DEEP LEARNING FOR ROBUST POWER CONTROL FOR WIRELESS NETWORKS

Wei Cui, Kaiming Shen, Wei Yu

Electrical and Computer Engineering Department University of Toronto

April 12, 2020

(University of Toronto)

Deep Learning for Robust Power Control

April 12, 2020 0 / 16

Robust Optimization of Wireless Networks

- Wireless channels have inherent uncertainty. Deterministic optimizations do not capture the realistic wireless environment.
- State-of-art robust optimization algorithms use statistical models to incorporate uncertainties into the solutions.
- However, this classic approach relies on ad-hoc mathematical models, which are hard to obtain and might not be truly accurate. Further, the parameters of these models are often difficult to estimate.
- This paper proposes to use machine learning (ML) to learn robust solutions from the uncertainty samples, effectively providing a more direct representation of the uncertainties.

Link Power Control in Device-to-Device Networks



Robust Sum Rate Maximization

• For given instantaneous channel realizations and a set of power control decisions $\{x_i\}$, the achievable rate of each link is:

$$R_i = W \log \left(1 + \frac{g_{ii} p_i x_i}{\Gamma(\sum_{j \neq i} g_{ij} p_j x_j + \sigma^2)} \right), \tag{1}$$

- Path-loss components can be accurately obtained.
- But, shadowing and fast-fading may be harder to measure. In this work, they are regarded as channel uncertainty.

Goal: Design a centralized controller to perform robust power control based only on path-loss as inputs, but robust to shadowing/fast-fading.

Notion of Robustness

- We adopt the concept of outage capacity for ensuring robustness on each individual link, then optimize robust sum rate over the network.
- Given a fixed outage probability γ , the robust rate \hat{R}_i is:

$$\Pr[R_i < \hat{R}_i] \le \gamma, \ \forall i \tag{2}$$

• The robust sum-rate optimization problem formulation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{maximize}} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{R}_{i} & (3a) \\ \text{subject to} & 0 \leq x_{i} \leq 1, \ \forall i. & (3b) \end{array}$$

Learn Robust Solutions from Uncertainty Samples

- Accurate uncertainty models are typically difficult to obtain.
- Instead, we aim to learn robust power control strategy from uncertainty samples of the channels.
- While uncertainty is hard to measure for online applications, uncertainty samples can be easily collected for offline use.
- We design novel neural network model in which the uncertainty samples can be **injected** into the unsupervised training process.
- The deep neural network architecture is highly flexible. It directly learns the mapping from the path-loss input to the robust sum rate.

Novel Neural Network with Uncertainty Injection



Uncertainty samples are injected at the last training stage only.

(University of Toronto)

Deep Learning for Robust Power Control

April 12, 2020 6 / 16

(日) (同) (日) (日)

Neural Network Architecture Details

• The neural network is fully-connected, with 3 hidden layers.

• Each hidden layer has $4N^2$ units (where N is the number of D2D links), each with ReLu non-linearity.

• The output layer for power control decisions has N units, each with Sigmoid non-linearity for its desired range, corresponding to x_i .

Robust Optimization via Unsupervised Learning

- Unsupervised learning is crucial for uncertainty injection training, avoiding the effort for obtaining *targets* for each channel.
- For each power control output $\{x_i\}$, we compute the robust sum-rate objective, then perform gradient-ascent to improve model parameters.
- Computation of each user's instantaneous rate requires full CSI.
- We inject many samples of the channel realization to obtain an empirical approximation of the γ -percentile rate.

Gradient-Ascent over Injected Samples

- Despite the complexity of the actual uncertainty distribution, injecting samples doesn't change the differentiability of the mapping.
- The prevalent gradient-based training is readily adaptable on our neural network structure.
- Denote the collective neural network parameters as W, and the set of CSI corresponding to the γ-percentile rate R^γ_i under {x_i} as {g_i}.
- The gradient of the robust sum-rate with respect to the neural network parameters is just $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial R_{i}^{\gamma}}{\partial W}$, where different sets of $\{g_{i}\}$'s are involved in different links.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Wireless Network Model

- Full frequency reuse with 5MHz bandwidth at 2.4GHz carrier frequency; 1.5m antenna height and 2.5dB antenna gain.
- Additive white Gaussian noise at -169dBm/Hz
- SNR gap at 6dB
- Max transmit power is set to be constant across each link at 40dBm
- Short-range outdoor model ITU-1411 distance-dependent pathloss

Simulation Settings

• To validate the generalization ability of our method, we provide the simulation results for two settings with different parameters.

Table: Wireless Environment

Setting	Number	Region Area	Direct-Link	
	of Links	(m^2)	Distance Distribution	
Α	20	1500×1500	10 m \sim 40m	
В	20	2000×2000	5 m \sim 70m	

• 1000 testing wireless networks are generated under each setting.

Robust Sum Rate

• We set $\gamma = 5\%$ as the tolerable outage probability for all links. The corresponding evaluation results are as follows:

	A	В	
Fractional Programming	104.2Mbps	149.6Mbps	
Deep Learning without	112.9Mbps	155.2Mbps	
Uncertainties Injection			
Deep Learning with	107 7Mbpc	199 1Mbpc	
Uncertainties Injection	127.710005	100.1100.00	
Percentage Improvement	13%	21%	

Table: Robust Sum-Rate Performance

Cumulative Distribution of Robust Sum Rate



Figure: Cumulative distribution of robust sum-rates.

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Rates Visualization



Figure: Robust rate for each link in a wireless network.

(University of Toronto)

Deep Learning for Robust Power Control

April 12, 2020 14 / 16

э

(日) (同) (日) (日)

Robust Power Control Strategy

- By visualizing the 5%-outage rate, we can see that the neural network has learned to more heavily utilize the stronger links and to de-emphasize the weaker links.
- By giving up the weaker links, it reduces the number of non-zero interference terms in SINR expressions.
- These terms are subject to channel uncertainty fluctuations and can be detrimental to the sum-rate under unfavorable channel conditions.
- An additional benefit: our model achieves the performance with much less power (**39.55%** in Setting A and **48.79%** in Setting B of FPLinQ's average allocated power), thus also being power-efficient.

Conclusion

- We propose a novel neural network architecture for robust power control, trained via uncertainty samples injection.
- Based only on path-loss inputs, our model achieves satisfying sum-rate results against uncertain shadowing and fast-fading.
- Key features of the robust sum-rate maximization framework:
 - Incorporating uncertainty only through its samples, thus being compatible with arbitrary uncertainty distributions.
 - Highly flexible to different optimization objective formulations.
 - Using unsupervised learning to avoid costly target preparation.
 - Learning novel yet interpretable power allocation strategy.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <