

Stability of Graph Neural Networks to Relative Perturbations

Fernando Gama, Joan Bruna, and Alejandro Ribeiro Dept. of Electrical and Systems Engineering University of Pennsylvania

Supported by NSF CCF 1717120, ARO W911NF1710438, ARL DCIST CRA W911NF-17-2-0181, ISTC-WAS and Intel DevCloud

May 8, 2020 45th Int. Conf. Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2020)



► Graphs are models of signal structure ⇒ Network data ⇒ Leverage in learning from network data



Robot coordination



Smart grids



Remote sensing



Traffic coordination



► Graphs are models of signal structure ⇒ Network data ⇒ Leverage in learning from network data



Robot coordination



Smart grids



Remote sensing



Traffic coordination

- ► Scalability ⇒ Process data from arbitrarily large networks
- **Exploit data structure**, local information \Rightarrow Fast training and moderate dataset size
- Distributed computations ⇒ Efficient implementation



- \Rightarrow Graph Signal Processing \Rightarrow Mathematical framework
- \Rightarrow Graph convolutions \Rightarrow Local, distributed \Rightarrow Generalize time convolutions



- \Rightarrow Graph Signal Processing \Rightarrow Mathematical framework
- \Rightarrow Graph convolutions \Rightarrow Local, distributed \Rightarrow Generalize time convolutions
- **Equivariance and stability** \Rightarrow Transferability and scalability
 - \Rightarrow Permutation equivariance \Rightarrow Exploit structure
 - \Rightarrow Stability to changes in the underlying network



- \Rightarrow Graph Signal Processing \Rightarrow Mathematical framework
- \Rightarrow Graph convolutions \Rightarrow Local, distributed \Rightarrow Generalize time convolutions
- ► Equivariance and stability ⇒ Transferability and scalability
 - \Rightarrow Permutation equivariance \Rightarrow Exploit structure
 - \Rightarrow Stability to changes in the underlying network

Stability to Perturbations

A small change in the graph support causes a small change in the output of the GNN



Permutation Equivariance

Stability to Perturbations

Insights and Discussion

Illustrative Example: Recommendation Systems

Conclusions



Permutation Equivariance

Stability to Perturbations

Insights and Discussion

Illustrative Example: Recommendation Systems

Conclusions



• Graph convolution \Rightarrow Linear combination of shifted versions of the signal x

$$\mathbf{x} \ast \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{x}_{n-k}$$





• Graph convolution \Rightarrow Linear combination of shifted versions of the signal x

$$\mathbf{x} \ast \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{x}_{n-k}$$

▶ Notion of shift **S** ⇒ Matrix description of graph (adjacency, Laplacian)









$$\mathbf{x} *_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}$$

▶ Notion of shift $S \Rightarrow$ Matrix description of graph \Rightarrow Sx shifts the signal x







• Graph convolution \Rightarrow Linear combination of shifted versions of the signal x

$$\mathbf{x} *_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}$$

▶ Notion of shift $S \Rightarrow$ Matrix description of graph $\Rightarrow Sx$ shifts the signal x







• Graph convolution \Rightarrow Linear combination of shifted versions of the signal x

$$\mathbf{x} *_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}$$

▶ Notion of shift $S \Rightarrow$ Matrix description of graph $\Rightarrow Sx$ shifts the signal x





• Graph convolution \Rightarrow Linear combination of shifted versions of the signal x

$$\mathbf{x} *_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}$$

▶ Notion of shift $S \Rightarrow$ Matrix description of graph \Rightarrow Sx shifts the signal x





• Graph convolution \Rightarrow Linear combination of shifted versions of the signal x

$$\mathbf{x} *_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}$$

▶ Notion of shift $S \Rightarrow$ Matrix description of graph \Rightarrow Sx shifts the signal x





• Graph convolution \Rightarrow Linear combination of shifted versions of the signal

$$\mathbf{x} *_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{x}$$

- ▶ Notion of shift $S \Rightarrow$ Matrix description of graph (adjacency, Laplacian)
- ► Linear combination of neighboring signal ⇒ Local operation





- Cascade of L layers
 - \Rightarrow Graph convolutions with filters $\mathcal{H} = \{\boldsymbol{h}_\ell\}$
 - \Rightarrow Pointwise nonlinearity (activation functions)





- Cascade of L layers
 - \Rightarrow Graph convolutions with filters $\mathcal{H} = \{\boldsymbol{h}_\ell\}$
 - \Rightarrow Pointwise nonlinearity (activation functions)





