

Adaptive Blind Audio Source Extraction Supervised by Dominant Speaker Identification using X-vectors

ICASSP 2020 - virtual conference

Jakub Janský Jiří Málek





in cooperation with

Jaroslav Čmejla Tomáš Kounovský Zbyněk Koldovský Jindřich Žďánský

A.S.A.P. Group & Speechlab, Institute of Information Technology and Electronics (ITE)

Part I

Introduction





What do we want to do ...

- We have: a mixture of moving audio sources
- We want: to extract the desired source of interest (SOI) with almost no assumptions
 - Blind Source Separation/ Extraction (BSS/BSE)
- Why:
 - Speech enhancement of noisy recordings
 - Speech separation during cross-talk
- In this paper:
 - Adaptive fast converging algorithm for BSE
 - Piloting towards SOI using dominant speaker identification





Blind source separation/extraction

- BSS: Attempts to extract all sources contained in the mixture
- BSE: Extracts only single desired source from the mixture
- Often based on maximizing the independence of the sources
- BSE methods:
 - Independent component extraction (ICE)
 - Maximize independence between SOI and rest of the sources
 - Requires additional solution to component permutation problem
 - Independent vector extraction (IVE)
 - Maximizes independence between SOI and rest of the sources
 - Preserves dependence of frequency components within SOI
 - SOI selected randomly by initialization
 - (Semi-)supervised IVE
 - Introduction of prior information about SOI (e.g., by pilot signal)
 - Allows selection of SOI





Blind Source Separation

Mixing model

Instantaneous mixing model in time-frequency domain with k frequency bin index.





BSS model reduction

Blind Source Extraction

Source Extraction We can decompose \mathbf{A}^k , \mathbf{W}^k , \mathbf{S}^k as follows:

$$\mathbf{W}_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{w}_{k})^{H} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{A}_{k} = (\mathbf{a}_{k}, \mathbf{Q}_{k}) \qquad \mathbf{S}_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}_{k} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

•
$$\mathbf{s}_k = (\mathbf{w}_k)^H \mathbf{X}_k$$
 is an extracted SOI

•
$$\mathbf{Z}_k = (\mathbf{B}_k)^H \mathbf{X}_k$$
 are rest of signals

Goal is estimation of the extraction vector \mathbf{w}^k without computing full \mathbf{W}^k





Part II AuxIVE



IVE

Orthogonal Constraint Independent Vector Extraction

Assumptions:

- $\bullet\,$ Probability distributions of s and Z are independent ,
- Probability distribution of Z is Gaussian,
- Matrix **B** is chosen to be orthogonal to **a**,
- Speech have laplacian distribution $f(\cdot)$
- The relation between **w** and **a** is $\mathbf{w}_k^H \mathbf{a}_k = 1$ Relation \mathbf{w}^k and \mathbf{a}^k is too weak and need to be make stronger by orthogonal constraint (OG):

$$\mathbf{a}_k = rac{\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{x}_k} \mathbf{w}_k}{\mathbf{w}_k^{\mathsf{H}} \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{x}_k} \mathbf{w}_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$





AuxIVE

Optimization by auxiliary function

By including all assumption we can obtain contrast function for estimation extraction vector with respect to OG

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{w}_k) = \mathbb{E}[\log f(\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_K)] - \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_k^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{B}_k^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}_k}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{x}_k] + \log |\det \mathbf{W}_k|^2,$$

We choose to minimize the contrast function using auxiliary function technique. By rewriting ${\cal J}$ we obtain the form

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{w}_k, \mathbf{V}_k) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\mathbf{w}_k)^H \mathbf{V}_k \mathbf{w}_k - \mathrm{E}[\mathbf{x}_k^H \mathbf{B}_k^H \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}_k}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{x}_k] + \log |\det \mathbf{W}_k|^2,$$

which leads to fast and stable converging algorithm.





