Adaptive Matched Filter using Non-Target Free Training Data ICASSP 2020

Aref Miri Rekavandi, Abd-Krim Seghouane, Robin J. Evans

The university of Melbourne

May, 2020

イロン 不得 とうほう イロン 二日

1/11

Outline



- 2 Problem Definition
- 3 Classical Detectors
- Proposed Robust AMF



Model

Observations follow a general linear model (GLM) as

 $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\theta + \mathbf{n}$

where

- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^N$
- $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times t}$ [known]
- $\theta \in \mathbb{C}^t$ [unknown]
- $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{C}^N \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R})$ with unknown covariance

Another K training data denoted by $\{\mathbf{n}_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$ is available for the estimation of covariance.

Problem Definition

Now problem is to decide between

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \begin{cases} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}) \\ \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{n}_k \sim (1 - \epsilon)\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}) + \epsilon \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{R}) \end{cases}$$

 and

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{cases} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{R}) \\ \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{n}_k \sim (1 - \epsilon)\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}) + \epsilon \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{R}) \end{cases}$$

Classical Detectors

GLRT framework for the case of $\epsilon = 0$ (signal free) is

$$\label{eq:GLRT} \mathcal{T}_{GLRT} = \frac{\max_{\theta, \textbf{R}} f_1(\textbf{y}|\textbf{R})}{\max_{\textbf{R}} f_0(\textbf{y}|\textbf{R})},$$

where the likelihood function in both hypotheses are maximized over all unknown parameters. This leads to

$$\mathcal{T}_{GLRT} = \frac{\mathbf{y}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{y}}{1 + \mathbf{y}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{y}},$$

where $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^{\dagger}$ is the sample covariance estimated using training data.

Classical Detectors

Using an ad-hoc approach, first we assume the covariance is known and maximize the likelihood function over θ . At the end, the covariance will be replaced with the sample covariance. This leads to adaptive matched filter (AMF) given by

$$T_{AMF} = \mathbf{y}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

Challenge:



From KL divergece to $\alpha-divergence$

Classical detectors are based on the maximum likelihood solution which in large samples regime $(K \to \infty)$ is equivalent to

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{ML} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \log \left(f(\boldsymbol{y}_i, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right) = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} KL\left(f(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*), f(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right),$$

As an alternative we propose to use the $\alpha-{
m divergence}$ defined as

$$D_lpha\left(g(\mathbf{y},\lambda) \parallel f(\mathbf{y},\omega)
ight) = rac{1}{lpha(lpha-1)}\left[\int g(\mathbf{y},\lambda)^lpha f(\mathbf{y},\omega)^{1-lpha}d\mathbf{y}-1
ight],$$

to develop the test. When $\alpha \to 1$, $D_{\alpha}(.) \to KL(.)$.

Proposed Robust AMF

We adopt the AMF approach. But for estimation of the covariance matrix in second step, we use following expression

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{R}} D_{\alpha} \left(f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{R}), g_{e}(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{y}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{y}_{K}) \right),$$

where $g_e(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{y}_1 \cdots \mathbf{y}_K) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_k)$ is the empirical denisity. The solution gives:

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k} \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^{\dagger},$$

where $w_k = \exp\{-(1-\alpha)\mathbf{y}_k^{\dagger}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{y}_k\}$. This leads to

$$\mathcal{T}_{RAMF} = \mathbf{y}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

Results

In simulation, **R** is an exponentially correlated covariance matrix with one-lag correlation coefficient 0.9, i.e., the (i, j)-th element of **R** is set to $0.9^{|i-j|}$. SNR=25dB, N = 10, K = 40, $\epsilon = 0.15$ and t = 2. Scatter plots of \mathcal{H}_0 (blue) and \mathcal{H}_1 (red) are shown below.



Results

Receiver operating characteristic plot (left) and accuracy of the robust estimator (right) for different ϵ values:



Questions?

Thanks for your attention!