- Cascade of L layers
 - \Rightarrow Graph convolutions with filters $\mathcal{H} = \{\boldsymbol{h}_\ell\}$
 - \Rightarrow Pointwise nonlinearity (activation functions)
- ► The GNN $\Phi(x; \mathbf{S}, \mathcal{H})$ depends on the filters \mathcal{H}
 - \Rightarrow Learn filter taps ${\cal H}$ from training data
 - \Rightarrow Also depends on the graph ${\bf S}$



- Cascade of L layers
 - \Rightarrow Graph convolutions with filters $\mathcal{H} = \{\boldsymbol{h}_\ell\}$
 - \Rightarrow Pointwise nonlinearity (activation functions)
- ► The GNN $\Phi(x; S, H)$ depends on the filters H
 - \Rightarrow Learn filter taps ${\cal H}$ from training data
 - \Rightarrow Also depends on the graph ${\bf S}$
- Nonlinear mapping $\Phi(x; S, H)$
 - \Rightarrow Exploit underlying graph structure S
 - \Rightarrow Local information
 - \Rightarrow **Distributed** implementation





Permutation Equivariance

Stability to Perturbations

Insights and Discussion

Illustrative Example: Recommendation Systems

Conclusions



- ► Time convolutions are intuitive. Graph convolutions not so much.
 ⇒ Local information, efficient implementation (distributed)
- ► CNNs are good at machine learning ⇒ Translation equivariant, stable [Mallat '12]
- ▶ Permutation equivariance ⇒ Exploit internal symmetries of the graph
- **Stability** to graph perturbations \Rightarrow Similar graphs yield similar outputs
- ▶ Permutation Equivariance + Stablity ⇒ Scalability and transferability



• Consider the graph convolution operator $H(S)x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k S^k x$

b Depends on filter parameters $\mathbf{h} = \{h_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and shift operator **S**; applied to the input signal **x**



• Consider the graph convolution operator $H(S)x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k S^k x$

• Depends on filter parameters $\mathbf{h} = \{h_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and shift operator **S**; applied to the input signal **x**

Theorem

Graph convolutions are equivariant to permutations. For graphs with permuted shift operators $\hat{S} = P^T SP$ and permuted graph signals $\hat{x} = P^T x$ it holds

 $\mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{x}$

$$\mathbf{Proof} \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^k \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \, (\mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{P})^k \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \, \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x} \right) = \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{x}$$



• Consider the graph convolution operator $H(S)x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k S^k x$

• Depends on filter parameters $\mathbf{h} = \{h_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and shift operator **S**; applied to the input signal **x**

Theorem

Graph convolutions are equivariant to permutations. For graphs with permuted shift operators $\hat{S} = P^T SP$ and permuted graph signals $\hat{x} = P^T x$ it holds

 $\mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{x}$

$$\mathbf{Proof} \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^k \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \, (\mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{P})^k \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \, \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x} \right) = \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{x}$$

► GNN \Rightarrow Graph convolution + Pointwise nonlinearity \Rightarrow Pointwise does not mix node values \Rightarrow GNN retains permutation equivariance $\Rightarrow \Phi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}; \hat{\mathbf{S}}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{S}, \mathcal{H})$

Signal processing with graph neural networks is independent of labeling



- Invariance to node relabelings allows GNNs to exploit internal symmetries of graph signals
- Although different, signals on (a) and (b) are permutations of one other
 - \Rightarrow Permutation equivariance means that the GNN can learn to classify (b) from seeing (a)



 \blacktriangleright Permutation Equivariance is not a good idea in all problems $\ \Rightarrow$ Edge-Variant GNNs

Isufi, Gama, Ribeiro, "EdgeNets: Edge Varying Graph Neural Networks", arXiv:2001.07620, 2020



Permutation Equivariance

Stability to Perturbations

Insights and Discussion

Illustrative Example: Recommendation Systems

Conclusions



- Permutation equivariance is a property of graph convolutions inherited to GNNs
 - \Rightarrow Exploits data structure (internal symmetries of the graph)
- Why choose GNNs over graph convolutions?
 - \Rightarrow Q1: What is good about pointwise nonlinearities?
 - \Rightarrow Q2: What is wrong with linear graph convolutions?