AuxIVE

block-by-block processing

We assume a moving SOI

• \mathbf{w}_k and \mathbf{V}_k needs to be time-varying

We propose:

- Adaptation using short block of data L_b
- Initialization of \mathbf{w}_k and \mathbf{V}_k by data from previous block k-1
- Utilization of forgetting factor $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ to control adaptation speed

Two approaches:

- Block online AuxIVE: $\alpha = 0$; $L_b > 1$
- Online AuxIVE: $\alpha \in (0, 1]$; $L_b = 1$





AuxIVE

Update Rules (block-by-block processing)

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\ell,i} &= \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{K} |\mathbf{w}_{k,i-1}^{H} \mathbf{x}_{k,\ell}|^{2}} & \text{for } \ell = \ell_{s}, \dots, \ell_{e} \\ \mathbf{V}_{k,i} &= \alpha \mathbf{V}_{k,i-1} + (1-\alpha) \frac{1}{L_{b}} \sum_{\ell=\ell_{s}}^{\ell_{e}} [\varphi(r_{\ell}) \mathbf{x}_{k,\ell} \mathbf{x}_{k,\ell}^{H}], \\ \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{k,i} &= \alpha \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{k,i-1} + (1-\alpha) \frac{1}{L_{b}} \sum_{\ell=\ell_{s}}^{\ell_{e}} \mathbf{x}_{k,\ell} \mathbf{x}_{k,\ell}^{H} \\ \mathbf{a}_{k,i} &= \frac{\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{k,i} \mathbf{w}_{k,i-1}}{\mathbf{w}_{k,i-1}^{H} \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{k,i} \mathbf{w}_{k,i-1}}, \\ \mathbf{w}_{k,i} &= \mathbf{V}_{k,i}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{k,i}, \end{aligned}$$

where α is a forgetting factor; ℓ_s and ℓ_e denote the beginning and the end of the *i*-th block, respectively.



Supervised source extraction

- Due to time-varying activity of the sources, blind AuxIVE extracts arbitrary independent source from the mixture
- **To mitigate:** introduction of **a pilot signal** dependent on SOI
 - Has the effect of soft bounding the solution space in order to guide the separation towards SOI
 - Advantage: Easy introduction into AuxIVE algorithm

$$r_{\ell,i} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{K} |\mathbf{w}_{k,i-1}^{H} \mathbf{x}_{k,\ell}|^2 + \mathbf{P}_{\ell}} \qquad \text{for } \ell = \ell_s, \dots, \ell_e$$

- **Disadvantage:** Difficult estimation for realistic acoustic scenarios
- Several previously proposed approaches (for specific scenarios)
 - Voice activity detection single speaker with background noise
 - Mouth movement detection using video possible for cross-talk, cumbersome to obtain



Part III

Pilot Signal Design using X-vectors







Pilot design using X-vectors

- Advantage: Pilot is usable for both noisy and cross-talk scenario
- **Principle:** Pilot is highly active in frames, which are assigned to the SOI by speaker identification system
- Based on concept of **speaker embeddings:**
 - Embeddings map utterances to fixed-dimensional vectors which encode characteristics of the given speaker
 - Single active speaker usually assumed
 - In this work: identification in the presence of cross-talk is shown possible for dominant speaker (in the sense of energy)
- **X-vector:** Variant of speaker embeddings extracted by Time-delayed neural network (TDNN)
 - Topology for sequence classification, less complicated training compared to recurrent neural networks





X-Vector neural network

- Time-delayed neural network
- Each layer considers context of frames around current frame ℓ
- Input: single-channel, 40 filter bank coefficients (25 ms length, 10 ms shift)
- Target: Classification 1 of N speakers
- Voxceleb2 training dataset $(N \sim 6000 \text{ speakers}) \sim 1000000$ utterances)







X-Vector neural network

Layer	Layer	Total	Input
	context	context	× output
TDNN 1	$\ell\pm50$	101	40 imes 512
TDNN 2-6	$\ell\pm 5$	151	512 imes 512
Fully-conn. 1	l	151	512 imes 128
Pooling	$\ell \pm \frac{L_c-1}{2}$	$max(151, L_c)$	$(L_c \cdot 128) \times 128$
Fully-conn. 2	l	$max(151, L_c)$	128 imes 128
Softmax	_	$max(151, L_c)$	128 imes N

- No frame sub-sampling within contexts
- Mean time-pooling of frames within context
- $L_c = 151$ during the training phase
- X-vectors extracted at the pooling layer



TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF LIBEREC And Interdisciplinary Studies Speaker identification using x-vectors

- **Classifier:** Probabilistic Linear Discriminant Analysis (PLDA)
 - Allows classification of speakers, which are not part of the training set
 - Enrollment set: x-vectors representing speakers, which will be classified during testing
 - Tests a hypothesis that an unknown x-vector corresponds to each of the speakers in the enrollment set
 - **Output:** log-likelihood PLDA score $O(s_i)$ for speaker s_i
 - In this work: During cross-talk, the dominant speaker (from the perspective of energy) corresponds to the highest PLDA score







Pilot signal design

• Oracle: energy-based pilot signal

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{ORAC}}_{\ell} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{K} |\mathbf{X}_{k,\ell}|^2 & \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} |\mathbf{s}_{k,\ell}|^2}{\sum_{j=2}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{K} |\mathbf{z}_{k,\ell}^j|^2} \geq \nu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Proposed: PLDA-score-based pilot signal

$$\mathbf{P}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{XVEC}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{K} |\mathbf{X}_{k,\ell}|^2 & \frac{O(\mathbf{s}_{\ell})}{\max(O(\mathbf{y}_{\ell}^1), \dots, O(\mathbf{y}_{\ell}^M))} \geq \eta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Part IV

Experiments





X-vectors during cross-talk: case study

Comparison of PLDA score(s) and true energy of the active $\mathsf{speaker}(\mathsf{s})$

Setup:

- **Enrollment:** four speakers from the CHiME-4 database (two male, two female)
- **Analyzed signal:** Noiseless mixture of female F01 and male M04
- Mild reverberation added ($T_{60} = 100 \text{ ms}$)
- Global energy of F01 about 2 dB higher
- **TDNN and oracle energy context:** 151 frames (1.5s)





X-vectors during cross-talk: case study

- Dominant speaker is correctly marked by PLDA score in 79.8% of frames
- Second highest PLDA score does not correspond to the second active speaker



- Piloting needs more time-localized information, the TDNN context shortens to 10 frames
- Dominant speaker is correctly marked by PLDA score in 62.4% of frames





Experimental setup

For the experimental evaluation we use

- Closed set of four speakers (2 male and 2 female), two simultaneously active at a time
- One speaker as SOI and different speaker as interference signal (IS) located at two possible different locations
- 5 unique 1 minute utterances for each speaker
- Pedestrian area noise (-10 dB with respect to speech mixture)
- We operate in STFT domain with 512 frequency bins and 160 shift (10ms)
- SOI was moving in semicircle by 40cm/s
- Simulated 5-channel signal in room with $T_{60} = 100 \text{ ms}$
- 600 mixtures with mean input SNR 1.35 dB
 - 6 speaker combinations × 2 speaker roles × 25 utterance combinations × 2 IS positions.





Room setup

- When IS is in position 1, SOI and IS become aligned during movement
- Without pilot, there is a strong possibility that IS is extracted instead of SOI





Methods setup

Tested methods:

- Block online AuxIVE with 100 frames block size and 75 frames block shift
- Online AuxIVE with 1 frame block size and forgetting factor $\alpha = 0.97$

Pilot setup:

- Oracle with $\nu = 0.5$
- X-Vectors pilot with $\eta = \exp(-5)$

Evaluation:

- Improvement in Signal-to-Noise ratio
- Fail cases when iSNR < 1 dB





Improvement in SNR



X-vector-based pilot prevents the unwanted extraction of the IS, especially for the IS located at position $\ensuremath{1}$



Failed cases



X-vector-based pilot prevents the unwanted extraction of the IS, especially for the IS located at position $\ensuremath{1}$



Conclusion

- AuxIVE: blind adaptive and fast converging method for BSE proposed
- Suitable for extraction of moving SOI in the noisy cross-talk scenario
- Extraction of SOI ensured by supervision via pilot signal
- **Pilot:** using x-vectors, frames where SOI is dominant (from the perspective of energy) are identified
- Functionality of the pilot verified for low-reverberation scenarios
- Future work: Investigation of x-vectors in the presence of cross-talk for more reverberant and noisy environments







Thank you for your attention

