



Recursive versions of the reassigned spectrogram and of the synchrosqueezed STFT

D. Fourer¹ F. Auger¹ P. Flandrin²

¹LUNAM Université (Université de Nantes-IREENA), Saint-Nazaire, France {dominique.fourer,francois.auger}@univ-nantes.fr

²Laboratoire de Physique (CNRS-ENS de Lyon), Lyon, France patrick.flandrin@ens-lyon.fr





Introduction Goals of this study Rewording the partial derivatives of the phase Algorithm implementation for recursive TFR computation Compute time-frequency representations $\frac{\partial \Psi_x^g}{\partial t}(t,\omega) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{y_x^{\mathcal{D}g}(t,\omega)}{y_x^g(t,\omega)}\right)$ At time index *n* : 1. Compute the required $y_k^g[n, m]$ using x[n - i] and allow adjustments from the user through the damping $\frac{\partial \Psi_x^g}{\partial \omega}(t,\omega) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{y_x^{\mathcal{T}g}(t,\omega)}{y_x^g(t,\omega)}\right)$ $y_k[n-j, m]$ with $i \in [0, k-1], j \in [1, k]$ 2. Compute the other required specific filtered signals

candidate for real-time implementation parameter μ (Levenberg-Marquardt approach)

allow modes separation and signal reconstruction

(synchrosqueezing) ▶ filter bank approach

Proposed methodology

special case of the STFT using a causal, infinite length window function that can be rewritten as a causal IIR recursive filtering

► the algorithmic complexity depends on the filter order and on the analyzed frequency bandwidth

Filter-based reassigned and synchrosqueezed STFT

The STFT as a convolution product

The STFT of a signal x using a real-valued analysis window h, denoted $F_x^h(t,\omega) = M_x^h(t,\omega) e^{j\Phi_x^h(t,\omega)}$ can be related to the linear convolution product between the analyzed signal x and the complex valued impulse response of a bandpass filter $g(t, \omega) = h(t) e^{j\omega t}$:

$$egin{aligned} y^g_x(t,\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(au,\omega) x(t- au) \, d au &= |y^g_x(t,\omega)| \, \mathbf{e}^{j\Psi^g_x(t,\omega)} \ &= F^h_x(t,\omega) \, \mathbf{e}^{j\omega t} = M^h_x(t,\omega) \, \mathbf{e}^{j(\Phi^h_x(t,\omega)+\omega t)} \end{aligned}$$

 $\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_x^g}{\partial t \partial \omega}(t,\omega) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{y_x^{\mathcal{DT}g}(t,\omega)}{y_x^g(t,\omega)} - \frac{y_x^{\mathcal{D}g}(t,\omega)y_x^{\mathcal{T}g}(t,\omega)}{y_x^g(t,\omega)^2}\right)$ $y_x^g(t,\omega)$ $y_x^g(t,\omega)^2$ $\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_x^g}{\partial t^2}(t,\omega) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{y_x^{\mathcal{D}^2g}(t,\omega)}{y_x^g(t,\omega)} - \left(\frac{y_x^{\mathcal{D}g}(t,\omega)}{y_x^g(t,\omega)}\right)^2\right)$ $\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_x^g}{\partial \omega^2}(t,\omega) = -\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{y_x^{\mathcal{T}^2g}(t,\omega)}{y_x^g(t,\omega)} - \left(\frac{y_x^{\mathcal{T}g}(t,\omega)}{y_x^g(t,\omega)}\right)^2\right)$ where y_x^g , $y_x^{\mathcal{T}g}$, $y_x^{\mathcal{D}g}$, $y_x^{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{T}g}$, $y_x^{\mathcal{T}^2g}$ and $y_x^{\mathcal{D}^2g}$ are the

outputs of the filters using respectively the impulse responses $g(t,\omega)$, $\mathcal{T}g = t g(t,\omega)$, $\mathcal{D}g(t,\omega) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t,\omega)$, $\mathcal{DT}g(t,\omega) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (t g(t,\omega)), \mathcal{T}^2 g(t,\omega) = t^2 g(t,\omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}^2 g(t,\omega) = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial t^2}(t,\omega).$

Rewording the synchrosqueezed STFT

As previously defined, y_x^g admits the following signal reconstruction formula

 $x(t-t_0) = \frac{1}{h(t_0)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y_x^g(t,\omega) \, \mathbf{e}^{-j\omega t_0} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}, \text{ when } h(t_0) \neq 0.$ (6)

Synchrosqueezed STFT [5] $Sy_{x}^{g}(t,\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_{x}^{g}(t,\omega') \, \mathbf{e}^{-j\omega't_{0}} \delta\left(\omega - \hat{\omega}(t,\omega')\right) \, d\omega' \quad (7)$ (*i.e.* $y_k^{\mathcal{T}g}$, $y_k^{\mathcal{D}g}$, $y_k^{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{T}g}$, $y_k^{\mathcal{T}^2g}$ or $y_k^{\mathcal{D}^2g}$) using y_k^g with different filter orders

- 3. Compute \hat{n}, \hat{m} (resp. \tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) provided by the reassignment operators
- 4. If $\hat{n} \leq n$ (resp. $\tilde{n} \leq n$) then update TFR[\hat{n}, m] **otherwise** store the triplet $(y_k^g[n, m], \hat{n}, m)$ into a list
- 5. Update TFR[*n*, *m*] using all previously stored triplets verifying $\hat{n} \leq n$ and remove them from the list

Numerical results

Resulting time-frequency representations



Thus, $M_x^h(t,\omega) = |y_x^g(t,\omega)|$ and $\Phi_x^h(t,\omega) = \Psi_x^g(t,\omega) - \omega t.$

Rewording the reassignment operators of the spectrogram

According to [1], the spectrogram reassignment operators can be reformulated using the phase of $y_x^g(t,\omega)$, denoted $\Psi_x^g(t,\omega) = \Phi_x^h(t,\omega) + \omega t$

$$\hat{t}(t,\omega) = -rac{\partial \Phi_x^h}{\partial \omega}(t,\omega) = t - rac{\partial \Psi_x^g}{\partial \omega}(t,\omega),$$

 $\hat{\omega}(t,\omega) = \omega + rac{\partial \Phi_x^h}{\partial t}(t,\omega) = rac{\partial \Psi_x^g}{\partial t}(t,\omega).$

The reassigned spectrogram is expressed as

$$\mathsf{RSP}(t,\omega) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y_x^g(t',\omega')|^2 \delta(t-\hat{t}(t',\omega')) \delta(\omega-\hat{\omega}(t',\omega')) \, dt' d\omega' \, (4)$$

where $\delta(t)$ denotes the Dirac distribution.

Rewording the Levenberg-Marquardt

 $LMSy_x^g(t,\omega)$ is obtained by replacing $\hat{\omega}$ by $\tilde{\omega}$. A sharpen time-frequency representation is provided by $|Sy_x^g(t,\omega)|^2$. The signal can be reconstructed from $Sy_x^g(t, \omega)$ as

$$\hat{x}(t-t_0) = \frac{1}{h(t_0)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Sy}_x^g(t,\omega) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}$$
(4)

Towards a recursive implementation

Recursive implementation

According to [3], y_x^g can be recursively implemented using

$$h_{k}(t) = \frac{t^{k-1}}{T^{k}(k-1)!} \mathbf{e}^{-t/T} U(t), \qquad (9)$$
$$g_{k}(t,\omega) = h_{k}(t) \, \mathbf{e}^{j\omega t} = \frac{t^{k-1}}{T^{k}(k-1)!} \, \mathbf{e}^{pt} U(t) \qquad (10)$$

with $p = -\frac{1}{T} + j\omega$, $k \ge 1$ being the filter order, T the time spread of the window and U(t) the Heaviside step function.

Discretization using the impulse invariance

Signal reconstruction quality

Using the discrete-time version of Eq. (8) with $n_0 = t_0/T_s$.