- Permutation equivariance is a property of graph convolutions inherited to GNNs
 - \Rightarrow Exploits data structure (internal symmetries of the graph)
- Why choose GNNs over graph convolutions?
 - \Rightarrow Q1: What is good about pointwise nonlinearities?
 - \Rightarrow Q2: What is wrong with linear graph convolutions?
- ► A2: They can be unstable to perturbations of the graph if we push their discriminative power
- ► A1: They make GNNs stable to perturbations while retaining discriminability



- Permutation equivariance is a property of graph convolutions inherited to GNNs
 - \Rightarrow Exploits data structure (internal symmetries of the graph)
- Why choose GNNs over graph convolutions?
 - \Rightarrow Q1: What is good about pointwise nonlinearities?
 - \Rightarrow Q2: What is wrong with linear graph convolutions?
- ► A2: They can be unstable to perturbations of the graph if we push their discriminative power
- ► A1: They make GNNs stable to perturbations while retaining discriminability
- ► These questions can be answered with an analysis in the **spectral domain**



• Graph convolution is a polynomial on the shift operator
$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{y} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}$$



- Graph convolution is a polynomial on the shift operator $\Rightarrow \mathbf{y} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}$
- Decompose operator as $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}}$ to write the spectral representation of the graph convolution

$$\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}h_{k}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}})^{k}\mathbf{x} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}h_{k}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{k}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$

> where we have used the graph Fourier transform (GFT) definitions $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{V}^{H}\mathbf{x}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{V}^{H}\mathbf{y}$



- Graph convolution is a polynomial on the shift operator $\Rightarrow \mathbf{y} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{x}$
- Decompose operator as $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}}$ to write the spectral representation of the graph convolution

$$\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}h_{k}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}})^{k}\mathbf{x} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}h_{k}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{k}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$

- **>** where we have used the graph Fourier transform (GFT) definitions $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{V}^{H}\mathbf{x}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{V}^{H}\mathbf{y}$
- Graph convolution is a pointwise operation in the spectral domain

$$\tilde{y}_i = \tilde{h}(\lambda_i) \cdot \tilde{x}_i$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Determined by the (graph) frequency response $\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \lambda_i^k = \tilde{h}(\lambda_i)$



• We can reinterpret the frequency response as a polynomial on continuous $\lambda \Rightarrow \tilde{h}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \lambda^k$



Frequency response is the same no matter the graph \Rightarrow It's instantiated on its particular spectrum



• We can reinterpret the frequency response as a polynomial on continuous $\lambda \Rightarrow \tilde{h}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \lambda^k$



Frequency response is the same no matter the graph \Rightarrow It's instantiated on its particular spectrum



• We can reinterpret the frequency response as a polynomial on continuous $\lambda \Rightarrow \tilde{h}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \lambda^k$



Frequency response is the same no matter the graph \Rightarrow It's instantiated on its particular spectrum



• Let $h(\lambda)$ be the frequency response of filter **H**. We say **H** is integral Lipschitz if $|\lambda h'(\lambda)| \leq C$



▶ Integral Lipschitz filters have to be wide for large $\lambda \Rightarrow$ They cannot discriminate

• But they can be thin for low $\lambda \Rightarrow$ They can discriminate. Arbitrarily discriminate



▶ Relative distance between S and $\hat{S} \Rightarrow$ Smallest matrix E that maps S into a permutation of \hat{S}

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \mathbf{E} : \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{E}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{E} \right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(\mathbf{S}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}) = \min_{\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}} \|\mathbf{E}\| \le \frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{S}} - \mathbf{S}\|}{\|\mathbf{S}\|}$$



▶ Relative distance between S and $\hat{S} \Rightarrow$ Smallest matrix E that maps S into a permutation of \hat{S}

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \mathbf{E} : \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{E}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{E} \right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(\mathbf{S}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}) = \min_{\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}} \|\mathbf{E}\| \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}\|}{\|\mathbf{S}\|}$$

Theorem

Consider a GNN with L layers having integral Lipschitz filter H_{ℓ} with constant C. Graphs S and \hat{S} satisfy $d(S, \hat{S}) \leq \epsilon/2$. The matrix E that achieves minimum distance satisfies $||E/||E|| - I|| \leq \epsilon$. It holds that for all signals x

$$\min_{\mathsf{P} \in \mathcal{D}} \|\Phi(\mathsf{x}; \hat{\mathsf{S}}, \mathcal{H}) - \mathsf{P}^{\top} \Phi(\mathsf{x}; \mathsf{S}, \mathcal{H}) \| \leq CL \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

GNNs can be made stable to graph perturbations if filters are integral Lipschitz



Permutation Equivariance

Stability to Perturbations

Insights and Discussion

Illustrative Example: Recommendation Systems

Conclusions



- ▶ The GNN stability theorem is elementary to prove for an edge dilation $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{S}} = (1 + \varepsilon)\mathbf{S}$
- An edge dilation just produces a spectrum dilation $\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_i = (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda_i$, $\mathbb{E} = (\varepsilon/2)\mathbb{I}$



Small deformations may result in large filter variations for large λ if filter is not integral Lipschitz



- ▶ The GNN stability theorem is elementary to prove for an edge dilation $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{S}} = (1 + \varepsilon)\mathbf{S}$
- An edge dilation just produces a spectrum dilation $\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_i = (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda_i$, $\mathbb{E} = (\varepsilon/2)\mathbb{I}$



Small deformations may result in large filter variations for large λ if filter is not integral Lipschitz



- ▶ The GNN stability theorem is elementary to prove for an edge dilation $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{S}} = (1 + \varepsilon)\mathbf{S}$
- An edge dilation just produces a spectrum dilation $\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_i = (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda_i$, $\mathbb{E} = (\varepsilon/2)\mathbb{I}$



Integral Lipschitz is always stable \Rightarrow Eigenvalue does not move or filter does not move



- ▶ The GNN stability theorem is elementary to prove for an edge dilation $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{S}} = (1 + \varepsilon)\mathbf{S}$
- An edge dilation just produces a spectrum dilation $\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_i = (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda_i$, $\mathbb{E} = (\varepsilon/2)\mathbb{I}$



▶ Integral Lipschitz is always stable ⇒ Eigenvalue does not move or filter does not move



- Q2: What is wrong with linear graph convolutions?
- Cannot be simultaneously stable to deformations and discriminate features at large eigenvalues



Limits their value in machine learning problems where features at large eigenvalues are important



- Q2: What is wrong with linear graph convolutions?
- Cannot be simultaneously stable to deformations and discriminate features at large eigenvalues



Limits their value in machine learning problems where features at large eigenvalues are important



- Q2: What is wrong with linear graph convolutions?
- Cannot be simultaneously stable to deformations and discriminate features at large eigenvalues



Limits their value in machine learning problems where features at large eigenvalues are important



- Q2: What is wrong with linear graph convolutions?
- Cannot be simultaneously stable to deformations and discriminate features at large eigenvalues



▶ Limits their value in machine learning problems where features at large eigenvalues are important

- Q1: What is good about pointwise nonlinearities?
- Preserve permutation equivariance while generating low graph frequency components
 - \Rightarrow Which we can discriminate with stable filters



Spectrum of rectified graph signal

 $\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{relu}} = \mathsf{max}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$

▶ The nonlinearity demodulates. It creates low frequency content that is stable



- Q1: What is good about pointwise nonlinearities?
- Preserve permutation equivariance while generating low graph frequency components
 - \Rightarrow Which we can discriminate with stable filters



Spectrum of rectified graph signal

 $\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{relu}} = \mathsf{max}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$

▶ The nonlinearity demodulates. It creates low frequency content that is stable





- Q1: What is good about pointwise nonlinearities?
- Preserve permutation equivariance while generating low graph frequency components ⇒ Which we can discriminate with stable filters

GNNs are **stable** and **selective** information processing architectures

▶ The nonlinearity demodulates. It creates low frequency content that is stable



Permutation Equivariance

Stability to Perturbations

Insights and Discussion

Illustrative Example: Recommendation Systems

Conclusions

Example: Movie Recommendation Systems



- Movie recommendation problem \Rightarrow Each node is a movie, each edge is the rating similarity
- \blacktriangleright Rating similarities estimated from training set \Rightarrow Changing training set changes graph



GNN trained with integral Lipschitz filters is more stable to graph estimation errors

Gama, Isufi, Leus, Ribeiro, "Graphs, Convolutions, and Neural Networks", arXiv:2003.03777, 2020

Gama, Tolstaya, Ribeiro, "Graph Neural Networks for Decentralized Controllers", arXiv:2003.10280, 2020



Permutation Equivariance

Stability to Perturbations

Insights and Discussion

Illustrative Example: Recommendation Systems

Conclusions



- Successful learning on graphs \Rightarrow Scalability, exploit data structure, distributed implementation
- ► Graph neural networks (GNNs) ⇒ Graph convolutions followed by pointwise nonlinearities
- GNNs are permutation equivariant and stable to changes in the graph \Rightarrow Scale, transfer
- Graph convolutions are either stable or selective, but cannot be both
- ▶ Nonlinearities ⇒ GNNs are both stable and selective information processing architectures
- Movie recommendation \Rightarrow Stable to estimation errors in the rating similarity

Journal version:

Gama, Bruna, Ribeiro, "Stability Properties of Graph Neural Networks", arXiv:1905.04497, 2020.

Thank You!