherwise
  - encodes the underlying graph connectivity
- signal  $\boldsymbol{y}(i) \triangleq [y_1(i), \dots, y_{N+1}(i)]^\top$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ - 필 - 釣�?

M. Moscu, R. Borsoi, C. Richard

| Introduction |  |   |
|--------------|--|---|
|              |  |   |
| Background   |  | OBSERVATOIRE<br>DE LA COTE D'ATUR<br>UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D'AZUR |

### Goal

Estimating the graph topology encoded in a (possibly directed) adjacency matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$  from online nodal measurements  $\boldsymbol{y}(i) = [y_1(i), \ldots, y_{N+1}(i)]^\top$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$  acquired over  $\mathcal{G}$ 

- The dynamic graph signal y(i) can denote, e.g., the electrical activity of different brain-regions [5, 6], or the voltage angle per bus [7]
- The signal at each node  $y_n(i)$  influences and is influenced by the signals at the other nodes  $(y_m(i), m \in N \setminus \{n\})$

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●



However, nonlinear interactions are being reported in many applications (e.g., in brain connectivity [8, 9]) and call for more general models.

Recent methods have considered models of the form [10]:

$$y_n(i) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{n\}} a_{nm} f_m(y_m(i)) + v_n(i) , \qquad (1)$$

#### where

- v<sub>n</sub>(i) represents innovation noise
- $a_{nm}$  is the  $(n,m)^{\text{th}}$  entry of the graph adjacency matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$
- $f_m$  is a nonlinear function

# For ease of exposition, we consider $f_m$ can be a memory-less function, without loss of generality.



Using model (1), the topology estimation problem can formulated using all available measurements ( $y_n(\ell)$  for  $\ell \leq i$ ) as:

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{a}_{n},f_{1},\ldots,f_{N}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i} \left\| y_{n}(\ell) - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{n\}} a_{nm} f_{m}(y_{m}(\ell)) \right\|^{2} + \vartheta(\boldsymbol{a}_{n})$$
subject to  $a_{nm} \in \{0,1\}$ , (2)

where  $a_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  row of A, and function  $\vartheta$  is a sparsity promoting regularization (e.g.,  $L_0$  or  $L_1$  (semi)-norm).

However, problem (2) is difficult to solve: it is non-convex, and has infinite dimensional decision variables  $f_m$ .

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

크



To obtain an efficient algorithm without sacrificing representation power, we:

- denote  $\phi_{nm} = a_{nm} f_m$ , which allows us to incorporate the binary variable  $a_{nm}$  and turn (2) into a problem that is quadratic in  $\phi_{nm}$ .
- constrain φ<sub>nm</sub>, for m ∈ N \ {n}, to a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) H<sub>κ</sub> associated with a positive definite reproducing kernel κ(·, ·).
- Thus,  $a_{nm} = 0$  becomes equivalent to  $\|\phi_{nm}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}} = 0$ .

The optimization problem becomes:

$$\underset{\substack{\phi_{nm}\in\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}\\m=1,\ldots,N}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i} \left\| y_{n}(\ell) - \sum_{m\in\mathcal{N}\setminus\{n\}} \phi_{nm}(y_{m}(\ell)) \right\|^{2} + \sum_{m\in\mathcal{N}\setminus\{n\}} \psi_{\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}}(\|\phi_{nm}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}}),$$
(3)

where  $\psi_{\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}} : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty[$  is a non-decreasing function.



The representer theorem [11] implies that the solution to (3) admits a finite-dimensional representation:

$$\phi_{nm}^{*}(\cdot) = \sum_{p=1}^{i} \alpha_{nmp} \kappa_{m}(\cdot, y_{m}(p)), \quad m = 1, \dots, N, \quad \alpha_{nmp} \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (4)

However, the number of coefficients  $\{\alpha_{nmp}\}$  increases with *i*, which is a problem for online processing.



