# On Throughput of Millimeterwave MIMO Systems with Low Resolution ADCs

Abbas Khalili<sup>†</sup>, Shahram Shahsavari<sup>¢</sup>, Farhad Shirani<sup>†</sup>, Elza Erkip<sup>†</sup>. Yonina C. Eldar $\stackrel{\text{tr}}{\sim}$ 

<sup>†</sup>New York University, <sup>()</sup> University of Waterloo, ☆ Weizmann Institute of Science

ICASSP 2020







## Motivation

- MmWave and Massive MIMO
  - Large antenna arrays {Spatial multiplexing Beamforming



## Motivation

- MmWave and Massive MIMO
  - Large antenna arrays {Spatial multiplexing Beamforming
  - High power consumption



#### **Conventional receivers**

- One RF chain per antenna.
  - Fully digital receiver.
- High resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  High power consumption ( $\propto 2^{bits}$ )

#### **Conventional receivers**

- One RF chain per antenna.
  - Fully digital receiver.
- High resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  High power consumption ( $\propto 2^{bits}$ )

#### Solution

- Small number of RF chains.
  - Hybrid/analog receiver.
- Low resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  Low power consumption

#### **Conventional receivers**

- One RF chain per antenna.
  - Fully digital receiver.
- High resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  High power consumption ( $\propto 2^{bits}$ )

#### Solution

- Small number of RF chains.
  - Hybrid/analog receiver.
- Low resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  Low power consumption

# MIMO receivers equipped with a (small) number of one-bit ADCs:

#### **Conventional receivers**

- One RF chain per antenna.
  - Fully digital receiver.
- High resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  High power consumption ( $\propto 2^{bits}$ )

#### Solution

- Small number of RF chains.
  - Hybrid/analog receiver.
- Low resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  Low power consumption

MIMO receivers equipped with a (small) number of one-bit ADCs:

What performance can we achieve in practical mmWave systems?

#### **Conventional receivers**

- One RF chain per antenna.
  - Fully digital receiver.
- High resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  High power consumption ( $\propto 2^{bits}$ )

#### Solution

- Small number of RF chains.
  - Hybrid/analog receiver.
- Low resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  Low power consumption

MIMO receivers equipped with a (small) number of one-bit ADCs:

- What performance can we achieve in practical mmWave systems?
- How much loss do we have using small number of one-bit ADCs?

#### **Conventional receivers**

- One RF chain per antenna.
  - Fully digital receiver.
- High resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  High power consumption ( $\propto 2^{bits}$ )

#### Solution

- Small number of RF chains.
  - Hybrid/analog receiver.
- Low resolution ADCs.
- $\Rightarrow$  Low power consumption

MIMO receivers equipped with a (small) number of one-bit ADCs:

- What performance can we achieve in practical mmWave systems?
- e How much loss do we have using small number of one-bit ADCs?
- O How much loss do we have due to channel estimation?

- MmWave downlink
- Data rate with small number of one-bit ADCs is close to that of the fully digital receiver with high resolution ADCs.
- Channel estimation with one-bit ADCs does not degrade the data rate much.

- Mo, Alkhatib, Abu-surra, Heath, TWC 2017.
  - Hybrid receiver with few bit ADCs.
  - Achievable rates with channel inversion and SVD.

- Mo, Alkhatib, Abu-surra, Heath, TWC 2017.
  - Hybrid receiver with few bit ADCs.
  - Achievable rates with channel inversion and SVD.
- Rini, Barletta, Eldar, Erkip, ITW 2017.
  - A general framework for MIMO receivers with one-bit ADCs.
  - Bounds on capacity for several receivers.

- Mo, Alkhatib, Abu-surra, Heath, TWC 2017.
  - Hybrid receiver with few bit ADCs.
  - Achievable rates with channel inversion and SVD.
- Rini, Barletta, Eldar, Erkip, ITW 2017.
  - A general framework for MIMO receivers with one-bit ADCs.
  - Bounds on capacity for several receivers.
- Khalili, Rini, Barletta, Erkip, Eldar, ISIT 2018.
  - Use the general framework introduced in [Rini et. al. '17].
  - Bound the capacity of the system using geometric insights.

- Mo, Alkhatib, Abu-surra, Heath, TWC 2017.
  - Hybrid receiver with few bit ADCs.
  - Achievable rates with channel inversion and SVD.
- Rini, Barletta, Eldar, Erkip, ITW 2017.
  - A general framework for MIMO receivers with one-bit ADCs.
  - Bounds on capacity for several receivers.
- Khalili, Rini, Barletta, Erkip, Eldar, ISIT 2018.
  - Use the general framework introduced in [Rini et. al. '17].
  - Bound the capacity of the system using geometric insights.

- Mo, Alkhatib, Abu-surra, Heath, TWC 2017.
  - Hybrid receiver with few bit ADCs.
  - Achievable rates with channel inversion and SVD.
- Rini, Barletta, Eldar, Erkip, ITW 2017.
  - A general framework for MIMO receivers with one-bit ADCs.
  - Bounds on capacity for several receivers.
- Khalili, Rini, Barletta, Erkip, Eldar, ISIT 2018.
  - Use the general framework introduced in [Rini et. al. '17].
  - Bound the capacity of the system using geometric insights.
- Khalili, Shirani, Erkip, Eldar, ISIT 2019.
  - Blockwise analog receiver
  - Adaptive threshold receiver
  - Optimal high SNR rate

- Khalili, Rini, Barletta, Erkip, Eldar, ISIT 2018.
  - Use the general framework introduced in [Rini et. al. '17].
  - Bound the capacity of the system using geometric insights.
- Khalili, Shirani, Erkip, Eldar, ISIT 2019.
  - Blockwise analog receiver
  - Adaptive threshold receiver
  - Optimal high SNR rate
- This work:
  - Considers the adaptive threshold receiver.
  - Investigates the performance in practical mmWave systems.

## Adaptive Threshold Receiver



 $\mathbf{t}_{(n_q)}^s$ : Static threshold vector  $\mathbf{t}_{(n_q)}^d$ : Dynamic threshold vector • Khalili, Shirani, Erkip, Eldar, *ISIT 2019*.

## Adaptive Threshold Receiver





- Khalili, Shirani, Erkip, Eldar, ISIT 2019.
- Derived closed-form expressions of the achievable rates for all SNRs for point to point, broadcast, and multiple access channels.

### Example: Adaptive Threshold Receiver

For Y(1) = -A and Y(2) = +A we have





- Constructed a two-bit ADC with two one-bit ADCs.
- In general it can construct a *b*-bit ADC with *b* one-bit ADCs.



- Constructed a two-bit ADC with two one-bit ADCs.
- In general it can construct a *b*-bit ADC with *b* one-bit ADCs.
- Constant decoding delay does not affect rate at large blocklenghts.



- Constructed a two-bit ADC with two one-bit ADCs.
- In general it can construct a *b*-bit ADC with *b* one-bit ADCs.
- Constant decoding delay does not affect rate at large blocklenghts.
- Similar effect using successive approximate register ADC.

• Base station and users perform SVD on channel to equivalently create a set of parallel subchannels.

- Base station and users perform SVD on channel to equivalently create a set of parallel subchannels.
- Base station and users allocate their transmit power and one-bit ADCs among the subchannels, respectively.

- Base station and users perform SVD on channel to equivalently create a set of parallel subchannels.
- Base station and users allocate their transmit power and one-bit ADCs among the subchannels, respectively.
- Base station uses time division multiple access.

- Base station and users perform SVD on channel to equivalently create a set of parallel subchannels.
- Base station and users allocate their transmit power and one-bit ADCs among the subchannels, respectively.
- Base station uses time division multiple access.
- For a subchannel which is allocated b one-bit ADCs
  - User process each received signal through *n* time slots by effectively performing *nb*-bit quantization.

- Base station and users perform SVD on channel to equivalently create a set of parallel subchannels.
- Base station and users allocate their transmit power and one-bit ADCs among the subchannels, respectively.
- Base station uses time division multiple access.
- For a subchannel which is allocated b one-bit ADCs
  - User process each received signal through *n* time slots by effectively performing *nb*-bit quantization.
  - The user is actively decoding through all time slots.

- Base station and users perform SVD on channel to equivalently create a set of parallel subchannels.
- Base station and users allocate their transmit power and one-bit ADCs among the subchannels, respectively.
- Base station uses time division multiple access.
- For a subchannel which is allocated b one-bit ADCs
  - User process each received signal through *n* time slots by effectively performing *nb*-bit quantization.
  - The user is actively decoding through all time slots.
  - Base station uses 2<sup>*nb*</sup>-PAM modulation for transmission which maximizes the rate.

Theorem: [Khalili et. al. '19] For *n* user downlink system with perfect CSI, rate tuple  $(R_1, R_2, \dots R_n)$  is achievable if

$$R_j \leq \frac{1}{n} \max \sum_{k=1}^{s_j} I(\widetilde{X}_{j,k}; \widetilde{Y}_{j,k})$$

• 
$$\widetilde{X}_{j,k} = a_{j,k} \left( 2 \widehat{X}_{j,k} - 1 - 2^{nn_{q,j,k}} \right)$$
  
•  $\widetilde{Y}_{j,k} = \sigma_{j,k} \widetilde{X}_{j,k} + N_{j,k}$ 

• 
$$\widehat{X}_{j,k} \sim unif(\{1,2,\cdots,2^{nn_{q,j,k}}\})$$

• 
$$a_{j,k} = \sqrt{\frac{37k}{2^{2nn_{q,j,k}}-1}}$$

•  $\sum_{i \in [s_j]} n_{q,j,i} = n_{q,j}$ 

• 
$$\sum_{i \in [s_j]} P_{j,i} = P$$

 s<sub>j</sub>: Number of non-zero singular values of H<sub>j</sub> • The optimization is equivalent to solving a mixed integer programming problem which is known to be NP-hard.

