

# Activity Normalization for Activity Detection in Surveillance Videos

#### Takashi Hosono<sup>1</sup>, Kiyohito Sawada<sup>2</sup>, Youngqing Sun<sup>1</sup>, Kazuya Hayase<sup>1</sup>, Jun Shimamura<sup>1</sup>

1 NTT Media Intelligence Laboratories, Japan 2 National Police Academy, Japan





# **Activity detection in surveillance videos**

• Detects spatial position and time at which activity occurs

#### **Example of ACTEV/VIRAT dataset**



: activities

: objects relevant to activities

NT7

### **General processing flow**



Generates activity-proposal on basis of object detection and tracking
Estimates activity class for each activity-proposal



#### **General processing flow**



Generates activity-proposal based on object detection and tracking
Estimates activity class for each activity-proposal



# **Difficulty of activity classification**

NTT 🕐

• Diversity of activity appearances in the same class

caused by diversity of object-movement directions and inter object positional relationships

Diversity of object-movement direction Class: carrying

Various movement directions



: movement direction

**Diversity of inter-object positional relationship** 

Class: loading

Various positional relationships









# **Approach: activity normalization**



 Align object-movement direction and inter-object positional relationships by rotating and flipping activity proposal

**Object-movement-direction normalization** Inter-object-positional-relationship normalization





#### **Activity normalization**





NTT



NTT



NTT

# **Object-movement-direction normalization**



- 1. Calculate optical flow angle in object regions
- 2. Mode angle in object region is selected as object-movement direction
- 3. Activity proposal is rotated so that it becomes a fixed angle



Activity proposal

: object

**Optical flow** 



Object-movement

direction



Normalized activity proposal

# Inter-object-positional-relationship normalization

- 1. Calculate gradient vectors by Sobel filter
- 2. Mode angle in reference object region is selected as reference object direction
- 3. Activity proposal is rotated so that it becomes a fixed angle
- 4. Flip so that the left-right positional relationship is constant



Activity proposal

car



Gradient images

person



Reference object

direction





NTT

Normalized activity proposal

#### **Evaluation**

- Test with ActEV/VIRAT dataset
  - We evaluated only activity classification
    - Activity proposal is generated using ground truth
  - Comparison methods
    - Baseline [Sun+ TRECVID19]
    - Baseline + data-augmentation (DA)
      - Activity proposal rotated and flipped in 16 directions
  - Mean precision improved by 0.05 with activity normalization

Activity	Baseline	Baseline+DA	Ours
Vehicle turning right	0.682	0.622	0.827
Vehicle turning left	0.609	0.574	0.808
Vehicle U-turn	0.458	0.483	0.646
Activity carrying	0.950	0.904	0.933
Transport heavy carry	0.672	0.412	0.605
Pull	0.707	0.667	0.715
Riding	0.933	0.939	0.935
Loading	0.437	0.429	0.608
Unloading	0.251	0.381	0.279
Open trunk	0.243	0.292	0.147
Closing trunk	0.116	0.100	0.131
Opening	0.307	0.358	0.318
Closing	0.362	0.420	0.428
Entering	0.358	0.380	0.466
Exiting	0.384	0.519	0.468
Talking	0.774	0.784	0.798
Talking phone	0.043	0.035	0.028
Texting phone	0.003	0.005	0.004
Mean	0.461	0.461	0.508

Precision

# Normalized activity proposals



#### Class: vehicle turning right

Class: loading



#### **Effects of two type normalization**



	Object-movement-direction normalization (baseline + mov) is more effective for activity with movement				
	Method	mAP (car activity)	mAP (car-person activity)	mAP	
Baselir	าย	0.583	0.307	0.461	
Baselir	ne + mov	0.738	0.321	0.485	
Baselir	ne + pos	0.644	0.345	0.484	
Baselir	ne + mov + pos (ours)	0.760	0.356	0.508	

### **Effects of two types normalization**



Inter-object-positional-relationship normalization (baseline + pos) is more effective for activity with car-person interaction

Method	mAP		mAP	mAP
	(car activity) (car-person activity)			vity)
Baseline	0.583		0.307	0.461
Baseline + mov	0.738		0.321	0.485
Baseline + pos	0.644		0.345	0.484
Baseline + mov + pos (ours)	0.760		0.356	0.508

### **Test with whole pipeline**



- Evaluated accuracy of activity detection with nAUDC (normalized partial area under the detection error trade-off curve)
- Effect of our method is smaller than when ground truth activity proposals are used
  - Problems remain when using realistic activity proposals

#### nAUDC (lower is better)

Method	nAUDC
Baseline [Sun+ TRECVID19]	0.589
Baseline + mov. + pos. (ours)	0.579

#### Conclusion



#### Summary

- We proposed an activity normalization method to suppress the number of activity appearances
  - activity proposal is rotated and flipped so that the object-movement direction and inter-object-positional relationship are constant
- Experimental results showed that our method can improve activity classification accuracy
- Future work
  - > Make activity normalization method more robust to realistic activity proposals
  - > Validate its compatibility with other activity detection approaches

# Thank you for watching