A solution to this problem is to consider sparse kernel dictionaries  $\mathcal{D}_m$ :

### Kernel dictionary and sparsification rule

- each node *m* in the network creates, updates, and stores a dictionary of kernel functions,  $\mathcal{D}_m = \{\kappa_m(\cdot, y_m(\omega_j)) : \omega_j \in \mathcal{I}_m^i \subset \{1, \dots, i-1\}\}$
- a candidate kernel function κ(·, y<sub>m</sub>(i)) is added in D<sub>m</sub> if the following sparsification condition holds [12]:

$$\max_{\nu_j \in \mathcal{I}_m^i} |\kappa(y_m(i), y_m(\omega_j))| \le \xi_m,$$
(5)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

where  $\xi_m \in [0, 1]$  determines the level of sparsity and coherence [12]

• the size of the dictionary remains bounded as  $i \to \infty$ 

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation



variables  $\{\alpha_{nm\omega_j}\}_{\omega_j\in\mathcal{I}_m^i}$ ,  $m\in\mathcal{N}\setminus\{n\}$ , can be promoted by using a block-sparse regularization [13]:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{*} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| y_{n}(i) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\top} \tilde{\boldsymbol{k}}(i) \right\|^{2} + \eta_{n} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{n\}} \| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{nm} \|_{2}, \tag{6}$$

where we considered the online version of the batch cost function (6) with the instantaneous MSE estimate (measured only at instant *i*), and block vectors  $\alpha_n$  and  $\tilde{k}(i)$  are defined as:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{k}}(i) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{k}_{1}^{\top}(i), \dots, \boldsymbol{k}_{N}^{\top}(i) \end{bmatrix}^{\top}, \quad \boldsymbol{k}_{m}(i) = \operatorname{col}\{k_{m}(y_{m}(i), y_{m}(\omega_{j}))\}_{\omega_{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{m}^{i}}, \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n1}^{\top}, \dots, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{nN}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}^{\top}, \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{nm} = \operatorname{col}\{\alpha_{nm\omega_{j}}\}_{\omega_{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{m}^{i}}.$$
(7)

M. Moscu, R. Borsoi, C. Richard

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

(日) (同) (目) (日) (日)



Using the subgradient descent algorithm [14] leads to the update:

Update rule

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_n(i+1) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_n(i) + \mu_n \tilde{\boldsymbol{k}}(i) [y_n(i) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{k}}^\top(i) \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_n(i)] - \mu_n \eta_n \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_n(i) .$$
(8)

with  $\Gamma_n(i) = [\Gamma_{n1}^{\top}(i), \dots, \Gamma_{nN}^{\top}(i)]^{\top}$  [14], where each block  $\Gamma_{nm}(i)$  is:

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{nm}(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{nm}(i)}{\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{mn}(i)\|_2} & \text{if } \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{mn}(i)\|_2 \neq 0\\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{mn}(i)\|_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$
(9)

Edge identification

Set  $\hat{a}_{nm}(i)$  to 1 if  $\|\hat{\alpha}_{nm}(i)\| \ge \tau_n$ , to 0 otherwise

M. Moscu, R. Borsoi, C. Richard

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ○ ●



The used data come from a 39-year-old female subject suffering from intractable epilepsy [15]. The data-set contains 8 instances of electrocorticography (ECoG) time series, each instance representing one seizure and contains voltage measurements from 76 different regions on and inside the brain, during:

- the 10 seconds before the epilepsy seizure (preictal interval)
- the first 10 seconds during the seizure (ictal interval)

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

æ



Figure 2: Estimated adjacency matrices (left) and summed in- and out-degrees for the estimated graphs (right). The larger the radius corresponding to node n, the larger the summed degree of node n.



We used electroencephalography (EEG) measurements [16] taken from a group of six subjects, half of which are healthy and half suffer from schizophrenia. A simple button-pressing task is set up, in three separate settings where subjects either:

- Task 1: pressed the button and a tone was immediately played
- Task 2: listened to the tone without the button press
- Task 3: pressed the button and the tone was not played

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

æ

|            | Experiments |              |  |
|------------|-------------|--------------|--|
|            |             |              |  |
| Cabinandar |             | UNIVERSITÉ 🎎 |  |

CÔTE D'AZUR

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

### Schizophrenia dataset results



Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

ъ

|           |                     | Concluding remarks |                   |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
|           |                     |                    |                   |
| Conclusio | on and perspectives | UNIVERSITÉ         | DE LA COTE D'AZUR |

### Conclusion

- online adaptive graph topology algorithm
- the use of kernels allows for inferring nonlinear relationships
- kernel dictionaries mitigate the increasing number of data points inherently present in an online setting
- consistent results on real data

### Perspectives

- the use of multi-kernels
- the use of other sparsity-inducing techniques

3

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

|        |  |   |  | References   |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
|        |  |   |  |  |
| Refere | ences I  |   |  | OBSERVATOIRE<br>DE LA COTE D'AZUR<br>UNIVERSITE COTE DAZUR |
| [1]    | The International HapMap Consortium.<br>A second generation human haplotype map of over 3.1<br><i>Nature</i> , 449:851 EP -, 10 2007.  | million SNPs.   |  |  |
| [2]    | U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.<br>The use of commodities by industries.<br>https://apps.bea.gov/ITable/iTable.cfm?re<br>Accessed: 07/05/2019.   | qid=52&step=3&isuri=1.                                |  |  |
| [3]    | D. I. Shuman, S. K. Narang, P. Frossard, A. Ortega, and<br>The emerging field of signal processing on graphs: Extend<br>domains.<br><i>IEEE Signal Processing Magazine</i> , 30(3):83–98, 2013.      | I P. Vandergheynst.<br>ending high-dimensional data a | analysis to networks and other irregular |  |
| [4]    | N. Biggs.<br><i>Algebraic Graph Theory.</i><br>Cambridge University Press, 1993.   |   |  |  |
| [5]    | Mikail Rubinov and Olaf Sporns.<br>Complex network measures of brain connectivity: uses<br><i>Neuroimage</i> , 52(3):1059–1069, 2010.  | and interpretations.                                  |  |  |
| [6]    | Yanning Shen, Brian Baingana, and Georgios B Gianna<br>Nonlinear structural vector autoregressive models for int<br>arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.06551, 2016.   | kis.<br>ferring effective brain network (             | connectivity.                            |  |
| [7]    | L. Zhang, G. Wang, and G. B. Giannakis.<br>Going beyond linear dependencies to unveil connectivity<br>In <i>Proc. IEEE International Workshop on Computational</i><br>Curaçao, Dutch Antilles, 2017. | y of meshed grids.<br>I Advances in Multi-Sensor Ad   | aptive Processing (CAMSAP), pages 1      | -5,  |
| [8]    | W. J. Freeman.<br>EEG analysis gives model of neuronal template-matchin<br><i>Biological cybernetics</i> , 35(4):221–234, 1979.  | ng mechanism for sensory sea                          | rch with olfactory bulb.                 |  |
|        |  |   | <ul> <li>(日) (四) (문) (문)</li> </ul>      | E • ● Q ()   |

M. Moscu, R. Borsoi, C. Richard

| Introduction | Problem definition and optimization  | Experiments<br>0000                                    | Concluding remarks<br>O | References<br>•      |
|--------------|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Refere       | ences II   |  |                         | UNVERSITE COTE DAZUR |
| [9]          | J. A. de Zwart, P. van Gelderen, J. M. Jansma, M. Fukun<br>Hemodynamic nonlinearities affect BOLD fMRI response<br>Neuroimage, 47(4):1649–1658, 2009.                      | aga, M. Bianciardi, and J. H.<br>timing and amplitude. | Duyn.                   |                      |
| [10]         | Yanning Shen, Brian Baingana, and Georgios B Giannak<br>Kernel-based structural equation models for topology ide<br>IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 65(10):2503–2: | is.<br>Intification of directed networ<br>516, 2017.   | ks.                     |                      |
| [11]         | B. Schölkopf, R. Herbrich, and A. J. Smola.<br>A generalized representer theorem.<br>In International conference on computational learning the                             | eory, pages 416–426. Spring                            | er, 2001.               |                      |
| [12]         | C. Richard, JC. M. Bermudez, and P. Honeine.<br>Online prediction of time series data with kernels.<br>IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 57(3):1058–10               | 67, 2009.  |                         |                      |
| [13]         | M. Yuan and Y. Lin.<br>Model selection and estimation in regression with groupe<br>Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statisti                            | ed variables.<br>ical Methodology), 68(1):49–          | 67, 2006.               |                      |
| [14]         | D. Jin, J. Chen, C. Richard, and J. Chen.<br>Adaptive parameters adjustement for group reweighted z<br>In Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), I              | ero-attracting LMS.<br>Proc. 2018 IEEE Internationa    | al Conference on.       |                      |
| [15]         | M. Kramer, E. D. Kolaczyk, and H. Kirsch.  |  |                         |                      |

- Emergent network topology at seizure onset in humans. Epilepsy research, 79:173–86, 2008.
- [16] J. M. Ford, V. A. Palzes, B. J. Roach, and D. H. Mathalon. Did I do that? Abnormal predictive processes in schizophrenia when button pressing to deliver a tone. *Schizophrenia bulletin*, 40(4):804–812, 2013.

M. Moscu, R. Borsoi, C. Richard

Online Graph Topology Inference with Kernels for Brain Connectivity Estimation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ → □ ● の Q ()