- The optimization is equivalent to solving a mixed integer programming problem which is known to be NP-hard.
- We consider following low-complexity heuristics:

- The optimization is equivalent to solving a mixed integer programming problem which is known to be NP-hard.
- We consider following low-complexity heuristics:
  - WP-UA (Waterfilling Power/Uniform ADCs): Employs waterfilling power allocation among subchannels and assigns the ADCs to each subchannel uniformly.

- The optimization is equivalent to solving a mixed integer programming problem which is known to be NP-hard.
- We consider following low-complexity heuristics:
  - WP-UA (Waterfilling Power/Uniform ADCs): Employs waterfilling power allocation among subchannels and assigns the ADCs to each subchannel uniformly.
  - UP-UA (Uniform Power/Uniform ADCs): Both the transmit power at the transmitter and ADCs at the receiver are distributed uniformly among the subchannels.

- The optimization is equivalent to solving a mixed integer programming problem which is known to be NP-hard.
- We consider following low-complexity heuristics:
  - WP-UA (Waterfilling Power/Uniform ADCs): Employs waterfilling power allocation among subchannels and assigns the ADCs to each subchannel uniformly.
  - UP-UA (Uniform Power/Uniform ADCs): Both the transmit power at the transmitter and ADCs at the receiver are distributed uniformly among the subchannels.
  - **SP-SA (Selection Diversity)**: Allocates all power and ADCs to the strongest subchannel.

## Simulation Assumptions

- Downlink in a mmWave single-cell system
- Clustered mmWave channel model [Akdeniz et. al. '14]
- Up to 256 QAM modulation (16 PAM per dimension)
- Uniform input distribution
- Perfect channel code
- Perfect channel state information
- Other system parameters:

Parameter	Value
Cell radius Carrier frequency Bandwidth Noise spectral density Noise figure BS antenna User antenna BS transmit power Path loss (LOS) in dB Path loss (NLOS) in dB	10 to 50 m 28 GHz 1 GHz -174 dBm/Hz 6 dB 8x8 uniform planar array 4x4 uniform planar array 30 dBm 61.4 + 20 log <sub>10</sub> (d in m) + $\mathcal{N}(0, 5.8^2)$ 72 + 29.2 log <sub>10</sub> (d in m) + $\mathcal{N}(0, 8.7^2)$ curve (0.0140d in m)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

# Power and ADC allocation



Empirical CDF of the achievable rate of the single link system with perfect channel state information when the receiver is equipped with  $n_a = 8$  one-bit ADCs.

#### Observations

- WP-UA has better performance compared to the other approaches while in the power-limited regime (low rates) SP-SA approach achieves better rate-complexity trade-off.
- WP-UA performs close to the truncated Shannon upperbound implying that using complex optimization for joint power and ADC allocation would only lead to incremental improvements in achievable rates.

## Impact of Channel Estimation

#### Assumptions

- The BS transmits a pilot sequence of length  $n_p = 512$  and the coherence time is  $n_c = 10240$ .
- During pilot transmission users use three one-bit ADCs per dimension (real and imaginary).
- Users perform channel estimation using EM-GAMP algorithm [Mo et. al. '18].
- After estimating the channel, the base station and users configure their transmit power and ADCs using the WP-UA algorithm.

# Multi-user Performance with Channel Estimation



Empirical CDF of the achievable rates of the users for downlink transmission when there are a total of n = 10 users and the users are equipped with  $n_q = 8$  one-bit ADCs for data communication.

#### Observations

- Although we use three one-bit ADCs per dimension (16 × 2 × 3 = 96 in total) during channel estimation, we do not need that many ADCs to achieve near-optimal performance during data transmission.
- The performance loss is small for intermediate and high SNRs, it is larger in the low SNR regime. This is due to the fact that in low SNRs the channel estimation error is high.

- Downlink mmWave with adaptive threshold receiver.
- Using small number of one-bit ADCs and a low-complexity algorithm for power and ADC allocation, achieves data rate close to that of the fully digital receiver with high resolution ADCs.
- Channel estimation with one-bit ADCs does not degrade the data rate much.