	(a)	<i>n</i> ₀	8	18	26	28	30
		RQF(dB)	9.79	24.17	26.77	26.82	26.73
((b)	M	100	200	600	1000	2400
		RQF (dB)	20.56	24.90	29.48	30.50	30.87
	(c)	μ	0.30	0.80	1.30	1.80	2.30
		RQF (dB)	20.83	27.28	29.68	30.35	30.90

Signal Reconstruction Quality Factor RQF = $10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{\sum_{n} |x[n]|^2}{\sum_{n} |x[n] - \hat{x}[n]|^2} \right)$, of the recursive synchrosqueezed STFT computed for k = 5, L = 7at SNR = 45 dB. Line (a), computed for M = 300, Line (b) and Line (c), computed for n0=28 and M=300.

Bibliography

[1] F. Auger and P. Flandrin, "Improving the readibility of time-frequency and time-scale representations by the reassignment method", IEEE TSP. vol. 43. num. 5. pages 1068–1089. May 1995.

reassignment [2] $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{t}(t,\omega)\\ \tilde{\omega}(t,\omega) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t\\ \omega \end{pmatrix} - \left(\nabla^t R_x^h(t,\omega) + \mu I_2 \right)^{-1} R_x^h(t,\omega)$

with $R_x^h(t,\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} t - \hat{t}(t,\omega) \\ \omega - \hat{\omega}(t,\omega) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Psi_x^g}{\partial \omega}(t,\omega) \\ \omega - \frac{\partial \Psi_x^g}{\partial t}(t,\omega) \end{pmatrix}$ $\nabla^{t} R_{x}^{h}(t,\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{x}^{g}}{\partial t \partial \omega}(t,\omega) & \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{x}^{g}}{\partial \omega^{2}}(t,\omega) \\ -\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{x}^{g}}{\partial t^{2}}(t,\omega) & 1 - \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{x}^{g}}{\partial t \partial \omega}(t,\omega) \end{pmatrix}$

Hence, the Levenberg-Marquardt reassigned spectrogram (LMRSP (t, ω)) is obtained by replacing $(\hat{t},\hat{\omega})$ by $(\hat{t},\hat{\omega})$ in Eq. (4).

method [4] $G_{k}(z,\omega) = T_{s}\mathcal{Z}\left\{g_{k}(t,\omega)\right\} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{i} z^{-i}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} z^{-i}} \quad (11)$ with $b_i = \frac{1}{L^k(k-1)!} B_{k-1,k-i-1} \alpha^i$, $\alpha = \mathbf{e}^{pT_s}$, $L = T/T_s$, $a_i = A_{k,i} (-\alpha)^i$, T_s being the sampling period. $B_{k,i} = \sum_{j=0}^{\prime} (-1)^{j} A_{k+1,j} (i+1-j)^{k}$ denotes the Eulerian numbers and $A_{k,i}$ the binomial coefficients. Hence, using $y_k[n, m] \approx y_x^{g_k}(nT_s, \frac{2\pi m}{MT_s})$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and m = 0, 1, ..., M - 1, we obtain

$$y_k[n,m] = \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa-1} b_i x[n-i] - \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} a_i y_k[n-i,m] \quad (12)$$

[2] F. Auger, E. Chassande-Mottin and P. Flandrin, "Making" reassignment adjustable : The Levenberg-Marquardt approach", Proc. IEEE ICASSP'12. pages 3889–3892. March, 2012. [3] G.K. Nilsen, "Recursive Time-Frequency Reassignment",

IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing. vol. 57. num. 8. pages 3283–3287. August, 2009.

[4] L.B. Jackson, "A correction to impulse invariance", IEEE Signal Process. Lett., vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 237–275, October 2000. [5] F. Auger, P. Flandrin, Y-T Lin, S. McLaughlin, S. Meignen, T. Oberlin, and H-T. Wu, "Time-frequency reassignment and synchrosqueezing : an overview". IEEE Signal Process. Mag., vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 32–41, November 2013.



This work was carried out with the aid of the Frensh National Research Agency as part of ASTRES project, ANR-13-BS03-0002-01.

(2)

(3)